w.oft Marine Laws Link 1 AC RECIPE FOR FREEDOM FROM DEBT : DIY! THOSE CUSTOM BUILT ROOF TRUSSES HAVE LOTS OF ROOM FOR INSULATION.

Walter and Herta in Canada

Walter and Herta in Canada

Copyright © by Herta Ruthard 2017 ISBN: 978 0 9694778 4 6

R.H. Burke Press

Walter and Herta in Canada

Their experiences as post-WW2 Germans

Their fight against name-calling and denigration – with facts and letters



GERMANS SUFFERING DENIGRATION? MOST ARE SILENT.

6 TAGE SOLLST DU ARBEITEN 6 TAGE SOLLSI DU INVERTER AUMEN ! AM 7 TAG MUSST DU SCHNEERAUMEN ! HERR RUTHARD DER PIONIER !

6 days thou shall work on the 7th day you must do snow removal ! Mister Ruthard....**the pioneer !**

CONTENTS

Introduction by Herta Ruthard and daughter Sonja	7
Foreward	8
An informative introduction	9
Letters	11
Six of the letters in Geman translated into English	308
Pictures from Germany and Canada	315

INTRODUCTION

by Herta Ruthard & daughter Sonja

We came to Canada on the invitation of the Canadian government. My husband gave up a very well-paying job as technician at IBM in Germany and entered Canada in 1972 with joy and excitement, embracing the land of his dreams and its people with all of his heart. He got a job in Toronto, bought a 2-acre building lot near Alliston, and built a PanAbode log home on a concrete foundation all by himself in his spare time while living in a tiny tent in the bush on that small lot. This was part of his dream: away from overcrowded Germany, a piece of wilderness to call his own, and living with nature and its creatures. Two years later, our 8-year-old daughter and I joined him in our Canadian dream home.

Shortly after my husband started his job in Canada, something happened to his dream. On his very first day at work at Litton Systems Canada Ltd., the company he was to stay with for 25 years, he was greeted with a "Hitler salute". Soon we felt the full force of what can be called anti-Germanism. The day after the TV mini-series "Holocaust" was aired (catchword media) in Canada, the subliminal hatred against all things German broke out into the open like a fury. Our little daughter lost her school friends overnight, a swastika and "Nazi" were smeared on her desk and the teacher did nothing to intervene. Our child did not want to go to school anymore and we had the difficult task of explaining to her what a "Nazi" is - and why she was said to be one too.

In time we got used to this sort of treatment, but my husband was more hurt than I was. I had not wanted to leave Germany in the first place, so it was not my dream from which piece after piece was taken away and which in the end turned out to be a mere pipe-dream for my husband. Instead of the friendship and respect which we ourselves offered, we experienced rejection, exclusion and hostility.

I wish to express my deep gratitude to a small handful of very special Canadians, some in memoriam, some still with us, who gave their whole hearts to the Ruthard family and to our betrayed German people, sacrificing their own personal security for doing so in this "free and democratic country". These friends and their boundless compassion will stay in my thoughts forever.

I also wish to acknowledge the help of our daughter who saw to it that our writings appeared in flawless English.

And last but not least, my gratitude to Blago for his "Labour of Love", making it possible for others to read and learn from this book.

Walter passed away on March 25, 2017. The land of his love had sentenced him to defend his homeland and his German roots to the very last day of his life. Being his wife, I had been included in that struggle as well. I and my daughter Sonja hope that the soul of our beloved husband and father has now finally found the freedom which the country of his dreams denied him in life.

September, 2017, Lisle, Ontario

FOREWARD

They arrived with great hopes for tolerance and peace. They found intolerance and never-ending denigration, both for them and their little daughter Sonja, who was coming back from school in tears. Thousands of German families remain silent about similar experience suffered by them because they were German. The Ruthards did not remain silent. Now, after the recent passing of Walter and the terrible debilitation of Herta after a botched operation, their legacy of letters attempting to counter the media and government sponsored wholesale denigration of Germans in Canada must see the light.

Quoting Herta: Yes, Mr. Wood, why did we come to Canada in the first place? This is a good question; the answer is: out of admiration for this North American country and its people. We came sacrificing our German citizenship, our good life... We left overcrowded Germany for the silence and beauty of a great country!

But not all that glitters is gold – continues Herta with these apparently unrelated words: As Bertrand Russell said in "The Impact of Science on Society": "... Over time, the chosen race, which distinguishes between the rulers and the ruled, will grow until they become a different species."

Walter and Herta's letters are presented here unedited, together with some materials attached to them. A chronological sequence is maintained only approximately. A few letters are in German. They are historical documents recording the dark and darkening political reality brought upon the peoples of the West by the gang of powers that defeated Germany, under the same one supreme command. They are to be a treasured source of factual evidence, uncovering the premeditated mendacity of what has been instilled in people's minds under the rule of today's Democracy everywhere. Herta and Walter Ruthard, the highly erudite and talented Germany, against the Myth of German Villainy. *The Myth of German Villainy* – a brilliant book with this title by US Navy officer Benton L. Bradberry – can be downloaded from:

http://911tv.org/Library/LB-0003%20Bradberry%20-%20Myth%20of%20German%20villainy.pdf.

On Herta's background as a Sudeten German <u>www.wintersonnenwende.com</u> presents a wealth of factual information.

The Publisher

An informative introduction

to the plea of these two Germans aware of the truth:



1) Sefton Delmer's words

"Atrocity propaganda is how we won the war. And we're only really beginning with it now! We will continue this atrocity propaganda, we will escalate it until nobody will accept even a good word from the Germans, until all the sympathy they may still have abroad will have been destroyed and they themselves will be so confused that they will no longer know what they are doing. Once that has been achieved, once they begin to run down their own country and their own people, not reluctantly but with eagerness to please the victors, only then will our victory be complete. It will never be final.

Re-education needs careful tending, like an English lawn. Even one moment of negligence, and the weeds crop up again - those indestructible weeds of historical truth."

Sefton Delmer (1904-1979), former British Chief of `Black propaganda': Said after the German surrender in 1945, in a conversation with the German professor of International Law, Dr. Friedrich Grimm. US Congress: "Almighty God! Our Heavenly Father!... Thou knowest that we are embroiled in a war for life and death with one of the most shameful, mean, greedy, stingy, bloodthirsty, depraved and sinful nations that ever disgraced the pages of history. Thou knowest that Germany has squeezed enough tears from the eyes of mankind to fill a new ocean, that it has spilled enough blood to redden each wave on that ocean, that it has choked enough screams and moans from the hearts of men, women and children to pile into a mountain. We entreat Thee, bare Thy mighty arm and beat back the massive pack of hungry wolfish Huns, from whose fangs drip blood and slime. We entreat Thee, let the stars in their courses and the winds and waves fight against them... And once it is all over, we shall bare our heads and lift our faces up to Heaven... And praise be to Thee for evermore, in Jesus Christ. Amen." **Prayer (!!)** in the US Congress. *US*-Congressional Record - The proceedings and debates of the second session of the 65th Congress of the United States of America, Vol. LVI, p. 762 (Session of January 10, 1918).



The preacher's name was William Ashley "Billy" Sunday.

DEC, 2016

What Kurt Bauer, a co-worker of mine, told me about 28 years ago:

He had been captured by the Americans and was put into a POW camp in Florida, USA. Every Sunday the German POWs had to line up at the front of the camp for the American tourists who came to the camp after Sunday service to look at the "evil Nazis" and see the horns they had, which is what they had been told. For this the Germans had to remove their caps!

This was but one of the Allied atrocity propaganda stories designed to fan the ever-so-stupid Americans' hatred of the Germans to even higher levels than before. They, the members of "The Greatest Generation that ever lived on American Soil", swallowed it hook, line and sinker.

Walter Ruthard

Walter and Herta Ruthard

Letters

The Herald, Alliston, December 13, 1989

Woman responds to "preposterous" letter

The Nov. 29 issue of The Herald contained a letter to the editor, "Overcrowding a real problem", which I feel compelled to respond to.

The letter was a reaction to the article, "Travel stretches students", and in particular to remarks of an exchange student from Germany. The exchange student was a young teen who was welcomed into a very warm, loving home in the Alliston area.

In general, there is a tendency when visiting another country to perceive the people there as being extremely friendly and hospitable. We usually travel to new places very open to meeting people and establishing friendships.

When my husband spent two years living in Germany, he too felt that the German people were most warm and kind.

The author of the letter to the editor has gone to great lengths to account for a lack of friendliness by the German people.

There is no valid evidence to support this belief. The author of the letter to the editor is erroneously attempting to explain the causes of a problem which in fact does not exist.

For the author of this letter to the editor to claim that Germany has been "flooded" with millions of so-called refugees, many of whom have caused the crime rate to rise drastically, is preposterous.

Again this comment is unfounded and blatantly racist. To state that too many refugees have entered Germany and that many of those individuals are criminals is nothing but a feeble attempt by the author to censure immigrants.

Casting aspersions on minority groups is both ignorant and hateful, to say The least. We, in Canada, are a nation comprised of immigrants. Does our crime rate exceed that of all other countries?

The letter goes on to state that the parent-child relationship in Germany has been corroded because the youth have been told that their ancestors gassed six million Jews. Is the author suggesting that the youth of Germany be denied the true facts of the holocaust?

We as Canadians have also committed certain errors in our history. By confronting these mistakes we have hopefully learned from them so that history will not repeat itself. The retribution recently made towards Japanese Canadians and to some of our native people are just two such examples.

In the same way it is important that Germans must confront the reality of the holocaust. Only by doing so can the German nation heal and learn from its history.

Furthermore it is stated in the letter to the editor that national pride has been taken away from the Germans as a result of their involvement in the holocaust and that this may not be justifiable. The author of this letter appears to have many problems dealing with the guilt of the holocaust.

The comments made by the writer are, in my opinion, anti-semitic in nature. Blame is directed towards Jews for their part in purportedly upsetting the current child-parent bonds of Germans. If in fact German youth is asking their parents about the holocaust, this is a very positive experience. By doing so they are explaining the guilt of the past and drawing closer bonds with their parents.



(Other camps had actual Jewish soccer leagues.)

The Herald, Alliston, January 3, 1990

After the facts, says writer

I would like to respond to the article "Woman responds to 'preposterous' letter" in The Herald of Dec. 13, 1989.

First: as regards the problem of the flooding of West Germany with foreigners - something which has been consciously brought about especially in recent years - and the resultant sociopolitical reaction that the author refuses to acknowledge, I would say that a two-year stay in a country is not enough to allow the development of an informed opinion; I, after all, lived there for 40 years, am still a close observer of the scene, and therefore have a pretty good idea what I am talking about.

Secondly: the author is asking if our crime rate exceeds that of all other countries. I am not in a position to answer that question, but I would ask the author if the media reports regarding criminality in Canada really don't tell her anything? All one has to check is the daily newspapers crime reports or the court beat to see who commits the most crimes in Canada. I always hear, "and it's getting worse." But whether or not the mixed blessings of multiculturalism appeal to somebody is a question of personal taste.

And last: as to the comment with the author libels me as anti-Semite. For more than 40 years I believed that my people gassed 6 million Jews, and it was just as much of a "shock' to me to find out that this is most likely not true. It was also a "very painful experience for me" - to use the author's own words - to learn from an article in *The Jerusalem Post*, of late September 1989, written by a Jewish historian, Yehuda Bauer, the Jona M. Machover Professor of Holocaust Studies at the Institute of Contemporary Jewry of the Hebrew University in Jerusalem, Israel, that not 4 million Jews were gassed in Auschwitz, as claimed for more than 40 long years and of which I believed every word, but 1.35 million died there, as he says. This makes him a revisionist too, doesn't it?

With the stroke of the pen, 2,650,000 people are thus transferred either from death to life,

or all the statistics for the other camps must also be wrong, for they should include the 2.65 million. Who knows what another stroke of the pen might do?

This is the "very painful experience" I am going through right now: which figure should I believe? 4 million, or 1.35 million. Or the 340,000 mentioned in Allied newsreels in 1948 trials of

Auschwitz guards, or the total of 74,000 listed in the Soviet Death Books, or none of them? In this sense, the author's claim that I might have "problems dealing with the guilt of the holocaust" might well apply. Such doubt makes me not an anti-Semite, but a truth-seeking person and realist.

I would like to draw the author's attention to a book by Ulrich Stern, himself a Jew persecuted in Germany during the Third Reich: *Die wahren Schuldigen am Zweiten Weltkrieg (The Real Culprits of World War II)*, with several hundred facsimiles of original documents, with photographs and diagrams, in which he affords a glimpse of the other side of the war guilt coin.

Stern is another one of the great Jews for whom we have enormous respect, and it is a pity that this gentleman is also a defamed revisionist - this time a Jew, no less! - but surely even the author could not claim that he therefore is a Nazi because he re-examines history.

I, too, could use the word "preposterous", as applicable when people are accused of anti-Semitism for merely trying to educate themselves about what happened more than 40 years ago. I feel that every accused should have this natural right to justice, to out if he is guilty in fact or only in propaganda.

As a final thought, I would like to remind my critic that every time one points a finger at somebody else, there are three fingers pointing back.

Herta Ruthard Alliston

The Herald, Alliston, January 10, 1990

Friends never did return

Re: Mrs. Ruthard's letter in The Herald of January 3.

I have known the Ruthard family for quite some time: their daughter was one of my all-time favorite history students. They are hard-working, honest people who were expelled, along with families of other Germans, from post-war Czechoslovakia just because of their racial origins.

Therefore it is hardly surprising that Mrs. Ruthard has a chip on her shoulder when Germans

are attacked collectively for their Nazi past, especially when it comes to a discussion of genocide which, by the way, was not only committed against Jews but also Russians, Poles and other Slavic people.

Does it really matter whether 6, 4 or 1.35 million Jews were killed? Or is Mrs. Ruthard descending to the level of a fanatical Jew-hater like Jim Keegstra or Ernst Zundel, the Neo-Nazi jerk, who both claim that the Holocaust is a falsification of history by Zionists who want to control the world?

By the same token, it is wrong to collectively accuse Germans, a race who counts among its members such geniuses as Bach, Mozart, Beethoven, Goethe, Durer or Einstein, for crimes committed by a murderous minority. Eventually, the chapter of Nazi rule will be nothing but a brief, sordid episode of a great nation.

But to return to the Jewish question: I lived during World War II in Bratislava, the capital of Slovakia, a puppet Nazi state. The city had a population of about 140,000, among them over 10 per cent Jews. I attended school with many of them, though the government prohibited in 1940 children of Jewish origin to attend high school. I took some trouble after the conclusion of the war to get in touch with some of my Jewish friends: I found out that about two thirds of them had not returned from their deportation to "labor camps."

If Mrs. Ruthard still does not believe me, I will be only too glad to arrange a meeting for her with a few former inmates of extermination camps living in Toronto. I will do no less for her than I did for some of my World History classes during my teaching career.

Paul Stein Barrie

The Herald, Alliston, January 10, 1990

Free speech allowed as long as thoughts conform

A response to "Preposterous"

I shall refrain from commenting on the friendliness or lack of friendliness of the German people. This may vary from area to area, and can also be perceived differently by the individual.

Aren't we lucky to live in such a democratic country that guarantees everybody free speech, freedom of thought and expression - as long as he (or she) conforms to our way of thinking, or better, the way we have been molded to think..

Any non-conformist is automatically labeled as racist or anti-Semite, which in effect makes the accuser an "anti" also. In this day and age, to say that you love your family is already an

implicacation that you hate the rest of the world.

You See, we live in a democratic dictatorship! When 80 per cent of the people say "No", our politicians say "Yes", for they do not give a hoot for the people they represent. Sorry, they do at election time. We then get "educated", while censorship keeps us ignorant and intimidation keeps us silent. But then, when judges and attorney generals consider truth to be irrelevant, who are we to argue?

That the influx of millions of refugees on a small and densely populated country like Germany creates drastic problems should be apparent to any thinking person. And that a good number of criminals enter a country in the guise of political refugee to escape justice in their own country should come as no surprise to us.

We question our own government for granting asylum to a man wanted for several murders. What has that to do with racism?

Apropos immigration, we of British heritage have not built the Empire and present Commonwealth entirely on peaceful intentions. If the natives were not willing to trade their land for a few worthless trinkets, we were prepared to take it by force. Whether bully or thief, neither an honorable way to acquire.

Let's face it, we came to subjugate and exploit, not to prepare natives for democracy as modern text books try to tell us.

"Preposterous" admits that we Canadians "have also committed certain errors in our history." Nicely put. Yes, we must put up a good show, admit a few minor blemishes in our past, and hand out a few pittances for restitution. It makes us look good, does not hurt our ego, and does not cost too much. Very smart!

Well, our leaders past and present certainly have no intention of telling us about the errors and wrong doings committed in our name. It would show many of idols placed on the pedestal of history to have clay feet.

The "Hun Emperor" (a grandson of our Queen Victoria) was the guilty one, although it was a British government agency that supplied the weapons and finances for the assassination of the Archduke of Austria and his wife at Serajewo. Stories about the Germans chopping off the hands of Belgian children increased our fighting ferocity. We still believed this propaganda lie in the '50s.

Our magnanimous and benevolent neighbor to the south stayed out of the fracas to take money by selling the tools of death until the enemy was sufficiently weakened. The sinking of the munition carrying Lusitania provided the "righteous" the necessary justification for entering the war.

We ourselves are for the most part too complacent to look for skeletons in our own closet. After all, it gives more pleasure to show up the neighbor for the dirt in his house than to clean up the filth in one's own dwelling. With shouts of "Right or Wrong, My Country" we followed England into the abyss of war in 1914. What had the Germans done to us? How did they threaten our security? What gave us reason to go to Europe to kill them? Of course, our and our allies' cause has to be "right", which implies that the enemy is always wrong. Aren't we pure and innocent!

Defenseless Germany, voluntarily disarmed after agreeing to an armistice based on "Wilson's 14 Points", was forced to accept the "Dictate of Versailles". Humane treaty? My foot! I don't think that as many as 10 of our history teachers ever read the darned thing. Too long, too tedious, got to watch the hockey game on TV.

We want to share the glory, we must also share the guilt. English "Fair Play" kept up the naval blockade after the cease-fire, preventing vital food supplies from reaching the starving German population. Approximately 800,000 Germans died of starvation after that war. Ghengis Khan would have been proud of us and our allies.

"Te Great Depression", empty stomachs - who did not welcome the idea of three square meals, in a new uniform, and fighting those nasty Germans again? It got us out of the rut. Besides, a war is always good for morale and for business.

Outnumbered and lacking vital supplies, the Germans were doomed from the start. The Nuremberg Military Tribunals showed the world that the "Nazis" were bad guys, we the knights in shining armor. The victors, and includes us, provided accuser, prosecutor, judge and hangman. Not quite up to par with the British/Canadian/USA justice systems, but convenient.

Neutral judges might have been interested in looking at "certain errors" that our side had committed, such as: dropping of explosives camouflaged as toys, intended to maim children; deliberately directing bombing raids on residential areas of Hamburg, Dresden, and countless other German towns and cities; our low flying fighter planes machine gunning children on their way to school (called targets of opportunity); "Operation Keelhaul" under which we delivered millions of East Europeans into the hands of Stalin's KGB/NKWD and certain death; the deliberate murder of one million German POWs - after the war - by withholding food and shelter; and lots of other "little errors".

We fought "to make the world safe for democracy" by delivering half of Europe to communist oppression?

When we continually confront the Germans with the "holocaust", is it to hide our own crimes?

Yes, we Canadians are so pure and innocent, we do have a right to cast the first stone. Has anything changed over the past two thousand years? Nothing! When we need a scapegoat for our troubles we still shout "Crucify Him"!

Amen.

James MacDonald Lisle Canadian Broadcasting Corporation The Journal Lyndon McIntyre P.O. Box 500, Station A Toronto, Ont. M5W 1E6 Walter Ruthard R.R. 3 Alliston, ON, L0M 1A0

December 21, 1989

Mr. McIntyre,

With reference to your report from Dresden, East Germany, of Dec. 19/89 on <u>The Journal</u>, I would like to clarify the following points that were represented in a patently false way:

1) The number of casualties in the destruction of Dresden was not, as you say, 30,000, but higher by a factor of 10, close to 300,000 (V. 4, p. 197, <u>Editions Rencontre</u>, Lausanne, Switzerland).

2) Dresden was not, as you contend, attacked in retaliation against the bombing of London. London was bombed for the first time on Sept. 6, 1040 after the RAF had already attacked Berlin namely on Aug. 24, 1940 (David Irving, <u>Goering</u>, p. 295, also D. Irving, <u>Churchill's</u> <u>War</u>, V. 1, p. 541).

Dresden was an undefended city, filled with refugees from the East and with injured soldiers. The destruction was carried out in three waves, of which the first two were carried out by the RAF and timed in such a way that the second wave also destroyed the rescue teams and fire brigades of the surrounding areas. The third wave was flown by the Americans, who afterwards enjoyed themselves by hunting the fleeing survivors on the banks of the Elbe with their machine guns.

The destruction of Dresden cost the lives of more people than the dropping of the two atomic bombs on Hiroshima and Nagasaki combined, and is therefore one of the greatest Allied war crimes still committed during the War. Of course, no-one was charged for it, and it is characteristic of the robust morals of the Western world that not even the even greater mass murder committed against German prisoners of war <u>after</u> the War, for which the later American President Eisenhower and the oh-so- peaceful French are responsible, caused any agitation worth mentioning amidst the Christian and supposedly civilized nations, (J. Bacque, <u>Other Losses</u>).

As to a further one of your remarks, namely that the world fears a re-united Germany that will supposedly dominate Europe again, I would suggest the following solution, considering that the belated implementation of the Morgenthau Plan and the Kaufman Plan (Germany Must Perish!) is, unfortunately, no longer possible: you still have considerable stores of atomic weapons and other nice things - but the Germans do not. Make good use of them, finally exterminate the Krauts once and for all, and there will no longer be any need for the world, with its hypocritical and ambiguous morality, to manipulate history - for which I could give numerous more examples.

In closing, I would like to remark that with your performance you have given a shining example of the sloppy and prejudiced reporting that is customary for CBC, which seems to consciously aim at a gullible and simple-minded audience.

Sincerely,

Walter Ruthard

Canadian Broadcasting Corporation Société Radio-Canada

Linden McIntyre Producer/Journalist Réalisateur-journaliste *The Journal* (416) 975-7800

Jan. 3/90

Dear Mr. Ruthard:

Thank you for your letter of Dec. 21.

Concerning the points you raised - it is possible that I erred by using a conservative estimate of the casualties at Dresden. Our documentary was assembled in the field and I relied on a researcher from Germany for specific numbers, which he placed at 30,000. In response to your letter I have checked other sources and find that 30,000 is the <u>lowest</u> figure on the record. The <u>highest I</u> am able to find is 200,000. The most widely accepted, I am informed, is 70,000. Your correction, nonetheless, accepted, gratefully.

May I point out, however, that my reference to Dresden was to note the irony in the choice of this location for conversation between Chancellor Kohl and Prime Minister Modrow concerning Germany's future - a future in which nationalism and unification will be major issues of debate. The irony: Dresden, perhaps more than any other place in Germany suffered for past perversions of nationalism and for the rather excessive vision of what a united Germany should consist of, as designed by the Nazis.

The main point in our piece was that many people in East Germany believe that unification may be inevitable for economic reasons, but that Germans should be vigilant of nationalism and even alert for the madness that it can inspire. I am reluctant to respond to some of your other points which, I'm sure, come out of your own brand of irony.

Yours truly,

Linden McIntyre

Canadian Broadcasting Corporation The Journal Linden MacIntyre P.O. Box 500, Station A Toronto, Ont., M5W 1E6 Walter Ruthard R.R. 3 Alliston, ON, L0M 1A0

Jan. 14, 1990

Dear Mr. MacIntyre,

Thank you for your response to my critical letter regarding your report from Dresden.

First of all, let me apologize for misspelling your name. This was the result of inadequate research on my part. It won't happen again.

Secondly, please allow me a few additional remarks. I was pleasantly surprised to receive such an open-minded and courteous letter from someone from CBC. It alleviated much of the anger I felt when I saw your piece from Dresden. However, we don't have to be enemies if we don't agree on the exact number of people killed. The highest figure I have found in my research is 500,000, so you see, I am conservative in my statement of 300,000, and in return for your decency, I will spare you the gory details of the Kaufman Plan.

I think you were conned by a Communist "researcher" when he supplied you with the 30,000 figure. These people sell their grandmother to the devil in order to get their way, which is nicely demonstrated in the Katyn Forest controversy. There, approximately 15,000 Polish officers were murdered by the Russians in 1939. After the War, the blame was shifted to the Germans, and the Polish Communist government even put up a monument there as remembrance of the Polish victims of "Nazi-terror". Now, in light of Solidarity and Glasnost, it was "Discovered" that "Uncle Joe", as Roosevelt called him fondly, was responsible for the act. Maybe it is a little embarrassing for them to rename the monument, or replace it, but I doubt that.

This is another example of how history is manipulated by the victors, who always behaved like innocence personified, and it is this what angers us so very much: this dishonesty and hypocrisy.

Persons like you (I belonged to this category as well) always seem to fall into the traps of these guys who most likely have a good time bamboozling gullible people.

I enjoyed receiving your remarks, and if I had known before, I would have phrased my criticism differently. I am looking forward to seeing you in action again, and I will take the liberty of sending you (and others as well) a letter with my remarks if I see a need for it.

Thank you again for your kind response.

Sincerely,

Walter Ruthard

Kanada Kurier, Dezember 1989

Kommentare zu hoch?

Betr. Leserbriefe vom 30.11.89 u.a. "Das Allerletzte" von Klaus Kühnel.

Erster Preis für Herrn Klaus Kühnel im Giftspritzenwettbewerb auf der Leserbriefseite des KK! Früher hat es geheißen, daß man sich da nicht mehr dran hält. Warum nur müssen wir ständig so aufeinander herumhacken? Wenn Herrn K. die Kommentare der drei in Frage stehenden Herren zu hoch sind, braucht er sie ja nicht zu lesen. Der KK wiederum ist auch nicht Herrn K. verpflichtet, nur Briefe nach seinem Geschmack abzudrucken.

Warum sieht man so oft Briefe dieser "Typen" abgedruckt? Weil sich wahrscheinlich zu wenig andere Typen beteiligen. Lesen und meckern ist einfacher, als selber nachzudenken, sich zu informieren und das zu Papier zu bringen. Uns persönlich wird nicht schlecht - und anderen, die das Denken noch nicht verlernt haben, sicher auch nicht - wenn wir die sehr interessanten und oft ausgezeichneten des Herrn Muehlenkamp studieren. Wer Herrn Schneider geistig nicht folgen kann oder will, der soll es bleiben lassen. Und daß Herr Sternberg mit seinen kurzen, würzigen Kommentaren eben auch nicht in die Kategorie der Nullachtfünfzehn-Schreiberlinge fällt, ist nicht sein Pech.

Wir wollen hoffen, daß sich die Herren Muehlenkamp, Schneider und Sternberg nicht in Zukunft davon abhalten lassen, ihre Ansichten den Lesern des KK zu unterbreiten. Uns sind sie jedenfalls lieber, als derart kränkende Ergüsse, für die wir uns schämen, daß sie aus einer "deutschsprachigen Feder" stammen.

Herrn P. Wehner zur Kenntnis, daß alle deutschen Kalender schon immer mit dem Montag anfangen.

Herta Ruthard Alliston, Ont.

The Herald, Alliston, January 10, 1990

War still making a mark

What you are about to read is a report taken from the December 1989 issue of *Canada Endeavour*: "Belated victim of the Holocaust".

From the Greek comes the word holocaust meaning complete destruction by fire. The real holocaust was the firestorms in German cities created when successive air raids dropped incendiary bombs on the most heavily populated areas of German cities, creating temperatures of 600°, 800° or even 100° C (David Irving: *The Destruction of Dresden*, p. 176).

When the communist red army advanced into the east of Germany - now under Polish administration - the German population fled westward. Thousands and thousands of these homeless civilians filled the city of Dresden - now on so-called East Germany - when in February 1945 the biggest air raid in human history took place which claimed in the neighborhood of half a million lives. The correct figures will likely never be known because of the countless eastern refugees and the bombed out evacuees from other cities, who took shelter in Dresden.

Twenty years later this holocaust was mentioned in a daily news review held by an elementary class in an Ontario village, All these ten year olds were born in Canada. Except for one boy, all were of English or French descent. After the news item about Dresden the teacher exclaimed in an angry voice: "All Germans ought to be killed!" And pointing her finger at the boy she shouted: "And you too, Alko!"

Alko's only crime was his German descent. Though as fluent in English as all the others in the class, he did speak German too. But his given name, Alko, comes from his first language: Esperanto. The inter-ethnic was created by the Jew L.L. Zamenhof in order to end the very hostilities between different peoples we are so familiar with here in Canada ourselves.

The mother of an English classmate was so upset about the incident her daughter had told her, that she contacted Alko's mother, who was up until then unknown to her, to offer her daughter's help as a witness. It turned out that anti-Germanism was not to be treated as a crime and nothing could be done about it. There was never any complaint about the conduct of Alko, but his life in his class became so unbearable that he tried to take his life, which his mother was able to prevent at that time. Later the parents moved to another place.

Since Alko's fear of school never subsided, the mother asked the advice of the school psychologist, who tested him extensively. "What a wonderful society we would have if all were as peaceful as your boy!" she said. "His only problem is that he cannot deal with violence." Alko later did succeed in committing suicide. He was our only son.

This tragic event is the result of continuing anti-German hate propaganda, open and subliminal, in western countries.

The latest example was the TV-report on *The Journal* of Dec. 19, 1989, CBC, about Dresden. It was said that the number of casualties in the destruction of this city was 30,000 and that Dresden was attacked in retaliation against the bombing of London. Both claims are false. About the first you have already read, above, and about the second, the truth is that London was bombed for the first time on Sept. 6, 1940 (David Irving, *Goering*, p. 295, also D. Irving, *Churchill's War*, v. 1, p. 541). It is a noteworthy and little-known truth that Hitler was very hesitant to attack England, a country which he admired greatly, and eventually did so only after several British attacks.

The destruction of Dresden cost the lives of more people than the dropping of the two atomic bombs on Hiroshima and Nagasaki combined. It was carried out in three stages, of which the two first were carried out by the RAF and timed in such a way that the second stage also destroyed the rescue teams and fire brigades of the surrounding areas. The third stage was flown by the Americans, who afterwards amused themselves by hunting the fleeing survivors on the banks of the Elbe with their machine guns.

Dresden was an undefended city filled with refugees from the east and with injured soldiers. And the Allied powers knew it.

Another example of hate against the most innocent and the most vulnerable is the following. A friend of mine who lives in Winnipeg had a similar experience. It was in 1981. Her son, born in Canada, was 12 years old when the teacher asked what the children had done at Christmas. When the little Canadian of German descent told the class that he had visited his grandmother in Germany, his martyrdom began. He was physically and psychologically abused for a long year, in such a way that he had to be taken out of this school. In this case, again, the teacher was the biggest hatemonger. And this is devastating! There should be no place in our society for people teaching hate!

In this respect, we were very fortunate. With the exception of one, the teachers of Tosorontio Public School and Banting were absolutely outstanding, very friendly and helpful to our daughter, and the only hard time for her among her classmates came when the movie *Holocaust* was broadcast on TV.

My challenge is: stop the anti-German hat machinery, Germans are human beings too! Let us begin this new year with more tolerance and understanding for each other before it is too late, because every day may be our last, and then God will judge us on whether we behaved according to His will.

> Herta Ruthard Alliston

Walter Ruthard R.R. 3 Alliston, Ont., L0M !A0

Den 18. 2. 1990

Sehr geehrter Herr Hierholzer,

Ihr Essay im Kanada Kurier vom 1. Feb. 1990 über Kurt Tucholsky hat mich sehr interessiert. Ich habe über diesen Intellektuellen und Meister der kleinen Form, wie Sie ihn beschreiben, bis jetzt recht wenig gelesen und Ihr Aufsatz hat mich im Lexikon nachsehen lassen, auf das ich angewiesen bin, um Vergleiche ziehen zu können.

Danach (Edition Rencontre, Lausanne) vertrat Tucholsky einen links gerichteten pazifistischen Humanismus und kämpfte gegen jede Art von Spießertum (siehe "Das Mitglied" in

Ihrem Artikel), Militarismus und Nationalismus. Das hört sich gut an.

Auch was Sie über ihn weiter schreiben, liest sich sehr gut, nämlich daß er "idealtypisch die Gestalt des 'geistigen Menschen' verkörpert, der mit <u>höchstem moralischen Anspruch</u> gesellschaftliche Grundsatzfragen aufwirft und sich als Mahner und Warner in der Öffentlichkeit zu Wort meldet.

Nun, wie dem auch sei, etwas überraschend auch für Sie mag folgendes Zitat von Tucholsky sein, das in der "Weltbühne" am 26. Juli 1927 erschien und das ich bei meiner kurzen Suche fand:

"Möge das Gas in die Spielstuben eurer Kinder schleichen, mögen sie langsam umsinken, die Püppchen. Ich wünsche der Frau des Kirchenrats und des Chefredakteurs und der Mutter des Bildhauers und der Schwester des Bankiers, daß sie einen bitteren, qualvollen Tod finden, alle zusammen."

Arg pazifistisch scheint mir dies nicht gerade zu klingen und schon gar nicht human; auch dürfte sich dies wohl kaum mit dem Anspruch höchster Moral vereinbaren lassen, aber interessant ist das schon, nicht wahr? Vielleicht war Tucholsky noch etwas mehr, als ihm heute bescheinigt wird, vielleicht war er auch noch ein Seher, der zukünftige Ereignisse beschrieb, die, wie sich immer mehr herausstellt, in's Reich der Fabel gehören; siehe Toronto Star und Globe and Mail, vom 9. 1. 1990. Nebenbei bemerkt, das Zitat von Tucholsky fand ich in "Der Auschwitz Mythos" von Dr. W. Stäglich, einem sehr lesenswerten Buch.

Hochachtungsvoll,

Walter Ruthard

Edna Anderson, M.P. 46B Maple Avenue Barrie, Ont. L4N 1R8 Herta Ruthard R.R. 3 Alliston,ON, L0M 1A0

February 20, 1990

Dear Mrs. Anderson,

I don't know you personally, but your photograph, which smiles at me from several issues, gives me the impression of a reasonable person who understands her business.

I certainly will take the opportunity you offer to make suggestions how to cut the government's spending further. May I ask you today to urge the government to stop those senseless

hunting of sick, old men, called war criminals, who even fall asleep during their trials (Finta). I as taxpayer am outraged to have to pay for this nonsense, and not too little.

There are so many criminals who run around free, some even highly honoured when they stand on the right side. Nazi crimes and crimes against humanity - where is the difference?

Mr. Finta and others are prosecuted for alleged crimes committed 45 years ago or more, in foreign countries and not under Canadian jurisdiction. Since Roman times, Western civilisation has become accustomed to the very logical fact that it is in itself a crime to hunt persons for deeds that were not covered by a law at the time the deed was done. This is something which distinguished us - up until now - from some more primitive societies, for instance these under Communism, and we should be ashamed to allow such a thing to happen here in Canada. Where are those noble properties such as honesty, honour, and others, that we attribute to ourselves at every opportunity?

I personally, as a Canadian citizen, also don't want to be labelled as a hypocrite, which I certainly would be if I didn't speak up against this one-sided action of our judicial system that only goes after foreign "war criminals" and conveniently "forgets" our own, which certainly also must have existed. The ridiculous, pitiful and undignified practise of those sort of trials, in the footsteps of the States, certainly lowers Canada considerably in the eyes of the world, and every Canadian citizen to whom right and justice still mean something must be highly disgusted by it. This has little to do with justice.

There are many people who think exactly the way we do.

, but maybe don't bother to write. I hope you understand and take some steps to discuss the matter with the higher authorities. Thank you!

Herta Ruthard

HOUSF OF COMMONS CANADA

EDNA ANDERSON, M.P. SIMCOE CENTRE OTTAWA

March 15, 1990

Ms Herta Ruthard RR 3 Alliston, Ontario LOM IAO

Dear Ms Ruthard:

Thank you for your February 20th letter.

I appreciate you taking the time to write and share your views with me. Please be assured that I will keep your thoughts in mind during Caucus related discussions.

If I can help you in any other way, please feel free to get in touch.

Sincerely,

Edna Anderson, MP Simcoe Centre

Edna Anderson, M.P. 46B Maple Ave. Barrie, ON., L4N 1B8 Walter Ruthard R.R. 3 Alliston,ON, L0M 1A0

March 20, 1990

Dear Mrs. Anderson,

recently I received a copy of an article in the "Vancouver Province" of February 18, 1990 by Eric Nicol.

I would like to draw your attention to it and at the same time express my dismay and outrage.

For 18 years, my family and I have been living in this country. We accepted the Canadian Citizenship a long time ago and, as you can see from Mr. Nicol's article, we can hardly be blamed when we today have to regret our decision to emigrate to this country.

The case about which I would like to inform you is but one of a long list, starting with Barbara Amiel in "Maclean's Magazine" of April 15, 1985, in which she offended Germans by labeling them "a race of hideous bloody murderers".

This slowly but surely convinces me that hate and discrimination of all things German are tolerated in this country. I can't even begin to describe my disappointment about the attitude of the Canadians and their government, who either put up with or tolerate these things.

I don't have the financial resources to take Mr. Nicol to court, nor do I have the necessary trust in the Canadian Legal System to even attempt it. Thus, Mr. Nicol will be able to utter the excesses of his hate for Germans with impunity.

As the case in question proves, there is still a very long way for multiculturalism in Canada to reach its goal - if ever. I cannot help having the impression that people like us are exempt from it right from the beginning, only because we were born in the wrong country. This is hate in the raw and I don't think that it is out of place to fear that things like these can lead to regrettable developments if they are tolerated. Who will suffer, and already does suffer because

of it is quite clear and needs no further explanation.

To further your understanding of the historical situation, I would like to itemize a few facts from Prof. Quiney Wright's "A Study of War". He states in it that from 1480 through 1940, the European Powers have fought in 278 (twohundredandseventyeight) wars. The percentages are: England 28, France 26, Spain 23, Russia 22, Austria 19, Turkey 15, Poland 11, Sweden 9, Italy 9, Holland 8, and Germany, including Prussia, 8.

So much for the race which "seeks fulfillment on the Battlefield, bent on dominating the world".

Sincerely yours,

Walter Ruthard

HOUSE OF COMMONS CANADA

EDNA ANDERSON, M.P. SIMCOE CENTRE OTTAWA

May 15, 1990

Ms Herta Ruthard RR 3 Alliston, Ontario LOM IAO

Dear Ms Ruthard:

Thank you for your March 20th letter. I'm sorry I couldn't get back to you sooner.

I read your letter and the enclosed articles with sadness. In my opinion the articles fall very short of good objective journalism. What saddens me is that such prejudiced ideas can find their way into newspapers.

Of course you were offended by these articles. So was I! However, I do not believe that the majority of Canadians share the views of Eric Nicol or Ed Anger. Canada's history proves that, although not perfect, we have tried to deal with racism openly and many of the government's programs are aimed at improving relations between all segments of society. Our record is good and improving all

time. I hope that when your hurt from these articles subsides you will see that this is so. Ms Ruthard, I've enclosed an article that I hope you will find more representative of our society.

Thank you for writing.

Sincerely,

Edna Anderson, MP Simcoe Centre

Edna Anderson, MP 46B Maple Ave. Barrie, Ont. L4N 1R8 Herta Ruthard R.R. 3 Alliston, Ont. LOM 1A0

July 5, 1990

Dear Mrs. Anderson,

Re.: my letter from Feb. 20, 1990

In my aforementioned letter, I made a suggestion how to cut government spending. Today, I would like to send you some photocopies and ask you to take a few minutes of your time to read them.

Now - after you have read them - you will certainly agree with me that Canada has to stop its war crimes trials. It is just unworthy of a country like ours. It is also very irresponsible to spend millions upon millions (the Finta trial alone cost us taxpayers \$ 5 million!) for - for what? For nothing, actually. Do we have so much money that we can throw it out the window?

Last but not least, I would like to thank you for your letter from May 15, together with your reading material. Although not really hitting the point, it had nevertheless comforted my husband, who wrote you the letter, and me, very much. Thank you for that. We are convinced that many a Canadian would think differently about Germans if they were enabled to hear different stories about them - and their opponents.

And "the hurt" will never "subside" because it will be given new cause every day, as those articles (Eric Nicol / Ed Anger) are not the only reason. For us to ignore the injustice would be to deny our roots.

Sincerely yours,

Herta Ruthard

I enclosed copies of the INSIGHT newsletter, June 1990, pages 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, with the articles "Germans on Trial for British War Crimes", "Die Krauts und ich . . ." (translation), "He died for Germany", "Doctor says Canadians also committed war crimes".

HOUSE OF COMMONS CANADA

EDNA ANDERSON, M.P. SIMCOE CENTRE OTTAWA

August 1, 1990

Mrs. Herta Ruthard RR 3 Alliston, ON LOM 1AO

Dear Mrs. Ruthard:

Thank you for your July 5th letter and photocopies, which I read with interest upon my return from holidays.

I can certainly sympathize with the emotions you must feel every time there is a German tried for war-crimes. It is a very difficult thing to have terrible memories rehashed again and again. It cannot be easy for anyone who lived through the Second World War to relive the horrors repeatedly.

I do not believe however, that these trials are born of anti-German sentiments or that the Allies are spreading "continuous hate among their own kind". I also do not believe that the majority of North Americans or British are on "witch-hunts" to track down remaining German war-criminals. It seems to me that these are words of the very type of propaganda that the writers of Insight speak out against. Unquestionably prejudiced attitudes exist, but I do not think that the majority of people from "Allied" nations foster anti-German feelings. Indeed, most people I know are very happy about German reunification and the destruction of the Berlin Wall. All the events in Eastern Europe point to a new era of understanding and cooperation. I am hopeful that this new beginning will lead to trust among people of all nationalities and religions. After all, we are all God's children.

The atrocities of all wars should never be forgotten, as painful as that may be. We must teach our children not to make the same mistakes that were made in the past. There were heroes and

criminals on both sides of the war. Let us point the finger of blame at no one country or man. If we cannot teach our children to love their neighbours, whether they be German, British, Japanese, Russian or Canadian, the future of the world is bleak indeed. We must learn to stop hating before history repeats itself.

Thank you again for your thought provoking letter. Please feel free to get in touch again if I can help you in any way. I hope that you have enjoyed a pleasant summer season.

Sincerely,

Edna Anderson, M.P. Simcoe Centre

Edna Anderson, M.P.. Simoce Centre House of Commons Room 354, West Block Ottawa, Ont. K1A 0A6 Herta Ruthard R.R. 3 Alliston, Ont. LOM 1A0

Aug. 14, 1990

Dear Mrs. Anderson,

Thank you for your letter of Aug. 1, 1990, and for your invitation to get in touch with you again if there is a need to.

Indeed there is; I have quite a load on my German-Canadian soul which you could relieve somewhat if you take the time to study this pretty lengthy "paper of complaint".

It is extremely difficult to convince Canadians with an innocent mind and honourable motivations that there is indeed an intention to keep Germany down and the Germans forever as to blame for all conceivable crimes. Those nations that emerged victorious from the last World War are the ones participating in this.

You will surely have noticed how much they all fear a united Germany. The cause for this is not that Germany could dominate and attack the other nations - though this is the excuse for their fears - but rather the worry over the economic competition. and it is exactly this which was one of the reasons for the last War. France, England, Poland, the United States, all these do not necessarily foster amicable feelings for the united Germany of the future; but rather, partly open protest, like Mrs. Thatcher expresses; partly hate, like Lech Walesa exhibits - see the following commentary -

and partly goodwill out of necessity and laced with reservations, like the States show.

In the Paris daily Le Figaro, of April 5th, 1990, p. 4, Lech Walesa voiced his opinion as follows:

"Lech Walesa threatens Germany if . . .

Lech Walesa feels that in the event of a renewed destabilization of Europe by Germany, 'that country' should be 'erased from the map, pure and simple.' In an interview published yesterday in the Dutch weekly <u>Elsevier</u>, the Nobel Peace Prize laureate states 'having every reason to be preoccupied' with the German unification. 'I do not even shrink from a statement that is not going to make me popular in Germany: if the Germans destabilize Europe anew, in some way or other, then partition is no longer what will have to be resorted to, but rather that country will have to be erased from the map, pure and simple. East and West have at their disposal the advanced technology necessary to carry this verdict out.'" (AFP)

Please note that these words are from the mouth of a Nobel Peace Prize laureate: And you would be in for a shock if you knew more about the "innocent" Poles, of which Walesa is one. For example, is it known to Canadians, or to any other society, that the Polish children are taught from kindergarten that the Germans are devils? (the real kind, from Hell.) This explains the result of the survey described as follows:

"In a survey taken among students of three educational establishments in Warsaw, only four of 135 fourth-graders declared having amicable feelings toward the German people. Half the students questioned considered the Germans to be cruel, spiteful and bloodthirsty.

One of the students wrote: 'The Germans are as bad as wild animals. Such a people oughtn't even to exist. And now they even want to unite!'"

(Kanada Kurier, 2. Aug. 1990, p. 4)

It should perhaps be added here that a fourth-greader who has <u>not</u> been brainwashed by propagandistic educational doctrine from Day One would not be such a perfect echo of government policy; in fact, a normal fourth-grader would not even be interested in international politics, much less hold firm opinions of any kind on the subject.

Another picture painted of the Germans by the Poles becomes evident from the booklet: "Poland and the Falsifications of its History," by Else Löser:

"Where the German sets down his foot, the earth bleeds for 100 years. Where the German carries water and drinks, wells are foul for 100 years. - Where the German breathes, the plague rages for 100 years. Where the German shakes hands, peace breaks down.--- He cheats the strong, he robs and dominates the weak, and if there were a path leading straight to Heaven, he wouldn't hesitate to dethrone God Himself. And we would even see the German steal the sun from the sky.----" Translated from ELSE Löser, "Polen und die Fälschungen seiner Geschichte". "Poland and the Falsifications of its History", p. 11.)

Do you believe this, Mrs. Anderson? Of course not, but Polish children do, and they become the adults of the next generation and still believe this, teach their children again about the satanic Germans, and so it goes on. Certainly, the average workaday Canadians of good will bear no politically influential ill feelings against Germany and against us new Canadians of German descent, but of these Canadians, there are not enough, and they don't count in the policy-making process. What does count are the politicians and their advisers, and the media that determine the climate of opinion; cold, lukewarm or hot.

Let's take, for example, the hate propaganda of Stalin's agent Ilja Ehrenburg, who in the last War incited the Soviet soldiers to unbelievably atrocious deeds against the Germans:

"We do no longer say good morning or good night: We say in the morning: 'Kill the German' and in the evening: 'Kill the German'. This is, not a matter of books, love, stars, there is only one single thought: to kill the Germans. To kill them all. To bury them. . . There is nothing more enjoyable for us than German corpses. Slaughter the German! - begs the old mother. Slaughter the German implores

the child. Germans are not human beings. Germans are uni-cell living creatures, soul-less microbes which are equipped with machines, arms and mine throwers.

When you have slaughtered one German, go ahead and slaughter another one, there is nothing more amusing for us than German corpses."

And that is exactly what they did; they mutilated soldiers during the war, as well as civilians after the war in the most cruel manner. It was the Asiatic hordes, the lowest rabble, that celebrated a terrible slaughter.

These four quotations are now not "propaganda" by <u>INSIGHT</u>, and I could show you quite a collection more that would surprise you.

After the War, the Germans were re-educated to become exactly how the American Professor Harry Elmer Barnes described them in the 1960s, after a visit to Germany:

"(The Germans) still endeavour to suppress those facts that would exonerate them from the sole responsibility for 1939. Together with the German

consciousness of War guilt, the situation of 1964 represents a case of virtually incomprehensible obsession with self-accusation that has no parallel in the history of mankind. I certainly know of no other example in history of a people exhibiting the almost lunatic obsession with taking upon them the dark

shadows of guilt for a political crime they did not commit, other than the crime of taking upon themselves the guilt for the Second World War."

(Translated from Prof. H.E. Barnes, <u>Die Deutsche Kriegsschuldfrage</u>, The Question of German War Guilt, p. 124).

Mrs. Anderson, I am convinced that you don't believe everything negative that has been said, written or shown on television about the Germans, but it is, as I said, very difficult to rid

the minds of the people in general of a certain doctrine: "The bad Germans, look what they did!!!" And for as long as Germans are on trial for war crimes, the Canadian society has not realized that they are doing wrong.

You say, "I do not believe that these trials are born of anti-German sentiments or that the Allies are spreading 'continuous hate among their own kind'. I also do not believe that the majority of North Americans or British are on 'witch-hunts' to track down remaining German warcriminals".

Allow me to quote from a letter from the assistant secretary for the British Ministry of Information,

which dates from Feb. 29, 1944 and states the diversion tactic as explicitly as government communications ever get:

"...We cannot reform the Bolsheviks but we can do our best to save them - and ourselves from the consequences or their acts. The disclosures (of Soviet genocide) of the past quarter century will render more denials unconvincing. The only alternative to denial is to distract public attention from the whole subject. Experience has shown that the best distraction is atrocity propaganda directed against the enemy ... your cooperation is therefore earnestly sought to distract public attention from the doings of the Red Army by

your whole-hearted support of various charges against the Germans and Japanese which have been and will be put into circulation by the Ministry."

And exactly this has been the practice of the Allies since 1945: to go after the Germans and, of course, those who served their former allies, the Axis Powers. The purpose of this exercise is distraction from their own crimes.

You say, "Let us point the finger of blame at no one country or man." But it is this very finger that is continuously pointing at Germany and the Germans, and the people at <u>INSIGHT</u> are trying to stop this by educating the public to the effect that the finger-pointers are also not quite as pure as the driven snow and that there are always three fingers pointing back at the pointer.

To show you just how deep the roots of hatred against Germans run in this our country, I will give you a quotation from the book <u>Little Paradise</u>, by Dr. Gottlieb Leibbrandt:

"Insult to our Honour, and Testimonial to same.

In response to Father von Pirch's advocacy of the German language as teaching subject in public schools, the <u>Evening News</u> of Toronto brought a defamatory article so full of crude and obscene invective against the Germans that, as the <u>Weekly Telegram</u> of Brantford rightly says, it would hardly be possible to crowd more of the like into such a small space. According to the article, it is exactly the German language that should be banned before all others in this country, because the Germans are strangers, law-breakers and desecrators of the Sabbath that strive to force their customs and practices on the country of their choice, and their literature is definitely not of any great worth"

This is a translation from p. 130 of the aforementioned book, where it is quoted from the <u>Canadisches Volksblatt</u> of Feb. 1, 1832, an ethnic German paper.

And if the people at <u>INSIGHT</u> show some aggressive bitterness in their writing style, who can blame them? Who can blame me if I ask for justice?

Dear Mrs. Anderson, I will understand if you do not answer my letter, because you cannot be expected to spend your time on such a private discussion, even though it would benefit all my fellow German-Canadians if I could convince even one of the members of our government that the national policy regarding war crimes trials is morally wrong.

Thank you for your time. I remain

Yours sincerely,

Herta Ruthard

HOUSE OF COMMONS CANADA

EDNA ANDERSON. M.P. SIMCOE CENTRE

OTTAWA August 22, 1990

Mrs. Herta Ruthard RR 3 Alliston, Ontario LOM 1AO

Dear Mrs. Herta:

Thank you for your August 14th letter. I have taken the liberty of forwarding a copy of your letter to the Honourable Joe Clark, Secretary of State for External Affairs, asking him to review your concerns

I will be in touch with you upon a reply from the Minister. Again, thank you for writing.

Sincerely,

Edna Anderson, MP Simcoe Centre
The H. Hon. For Clurk, H. C., H. P. Secretary of State for External Affairs Le tres hon Ioe Clark, C. pl., depute Secrétaire d'État aux Atlaires extérieures

Canada

RECTI TO / RECU 007 11 1990 IT CLIMM INS HOUSE CHAMERE DES C.

OTTAWA, Ontario KIA 0G2

Dear Edna:

Thank you for your letter of August 22, 1990, with which you enclosed Mrs. Herta Ruthard's letter expressing concern that the Canadian commitment to the prosecution of war crimes undertaken in the light of the Deschênes Commission Report might be seen as being connected with certain examples of anti-German statements and propaganda identified by her in the letter.

I would ask that you assure Mrs. Ruthard, on behalf of the Government, that Canada enjoys the very best relations with the Federal Republic of Germany, and as a firm supporter of German unification, shares with the German people their joy at the disappearance of the Berlin Wall, and the unification of the country on October 3rd. I would also request that you convey to Mrs. Ruthard the Government's recognition of the tremendous contribution of German-Canadians to the development of this country.

In respect of war crimes, it is the position of the Government of Canada that the implementation of the recommendations of the Deschênes Commission Report represents an international and domestic obligation of great importance, and is generally in line with policies adopted by a number of Western democracies regarding war crimes investigations. As you know, the Government's intention in its implementation of the Commission's recommendations was to provide an appropriate Canadian response to allegations from a number of sources that, historically, Canada had not completely fulfilled its obligations to see justice done in respect of war crimes issues. The current policy was undertaken with the greatest possible sensitivity to the feelings of all ethnic groups who might find the subject-matter of war crimes investigations and prosecutions to be a disturbing re-creation of the events of an extremely unhappy time in world history.

I hope that the above will provide you with the information you require to allow you to respond appropriately to Mrs. Ruthard's concerns. Thank you for bringing her letter to my attention.

Yours sincerely,

HOUSE OF COMMONS CANADA

EDNA ANDERSON, M.P. SIMCOE CENTRE

OTTAWA November 6, 1990

Mrs. Herta Ruthard R.R. #3 Alliston, Ontario L0M 1A0

Dear Mrs. Ruthard:

Further to your August 14th letter to me, I have received a response from the Rt. Hon. Joe Clark, Secretary of State for External Affairs, a copy of which Ihave enclosed for your information.

I hope the information he has supplied helps to answer your concerns.

If you feel I can help you in any other way, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,

Edna Anderson M.P. Simcoe Centre

46B Maple Ave.

Barrie, Ontario L4N 1R8 (705) 737-2361 Zenith number, dial 0 and ask for 32640.

Room 354 West Block Ottawa K1A 0A6 (613) 992-6035 Edna Anderson, M.P. 46B Maple Avenue Barrie Ont. L4N 1R8 Herta Ruthard R.R. 3 Alliston, Ont., L0M 1A0

Feb. 19, 1991

Dear Mrs. Anderson,

I could imagine that it is slowly getting to be an unpleasant surprise for you to see my name on yet another letter: The reason for my writing to you today is the following:

Some time ago we saw and heard on TV (prime~time, the news)that it was the Germans who had been the first to use poison gas in WW1. The Canadian public has been served this version many times, and each time we thought that just can't be true; we couldn't believe it, because such despicableness is just not the German way and nature. Unfortunately we could never get an answer to our resultant question, whether it really was us who started with the poison gas. But now, read it for yourself: the article appeared in the <u>Deutsche Nationalzeitung</u>, Jan. 18, 1991.

One more proof of how hatred was incited against the Germans, and still is today. One of the many lies is refuted. Sharing one's bread with prisoners of war, showing respect and honour for the defeated enemy - that is the German way and nature! (See enclosed copies.)

Unfortunately, German self-respect has been destroyed to such a degree that our brothers and sisters in good old Germany are incapable of accepting their innocence, because they sucked their feelings of guilt with their mother's milk and grew up with them - something which their disciplinarians have seen to for 45 years. They are incapable of proving their innocence. It has to be done by others, and this is a good sign that it will be done. It would be an honourable action for the Canadian government to instruct the media to refrain from further broadcasting of such lies, because this is a very severe and vile slander against which we as German-Canadians should have the right to protest, which I do herewith. I'm afraid one can hardly expect the broadcasting, of the truth, however.

Poison Gas, the Gulf and anti-German Lies: Misrepresentation in the Media. Deutsche Nationalzeitung, Jan. 18, 1991, p. 2

Certain opinion-makers cannot pass up any opportunity to add to Germany's account of guilt. In this vein, with respect to a possible poison gas war in the Persian Gulf, claims have again been made that Germany started the gas war in World War One.

That it was the French, not the Germans, who started the use of poison gas in World War One has been attested to not only by the German-Jewish Nobel Prize (chemistry) Laureate Professor Fritz Haber, who had been entrusted with the organization of retaliation.

Lord Arthur Ponsonby (1871-1946), who had been a member of the government in London since 1906 and was the leader of the Labour Party in the House of Lords from 1930 to 1936, stated in his work <u>Falsehood in Wartime</u>, published in 1928: "It turned out that the Germans had not been the first to use poison gas. Turpin's discovery of poisonous explosive substances had been announced earlier in the French press, and similarly, the official instructions of the French War Department regarding the use of gas hand-grenades had already been issued in the fall of 1914... At the start of the War, French newspapers unanimously reported that the difficulties in the use of these (poison gas) bombs had been overcome and that they had been used with excellent results at certain parts of the front."

In this context, a gruesome detail of the Second World War deserves mention: in December of 1943, 83 American soldiers died by poison gas in the Italian port of Bari. 100 tons of 100-pound mustard gas bombs were stored on board the American freighter "SS John Harvey". The official American version, as it was later proclaimed, was that they "were intended as retaliatory measure, should the Germans employ poison gas." In an attack of the German Luftwaffe on the Port of Bari, bombs also fell on the "SS John Harvey". Liquid American mustard gas spread everywhere. American soldiers and rescue teams were contaminated. 617 persons affected by the mustard gas were taken to hospitals, 83 of them died soon after. The American government kept the incident of Bari absolutely secret for one-and-a-half decades. Information did not leak out until the end of the 1950s. In 1967, a publication by the American Captain Saunders appeared, giving a detailed account of the incident.

Sincerely,

Herta Ruthard

Walter Ruthard R.R. 3 Alliston, ON, L0M 1A0

22. März 1990

Sehr geehrter Herr Bundeskanzler,

zur Einführung: wir sind nach Kanada ausgewanderte Deutsche mit der hiesigen Staatsbürgerschaft und wir verfolgen die Ereignisse in Deutschland nicht erst seit die Wiedervereinigung unmittelbar bevorsteht.

Wir möchten dies zum Anlass nehmen, Ihnen ein paar Dinge in's Gedächtnis zu rufen, bzw. Sie auf Tatsachen aufmerksam machen, die in Deutschland nicht so bekannt sind wie hier, oder ignoriert werden.

Die Regierung der Bundesrepublik strebt schon seit den Zeiten des ersten Bundeskanzlers einen Ausgleich mit unseren ehemaligen Feinden an. Inzwischen sind wohl über 40 Jahre vergangen und man könnte annehmen, daß sich nun erste Resultate eines grundlegenden Wandels in der Einstellung der westlichen Welt, unserer gegenwärtigen Verbündeten und "Freunde" zeigen würde. Wir wollen als gleichberechtigte Partner akzeptiert werden und ich denke, daß das wohl berechtigt ist.

Als Deutscher im Ausland ist man den Meinungen und Stimmungen in eben diesem Lande mehr ausgestzt, als ein Bundesbürger, der nur zu Urlaubszeiten die Grenze überschreitet und natürlich als Devisenbringer überall willkommen ist - solange er danach wieder verschwindet.

Wie die Deutschen in Wirklichkeit hier angesehen werden, illustriert treffend die beiliegende Kopie eines kürzlich in der kanadischen Zeitung <u>The Province</u>, Vancvouver, vom 18. 2. 1990 erschienenen Artikels von Eric Nicol, "Alan Alda no match for the Fatherland".

Ein ähnlicher Artikel erschien in den USA, in <u>Weekly World News</u>, vom 19. 12. 1989, "Put the Wall back up!", von Ed Anger. Auch davon liegt eine Kopie bei.

Diese Veröffentlichungen zeigen deutlich genug, was wir als eine Fehlleistung der Bundesregierung empfinden, einschließlich des Bundespräsidenten. Erinnern Sie sich noch an die geflügelten Worte Adenauers: "wir sind wieder wer"?

Ich denke, es wäre an der Zeit, daß die Vertreter der Deutschen endlich die schon zu lange geübte Leisetreterei aufgeben und unseren "Freunden" zu verstehen geben, daß auch sie keine fleckenlose Weste haben. Beispiele dafür gibt es mehr als genug. Das hat mehr mit Gerechtigkeit zu tun, als mit dem nicht so gerne gehörten Wort der Aufrechnung.

Kanada Kurier, 13. Dezember 1990

Deutsche im Ausland

Zu Leserbrief des Herbert Wimmer, Ludwigsburg, Ausgabe vom 25. 10. 1990.

Wir dachten, den größten Schock von dem, was unsere Landsleute so von sich geben, hätten wir bereits hinter uns, aber - weit gefehlt! Herr Wimmers Brief "Ewig Gestriger?" hat uns zutiefst erschüttert. Sind das wirklich Menschen unseres Schlages? So benehmen sich volkszersetzende Elemente, von Volkszersetzern trainiert! "Zombies", voll des Giftes, das man jahrzehntelang in sie hineingeträufelt hat. Um Himmels Willen, Herr Wimmer, gehen Sie auf die Suche nach der **wahren** europäischen Geschichte!

Erfahrungsgemäß sind viele Deutsche im Ausland die besseren Deutschen, sie haben nämlich eine viel intensivere Beziehung zu der eigentlichen Heimat entwickelt, als es den im eigenen Lande lebenden möglich ist. Erst dann weiß man, etwas zu schätzen, wenn man es verloren hat. - Oder verlieren wollte, je nach dem. Auch haben sie beide Seiten der Medaille kennengelernt und im Laufe der Zeit herausgefunden, welche Seite die Wahrheit zeigt.

Das Schlimmste für uns im Ausland lebenden Deutschen, die wir unsere Liebe zu unseren Wurzeln und die Achtung vor den Leistungen unserer Vorfahren bewahrt haben, ist die tagtägliche verlogene, verzerrte, haßerfüllte Berichterstattung in den Medien, die keine Gelegenheit vorübergehen lassen, um auf den Deutschen herumzuhacken.

Wie sehr Deutschland auf die Hilfe der Auslandsdeutschen angewiesen ist, beweist die jüngere Geschichte. Ich will hier nur einen Namen anführen (auf den ich mich beschränken will), den des Deutschamerikaners Prof. Dr. Austin J. App, der - sagen wir mal, auch für Ihren Ruf, Herr Wimmer - für Deutschland mehr getan hat, als Sie überhaupt ahnen können.

Wir Deutsche im Ausland haben sehr wohl das Recht, Kritik an der Politik jenes Landes zu üben, an dessen Wiederaufbau viele von uns beteiligt waren. Wir waren Teil Deutschlands in seiner schwersten Stunde, und wenn wir jetzt auch weit weg sind, so war es uns nie näher, als es uns in seiner tiefen Demütigung heute ist.

> Herta Ruthard Alliston, ON

Minister for Multiculturalism Gerry Weiner Jules Leger Building 15 Eddy Street, 12. Floor Hull, Quebec K1A 0M5 Herta Ruthard R. R. 3 Alliston, Ont., LOM 1AO

Jan. 3. 1991

Re.: Your statement regarding David Irving's speaking tour, Kanada Kurier, Nov.29, 1990.

Dear Mr. Weiner,

In a letter of April 30, 1990 from your office to my husband, regarding that disgraceful article by Eric Nicol in the <u>Vancouver Province</u>, you - through your Executive Assistant - assured my husband of your regret that "any individual or group in this country should be maligned, particularly by those with widespread public access." You stated "that all Canadians and their institutions, including the media, have a responsibility to refrain from exacerbating divisiveness and misunderstanding within our multicultural society."

You sent my husband a copy of a publication, "Working Together Towards Equality." May I ask you what this means? Because I, as German-Canadian, am excluded from this "Equality", because I am of German descent and therefore a "Nazi". I am hurt by the constant branding of my roots, how evil they were and might still be. It is not our fault that we have the desire to every now and then hear a different opinion. It is the fault of this government which fails to ensure that German-Canadians are as equally treated as all the other ethnic groups. To tell us that "our contribution to all aspects of Canadian live has been exemplary" and that "it has had a positive impact on the development of Canada as a nation" is not good enough when at the same time we are reminded over and over again what terrible deeds the Nazis (we) have committed.

The best example occurred only a short time ago on TV, on Dec. 11, 1990, in "Man Alive", where Rudolf Vrba was allowed to tell his story. After watching him, I took my calculator and did a little arithmetic. Mr. Vrba claimed that in Auschwitz four million people were annihilated and he said that for this purpose, 30 trains per month were used to transport the victims to their deaths. Since this mass killing took place over a period of, I think, two years, figures can be worked out about which my good upbringing prohibits comment here, but they have nothing to do with reality.

Vrba and Irving both - of course - create hateful feelings; the one by saying that the Nazis did it, the other that they did not. The one is only able to speak to relatively small groups of people, but the other is allowed to address the whole nation. If one is hate-mongering, is the other not doing the same? Why did you not also try to stop Mr. Vrba's talk, even though it has been known to almost everybody for quite some time now, thanks to authorities such as Yehuda Bauer and others, that the figure of four million in Auschwitz is no longer valid? Because it is legal in this country to spread

hate against Germans over and over again. We know quite a few people who are very upset about the particular broadcast mentioned above, and we consider this hate propaganda against Germans.

Irving is not the only one who has "made a career out of writing and lecturing" about the Holocaust. If you condemn that, there are others too, and shameful enough, - just think of Hollywood! - who made fortunes off the suffering of their own people. I consider our German community very well-behaved; we obey the laws, and are - as far as I know - very minimally represented in the crime records. We don't even expect to get an apology from Mr. Mulroney for wrong-doings against the German-Canadians during WW2. So far, the German ethnic community, although not exactly the smallest of Canada's many ethnic minorities, is the most quiet, one you don't much hear or read about, but it is the most trampled-on.

The fact that the people, Germans as well as others, are now slowly starting to think about what they have been told and shown is, in my opinion, the direct result of how the ghosts of WW2 have been forced to haunt the world ever since. Of course, only the ghosts of the Nazis. If the West had offered the Germans the hand of reconciliation at the right time (they have atoned long enough, and paid, too), and drawn a line underneath the bills of the past, like Kohl and Gorbachev recently did, then the 6 million would perhaps have gone down in history uncontested, and those who fear Irving so much now would - most probably - have been spared him. These are the mistakes of those who believe that it is always only the others who must learn from history.

But all of this fades away into insignificance in the face of the terrible peace-threat which once again looms above us all. And those who cannot overcome the past - will they not also be unable to handle the future? It seems to me that history will always repeat itself. I'm waiting with bated breath for the round-up of Canada's Iraqis. . .

I am only an ordinary housewife but this doesn't mean that I am not able to have my own thoughts, frustrations and worries, and I assume that I am allowed to discuss these with servants of the people - in this case you - without having to be afraid of rebuke.

Sincerely,

Herta Ruthard

cc: Kanada Kurier

Canadian Broadcasting Corporation Man Alive Peter Downie P.O. Box 500, Station A Toronto, Ont. M5W 1E6 Herta Ruthard R.R. 3 Alliston, Ont., L0M 1A0

Dear Mr. Downie,

That I write to you today is due to your broadcast of Dec. 11. 1990.

Since the last war there has only been room in the hearts and minds of the people for Jewish suffering and for the Holocaust.

German suffering is nonexistent, and if there was any then it served them right. Right? Now with the fall of the Berlin Wall and the lifting of the Iron Curtain, incidents come to light which can no longer be covered up and denied. For the ones responsible for these crimes, this time has come too soon, because they hoped that the older people would be dead in time and the younger would show no interest in those old stories of a forgotten time. But those stories are engraved in the minds of the witnesses and survivors, and have also been written down in black on white for eternal times.

Please give me a chance to present the other side of the coin, which in necessary for an understanding of the hatred towards the Germans. It is very unjust to always only listen to one side one should hear both. Please excuse that this attempt in so lengthy. I hope sincerely that you will not put it away, night unseen, because of that.

Incidents like the following are so cruel and so unbelievable that the human mind cannot accept them as true - and yet they are. And there are so many many more, virtually countless. In our possession is the East Documentation of the expulsion of the Germans from the East, 2200 pages of reports from eye witnesses and survivors.

Where are the reports that Germans committed similar crimes? There are none. Germans are peace-loving, good-natured and thoroughly humane. There are many lies told - and believed - about them. And the CBC doesn't help the matter any.

I, together with any parents, was to be forced out of my homeland, the Sudetenland, in 1945. I am such a witness, Mr. Downie, and I am "WOMAN ALIVE", but my story is supposed not to be heard, and probably never will be. It really doesn't matter to me anymore.

Sincerely,

Herta Ruthard

P.S.:

If you had the guts to work through all those cruelties, Mr. Downie, you might think there must be a reason for such an unparalleled hatred. In fact, there is one, about which you will get an

idea from the next translation from Else Loeser's booklet <u>Poland and the Falsifications of Its History</u>. Ms. Loeser has "experienced and suffered through" Poland, she is an expert in every aspect regarding Poland and the Poles, and has written several very interesting and profound brochures about them.

Translation:

For decades, the Polish people have had a malicious saying referring to eternal enmity between Poles and Germans. It goes: "Poki świat światem, Polak Niemcowi nie bedzie bratem." Translated, it means, "until the end of the world, the Pole will never be the German's brother." A truly malevolent saying, for which there is nothing corresponding in all German usage. It expresses the full force of Polish hatred.

Where does this merciless hatred come from? Is it founded on historical fact? No, not at all.

Such profound hatred is alien to German nature. On the German side, there has always been a willingness to bridge differences and to help in any way. We can see this time and time again, right to the present, where we witness that those who expelled us from our homes, who plundered us and even murdered many of us, now seek help from us - and receive it, too. We support Polish mismanagement with sums running to the billions, and the German population donates thousands of tons of food. I have before me a letter of the "Komisja Charytatywna Episkopatu Polski", from Kattowitz, dated April 10, 1981, in which the suffragan bishop Czeslaw Domin, in his capacity as Chairman of the Charitable Commission of the Polish Episcopal Conference confirms the receipt of already 700 tons of food for the people of his native country. He also confirm that he is aware that he is dependent on help from his neighbouring countries. But the help they had given so far was like a drop in the bucket. That is to say, give more. And we give more.

Months have passed since this communication of April 10, 1981, and during all this time, the Germans have collected for and given to Polish Aid - people fall all over themselves out of compassion: Church institutions collect, the schools collect, private individuals collect, organizations do the same, appeals for donations are rosted in hospitals and banks, a minimum value of 25,00 DM for a parcel is even officially declared from the pulpit. It may not be less! And the Germans donate overwhelmingly. Thousands of barrels and tractor- trailers leave for Poland - convincing proof that the Germans do not hate the Poles, and they never have in their long, shared history. But what sort of thanks will we get for it from Poland? Only ever more hatred, for nothing in the world gives rise to more hatred than due to one's own incompetence, to have to repeatedly accept help and gifts - which, in the long run, are felt as charity in order to survive.

What can one say when words such as the following are voiced by a Polish Man of God, Prelate Kos, Canon of Posen?

"Where the German sets down his foot, the earth bleeds for 100 years. Where the German carries water and drinks, wells are foul for 100 years. Where the German breathes, the plague rages for 100 years. Where the German shakes hands, peace breaks down . . . He cheats the strong, he robs and dominates the weak, and if there were a path leading straight to heaven, he wouldn't hesitate to dethrone God himself. And we would even see the German steal the sun from the sky . . ."

(Translated from Else Loeser, Poland and the Falsifications of its History, p. 11.)

And again it is the Germans who rush to the aid of the Poles. As thanks, Walesa wants to have them "erased from the map".

In the 2nd WW, Soviet Russia jumped on the bandwagon of the anti-German forces. Stalin's propaganda minister Ilja Ehrenburg urged the Red soldiers on to the most gruesome deeds, with speeches such as the following:

"We do no longer say good morning or good night! We say in the morning: 'kill the German' and in the evening: 'kill the German!' This is not a matter of books, love, stars, there is only one single thought: to kill the them all. To bury them . . . There is nothing more enjoyable for us than German corpses. Slaughter the German! - begs the old mother. Slaughter the German implores the child. Germans are not human beings. Germans are two-legged animals, ugly creatures, beasts. They have no soul. They are uni-cell living creatures, soul-less microbes which are equipped with machines, arms and mine throwers. When you have slaughtered one German, go ahead and slaughter another one, there is nothing more amusing for us than German corpses!"

Russia thus contributed considerably to the destruction of Germany and its deprivation of its rights. Now, it is in need of help, and this very people support it to the utmost of their ability.

These are the evil Nazis, that is, the Germans. I am looking forward to hearing from you!

A State-Protected Monster

Translation from Juan Maler, Verschwoerung, Buenos Aires, 1980, pp. 209 - 11.

"In May 1945, Kroupa came to St. Joachimsthal, after having previously worked there before 1938 in the state-run tobacco factory; he went to the Mayor, Franz Schmidt, introduced himself as representative of the Czech government, and demanded the handing-over of the mayoral office and the police department. After promising that order and security would be maintained absolutely, the offices were handed over as demanded. As of May 15, 1945, Kroupa acted as Mayor, issued all orders In other words, he is responsible for everything which was perpetrated against the Germans between May 1945 and the end of May 1946. As he lacked guard personnel and sufficient facilities, the arrested persons were transported per bus to Castle Schlackenwerth, 8 km away and occupied by a commando of partisans. There, the prisoners were horribly beaten. Kroupa himself made sure personally that they were severely mistreated . . . I was sent to the infamous Camp Schlackenwerth, of which it was said that whoever was once in the camp never left it alive again. In this camp I was together with 37 other Germans, and we were mistreated in a most bestial manner. In the night of June 5-6, 1945, around 10:00 p.m., 11 or 12 Czechs came with a bench and with blankets which were used to cover the windows. First they grabbed the clock-maker Johann Mueller of St. Joachimsthal, put him on the beach and with a knife cut off both his ears, gouged out his eyes, sank a bayonet into his mouth, broke off his teeth, and broke his bones by crossing his arms over his knees and his legs over the bench. Mind you, all this time the man was alive. And because he was still alive, they tied a wire twice around his neck and dragged him around the room until his neck tore off and the body no longer showed any signs of life. While they dragged him around, a Czech stood on the body to weight it down. The body that was left was no more than a lump of flesh, which was then wrapped in my coat and placed in the middle of the room. Six more were murdered in this way that night; three of them were soldiers from the Reich. Whenever another one was dead, we were again beaten with rubber truncheons. As I speak a bit of Czech, I had to watch the murders, whereas the others had to stand facing the wall. The Germans murdered in this way screamed horribly, as they were slaughtered fully conscious. Through witnessing this, three of the prisoners went insane, and I myself was close to losing my mind. Among those murdered that night, there were also the forest warden Krause and the carpenter Zechel, both of St. Joachimsthal, as well as a Sudeten-German I did not know. All arrests and assignments to Schlackenwerth were ordered by Kroupa . . .

Acting on these accusations (we have only given excerpts here!), the senior district's office in Munich arrested the man when he had fled to Germany, but had to release him again on the orders of the American Occupation Authorities. Under the title "Two kinds of Justice", the newspaper <u>Muenchner Merkur</u> pointed out that all honest and upstanding people in the world ought to demand that the torturers of defenseless persons, regardless of their nationality, should be arraigned before an independent court and punished for their crimes. The newspaper <u>Allgmeine Zeitung</u>, of Mainz, asked in an editorial: "Shall Frantisek Kroupa also go unpunished because he was on the side of the victors?", and the <u>Darmstaedter Echo</u> refers to the Moscow Pact of 1943, which stated: "May those who have not yet stained their hands with blood beware of joining the ranks of the guilty." Millions of Germans have been mistreated, robbed, expelled. And yet, to this day, no judge has spoken up and tried to enforce this Moscow Pact when the victims were Germans."

"... One has dragged pitiable individuals around a room naked by a wire around the neck, across a floor which nails jutted out of, one has dragged them in this condition across a torn-up coalcatching tray and subjected them to tortures that only absolute sadists could think of... There is something else that must be credited to Frantisek Kroupa's account - perhaps the most gruesome of his crimes. In the same manner (dragging around the room, etc.), Kroupa had Mr. Max Steinfelsner, sawmill owner, bestially tortured for 14 days and finally hanged from a tree in front of the St. Joachimsthal town hall. He summoned the entire population of St. Joachimsthal to this. They had to witness this horrible act. Anyone who wanted to stay home was threatened that they would be shot. Many people fainted in the face of this terrible event and at the sight of the hideously mutilated Steinfelsner."

We mention these facts within the context of our discussions in 1979, at a place in this book where we speak of the <u>United States of America</u>, for this reason:

The criminal Kroupa is today living unchallenged in the United States, where he could get to safely under the particular protection of this world power even though his terrible crimes had been made known to the public and the authorities by an entire series of German newspapers who were at that time not yet neglected and decadent. And this situation persists while the majority of the Bundestag (West German Parliament) votes for the one-sided non-termination of guilt of German "war crimes": <u>One-sided</u> non-termination, however - and surely not only lawyers realize this - means (as does all one-sided application of criminal law) that all administration of justice based on such a maxim are nothing more than regulated personal acts of revenge. There can be no talk of justice in the face of one-sidedness! Public prosecutors and judges who dispense expressly one-sided justice are a disgrace to their position. They soil the reputation of German courts. They act on totally primitive, personal, criminal impulses, not on an ethical basis. Equality before the law is the basis of justice and the most powerful keystone of the Christian West! Hony soit qui mal y pense!

Translated from the German:

40 years ago: Sudeten-German History Book

Eisenhower's greatest mistake was that, contrary to the constant demands of Churchill and the British Chiefs of Staff, he did not let the American army advance further into Bohemia. The Americans would have been perfectly capable of occupying all of Bohemia and a quarter of Moravia.

Because of that, Czechoslovakia was lost to the West - with grave consequences. Obviously, this also had repercussions for us Sudeten-Germans.

In Western Bohemia, the resettlement began only about half a year after Potsdam, whereas the so called "wild" (not legalized) expulsions were started in the Russian-occupied parts as early as May 1945. To say nothing of the indescribable atrocities that were vented on the Germans in the first months after the War's end. To avenge the approximately 10,000 Czechs that had fallen victim to the Nazi regime, they massacred roughly a quarter million Germans, usually in a most bestial manner.

From the environs of Bilina, men and women were driven together in the market square, had to strip naked and were made to walk single-file while being beaten by the population with whips and canes. Then especially the men had to crawl on all fours, like dogs, one behind the other, during which they were beaten until they soiled themselves; each had to lick the excrement off the one in front of him. This torture continued until many of them had been beaten to death. The Pastor of Radowesitz was among these latter. What was done to the women there simply cannot be described, the sadistic monstrosity is simply too great.

The Flood of Degeneration

Were they still human, the people who on May 9, on Wenzel Square, Karl Square and in the Rittergasse, doused Germans with gasoline, hung them feet-upward from poles and lanterns, set them on fire and then watched, cheering the agony of the living torches, which lasted all the longer because the victims had been hung head-downward as precautionary measure so that the rising smoke could not choke them? Were they still human, the people who took German soldiers, but civilians and women no less, bound them together with barbed wire, riddled them with bullets, then plunged the human bundles into the Moldau? Were they still human, the people who drowned German children in the vats of water intended for extinguishing fires?

"Caesarean Section", Czech-style

Mrs. Josefine Waimann:

Masaryk Stadium was an inferno.

I am at a loss for words to describe what happened in the first days in the stadium, where 40,000 Germans were gradually herded together, without water, almost without food, men, women, children, soldiers. My little children cried for hunger.

Before our very eyes, the sadistic justice of revenge began against SS-men and

Herrn Peter Seidel Jüdisches Museum <u>6000 Frankfurt/Main</u> Deutschland Walter Ruthard R.R. 3 Alliston, Ont., LOM 1A0 Canada

Juli 1991

Herr Seidel,

vor einiger Zeit fand ich Ihre Broschüre "Germany for the Jewish Traveller" im deutschen Konsulat in Toronto, Kanada. Bitte erlauben Sie mir, dazu ein paar Bemerkungen zu machen, zu denen ich mich als Deutscher berechtigt fühle.

Ihre Broschüre enthält verschiedene Feststellungen, die auf unterschwellige Weise den Eindruck erwecken, daß alles, was sich während des Dritten Reiches ereignete, nicht akzeptabel und daher für zivilisierte Menschen ablehnenswert ist.

1) Sie behaupten, daß die Zerstörung der Maurischen Synagoge in der Berliner Oranienburger Strasse auf Brandstiftung während der Kristallnacht am 9. November 1938 zurückgeht. Tatsächlich wurde sie durch alliierte Bomben im Juni 1943 zerstört. Einen ähnlich irreführenden Hinweis machte übrigens auch der Landesverband der Israelitischen Kultusgemeinden in Bayern. In seinem "Wegweiser durch das jüdische Berlin", 1987, wird behauptet, daß die Synagoge am 9. November 1938 angezündet wurde. Mit der geschichtlichen Wahrheit nimmt man es da nicht so genau, nicht wahr?

2) Weiterhin unterstellen Sie, daß die Arme der "Ecclesia" an den Kathedralen von Worms, Bamberg und Trier abgebrochen sind, während die Statuen der "Synagoge" unversehrt blieben, aufgrund von "Zeit und Vorsehung", wie Sie es ausdrücken. Wieder wird ein uninformierter Leser dazu gebracht, zu glauben, daß die "Ecclesias", das Symbol der Kirche, "bestraft" wurden für die Ungerechtigkeit, die den Juden in Deutschland widerfuhr, während die "Synagogen" nicht beschädigt wurden, aus dem gleichen Grund. Ich forschte bei den geistlichen Behörden der drei Dome nach und in keinem Fall kann man mit einiger Aufrichtigkeit behaupten, daß solch ein wundersames Ereignis, wie Sie es Ihren Lesern anbieten, je stattfand.

<u>Worms</u>: Der Grund für die fehlenden Arme der "Ecclesia" ist die Umweltverschmutzung, die schon lange vor der letzten Jahrhundertwende einsetzte. Die Position der Ecclesia, hoch über dem Südportal des Domes und den übrigen Statuen, einschließlich der Synagoge macht dies offensichtlich, wie zwei Fotos eindeutig zeigen, die ich bekommen habe.

<u>Trier</u>: An dieser Kathedrale hat es nie eine Ecclesia und Synagoge gegeben: Sie befanden sich jedoch an der nahegelegenen Liebfrauenkirche und die Originale wurden kurz nach 1913 entfernt

"incriminated" people, who were tortured to death in every conceivable way. Most deeply carved into my mind is the memory of the pregnant woman whose belly very young, uniformed Czechs slit open, ripped out the fetus and then, howling with joy, stuffed a dachshund into the torn body of the horribly screaming woman.

We cowered in the grandstands. The slaughter happening in the arena before our very eyes was like that in ancient Rome.

Kanada Kurier, 6. Juni 1991

Einheit macht stark

Zu Artikel "Richtigstellung . . . " vom 2. 5. 91 (Juri Klugmann)

Dem KK gebührt Lob und Dank, daß er in den für manchen sicher recht sauren Apfel gebissen hat und die Rede des TCA-Präsidenten, Herrn Erhard Matthaes, in seiner Ausgabe vom 2. 5. 91 veröffentlichte. Sie war außerordentlich aufschlußreich, und es ist kein Wunder, daß diese Organisation überlebt hat und sich landesweiten Respektes erfreuen darf. Hier bewahrheitet sich wieder das alte Sprichwort: "Ehrlich währt am längsten."

Im KK vom 29. 11. 90 wurde auf der Leserbriefseite das Statement des Herrn Minister Weiner, betreffend David Irvings "speaking tour", uns Deutschkanadiern zur Kenntnis gebracht. Mit solchen Unverschämtheiten kann man eigentlich nur uns kommen, die - dessen man uns wohl immer wieder versichert - ach so viel zum Gedeihen Kanadas beigetragen haben, aber auf denen man dessen ungeachtet immer fleißig herumtrampelt.

Mein Protestbrief an Minister Weiner wurde leider (oder vielleicht auch Gott sei Dank) vom KK nicht veröffentlicht. Minister Weiner hat mir auch - entgegen seiner Gewohnheit - nicht darauf geantwortet. Vielleicht hat er sich geschämt!

In diesem Zusammenhang fiel uns ein Leserbrief des Herrn Erhard Matthaes, Präsident der TCA, auf, der uns sehr imponierte. Wir kamen in Kontakt mit Herrn Matthaes, und die unaufdringliche Art, in der er uns unsere Fragen über Sinn und Zweck seiner Organisation, und inwieweit sie sich vom DKK unterscheidet, beantwortete hat uns sehr gefallen. Für uns war es sofort klar, daß das nicht nur die Heimstatt für uns getretene, bedrohte und gemaßregelte

Kanadier mit deutschen Gefühlen ist, wo wir Schutz und Hilfe finden, sollten wir dessen bedürfen, sondern, daß wir diese Organisation in unserem ureigensten Interesse durch unsere Mitgliedschaft zu einem starken Verband machen müssen, damit wir als Minderheit in einem fremden Land nicht unter die Räder geraten. Das Heimatrecht ist einem nirgends garantiert "… wenn es dem bösen Nachbarn nicht gefällt", nicht einmal im eigenen Land. Was ist, wenn plötzlich dem Onkel Sam die Nase des Michels nicht mehr gefällt? Oder wenn man aus ihm den

letzten Pfennig herausgequetscht hat "bis die Kerne krachen", so daß der goldene Strom versiegt? Wären wir dann als "Abkömmlinge" dieser Rasse in Kanada noch sicher? Wahrscheinlich nicht, denn Kanada läßt sich vor Interessenkarren spannen (siehe Irak).

Einheit macht stark. Das sollte uns \$10 im Jahr wert sein!

Herta Ruthard Alliston, ON und befinden sich nun im Museum. Kopien der Statuen wurden während des Zweiten Weltkrieges zerstört. Durch allijerte Bomben, nehme ich an.

Bamberg: Die Arme der Ecclesia sind auf den frühesten Fotos, die schon Ende des neunzehnten Jahrhunderts angefertigt wurden, nicht sichtbar. 1936 wurden die zwei Figuren ins Dominnere gebracht, da der Erhaltungszustand schon damals schlecht war. Es kann nicht festgestellt werden, wann die Arme der Ecclesia abfielen. Wiederum, eine genaue Inspektion der Fotokopie einer Aufnahme des Fürstentores, wo die zwei Statuen sich befinden, zeigt, daß die Arme der Ecclesia vom Körper abstanden und deshalb den Wettereinflüssen stärker ausgesetzt waren. als die Synagoge mit ihren hängenden Armen. Diese Fotokopie stammt aus dem Buch "Die Bamberger Domskulpturen" von Artur Weese, Straßburg 1914, 2. Auflage.

3) Und nun zu Ihrer Behauptung, daß im Gebiet des gegenwärtigen Deutschland, "besonders um Köln", jüdische Siedler ansässig waren, "möglicherweise sogar vor den teutonischen Ahnen der heutigen Deutschen". Ich sehe das als einen geschmacklosen Witz an, der auch noch die vielgeplagten Juden verhöhnt. Deuten Sie einen weiteren Holocaust an? Sind Sie am Ende gar ein verkappter Judenhasser und Antisemit?

4) Eine herausfordernde Frage stellt sich einem, wenn man Ihre Broschüre liest: eine erstaunliche Menge jüdischer Zeugnisse haben offensichtlich die Zerstörungswut der Nationalsozialisten überlebt. In Schopfloch, in Württemberg, wird sogar heute noch jiddisch gesprochen! Sie müssen bemerkenswert schlampige Burschen gewesen sein, jene Nazis, die so viel Jüdisches zurückließen zur Bewunderung für die Neugierigen von heute, während sie andererseits, wie jeder Kindergartenschüler weiss, den "Holocaust" mit größter Perfektion durchführten. Da stimmt doch etwas nicht und man fragt sich, ob sie wirklich die Absicht hatten, alles Nichtarische zu zerstören. Der Verdacht kommt auf, da ja nun der Holocaust offensichtlich an Auszehrung leidet und von 12,5 Millionen, wie mir in der Schule eingetrichtert wurde, auf 3 Millionen schrumpfte.

Es scheint mir, daß der Geist dieser Broschüre nach Speichelleckerei riecht, eine der widerwärtigsten Weisen, sich die Achtung anderer - in diesem Falle die der Juden - zu erbetteln. Was ihnen angetan wurde und ob alles wahr ist, was behauptet wird, braucht doch niemanden zu zwingen, sich generationenlang wie ein Reptil aufzuführen: wie können Sie erwarten, den Respekt dieser Leute zu erlangen, wenn Sie sich so anbiedern? Die sehen doch auch, mit welchen Kreaturen sie es zu tun haben und zeigen ihre Verachtung bei jeder Gelegenheit, wofür ich sie nicht einmal tadeln kann. Das haben schon andere, z.B. einer unserer teuersten Freunde, der selige Winston Churchill bemerkt. Vor seiner Abreise nach Aachen, im Jahre 1956, zur Verleihung des Karlspreises, meinte er: "Ich, der Hauptverursacher der Vernichtung des Deutschen Reiches, bin bei den Deutschen jetzt ein Held. Komisch". Und danach meinte er sogar: "Nach einem verlorenen Krieg werden die Deutschen zu widerlichen Kriechern". Wie wahr!

Fahren Sie fort so. Auf diese Weise stellen Sie sich langsam aber sicher selbst ins Aus. Wie sagt man doch: zuerst kommen die Geschichtenschreiber, dann die Geschichtsschreiber.

Mit vorzüglicher Verachtung, Walter Ruthard German National Tourist Office, Attn. Mr. Peter Seidel, 747 Third Avenue, New York, NY 10017 Walter Ruthard R.R. 3 Alliston, Ont., L0M 1A0 Canada

September 5, 1991

Mr. Seidel,

Some time ago, I found your brochure "Germany for the Jewish Traveller" in the German Consulate in Toronto, Canada. I wrote to you c/o Jüdisches Museum in Frankfurt, Germany, yet was told that you are not employed at that institution and that they are not responsible for the contents of your brochure and had never seen it. They also claim not to even know you, yet you sign with "Peter Seidel, , Jüdisches Museum, Frankfurt". Please permit me to make some comments relevant to your brochure, to which I, as German, feel entitled.

Your brochure contains various statements which subliminally evoke the impression that everything and anything which occurred during the Third Reich is unacceptable and thus to be rejected by civilized human beings.

- (1) You claim on p. 7 that arson on Kristallnacht, November 9, 1938, was the cause of the destruction of the Moorish Synagogue in the Oranienburger Strasse of Berlin. In fact, however, that synagogue was destroyed by Allied bombs in June of 1943. Incidentally, the Landesverband of the Israeli religious community in Bavaria makes a similar misleading claim. In its "Wegweiser durch das jüdische Berlin" of 1987, it contends that this Synagogue was torched on November 9, 1938. As for the historical truth - who cares, right?
- (2) You further imply (p. 13) that the arms of 'Ecclesia' are broken off in the Cathedrals of Worms, Bamberg and Trier, whereas the statues of 'Synagoga' remained unscathed, due to "time and design", as you put it (ibid.). The uninformed reader is again manoeuvred into believing that the 'Ecclesias', the symbol of the Church, were 'punished' for the injustices done to the Jews in Germany, while the 'Synagogas' were not damaged, for the same reason.

I have made inquiries with the religious authorities of all three Cathedrals, and in no case one can claim with any degree of honesty that a miraculous event such as you offer your readers ever took place.

- Worms: The reason for 'Ecclesia's missing arms is environmental pollution, which began long before the turn of the last century. The maximum-exposure location of Ecclesia, high above the Cathedral's southern portal and the other statues - including Synagoga - clearly supports this, as two photographs which I received plainly show.
- <u>Trier</u>: This Cathedral never even had statues of Ecclesia and Synagoga! They were, however, located in the nearby Liebfrauenkirche, from whence the originals were removed shortly after 1913 and are now kept in the museum. Replicas of the statues

were destroyed during the Second World War. By Allied bombs, I presume.

- Bamberg: The arms of the Ecclesia are not visible on the earliest photographs ever taken here, at the end of the 19th century. The two figures were placed inside the Cathedral in 1936 as their state of preservation was poor even then. It cannot be determined when Ecclesia's arms fell off. Again, a detailed inspection of a photocopy of a picture taken of the Fürstentor, where the two statues are located, reveals that Ecclesia's arms were angled away from the body and were thus subjected more severely to the effects of weather than was Synagoga with her hanging arms. This photocopy is from the book "Die Bamberger Domskulpturen: by Artur Weese, Straßburg, 1914, 2nd ed.
- (3) And now to your claim that in the region of present-day Germany and "notably Cologne... Jews were probably present before the Teutonic ancestors of today's Germans." (P. 1) I cannot but regard this as a joke in particularly poor taste, which even mocks the much-harassed Jews. Are you insinuating another Holocaust? Or are you perhaps even a closet Jew-hater and anti-Semite?
- (4) Reading your brochure raises a provocative question: an astonishing number of Jewish testimonials obviously survived the National Socialists' mania of destruction. Yiddish is even still spoken today in Schopfloch, Württemberg (p. 33). They must have done remarkably sloppy work, those Nazis, to leave so many things Jewish behind for the curious of today to admire, whereas on the other hand, as every kindergarten child knows, they carried out the 'Holocaust' with utmost perfection. Something is wrong here, and one asks oneself whether they really did intend to destroy everything non-Aryan. Such suspicions come up as of themselves when one considers, for example, the Holocaust - which is obviously suffering from consumption and has shrunk from 12.5 million, as was taught me in school, to now only 3 million. . .

It seems to me that the spirit of this brochure reeks of bootlicking - one of the most disgusting ways to wheedle for the respect of others, in this case, the Jews. Surely what was done to them, and whether everything that is claimed to have happened is actually true, is no reason for anyone to grovel on the ground like some reptile, for generations on end! How can you expect to win these people's respect if you curry favour with them like this! They, too, have eyes and see what sort of creatures they are dealing with, and show their contempt on every possible occasion, for which I cannot even criticize them. Others before us have noticed that too, for example one of our dearest friends, the late Winston Churchill. On the occasion of his departure for Aachen in 1956, to receive the Karlspreis, he remarked: "I, the main cause of the Third Reich's destruction, I am now lauded as hero by the Germans. Funny." And after that, he even said, "After a lost war, the Germans become repulsive bootlickers." How true!

Keep it up. With this sort of work, you are only slowly but surely preparing your own exeunt from the stage of significance. How does the saying go: first there are the writers of stories, then the writers of history.

Yours most disrespectfully,

Walter Ruthard

Walter Ruthard R. R. #3, Alliston, Ont. LOM 1AO

September 18, 1991

Dear Ron,

In your August 1991 supplementary section, I read with great interest Eric Butler's article about Yugoslavia and the New World Order. It confirms many things I already know and omits a few that I as German-Canadian would have liked to read about. But that is not the issue of my letter to you.

Before I come to the purpose of this letter, let me tell you how much my wife and I and some of our friends enjoyed Eric Butler's lecture in Barrie, Ont., last winter. Meeting so many people with similar views contributed to the sense of not being completely alone in our belief in the attainability of a different political climate in this country.

Now to the New World Order. In one of our books by Juan Maler of Argentina, "Verschwörung" ("Conspiracy"), p. 275, I came across a passage where he quotes an excerpt from Bertrand Russel's book "The Impact of Science on Society", London, 1952. Please refer to the attached photocopy. It was retranslated into English. We were not successful in locating a copy of B. Russel's book that still contains this excerpt in its original form. The book we did finally locate was obviously sanitized of it, if one is to believe Juan Maler. Judging him by his other books, I think he is a most serious researcher who has accumulated a vast store of knowledge, especially about the coming of the New World Order and the people behind it.

Finally, a thought of my own, although I am not certain of how you will receive it. It may also seem a little far-fetched to most people - but a candidate for the loony bin I am not.

My interest in politics began when I was already the ripe old age of 45, five years after I came to Canada. I was prompted by the still-existing hatred of everything German, and I eventually became incensed enough to be willing to check up on some of the 'truths' they report about us Germans. Much to my relief and surprise, many are to even close to being true.

In the course of this process, the idea hit me, "Why is it that most people believe, sight unseen, whatever is presented to them in the media?" I myself was no exception. This fact would be the ideal ground for the New World Order to be based on.

Coming back to B. Russel's book: Is there already some sort of influence aimed at steering people towards being interested only in hockey? We all know that it is possible to influence the masses by propaganda, as proven by the late Goebbels, as well as recently in the Gulf War, but what is it that makes people swallow it without expending one thought of their own and 'swallow' might be the key word. Is It a substance in Coca Cola and other soft drinks, even beer, that are consumed in huge quantities? Is it in city water or even in over-the-counter drugs, or could it be in fast food, hamburgers, donuts, cereals, anything the public buys?

None of us has any control whatsoever over any of these products, as far as the ingredients that are put into them are concerned. If you ever took a closer look at the old people in nursing homes, you will know what I mean.

Sincerely yours, Walter Ruthard

Hlinge as K.L. Auschwitz wünschen den Hochzeitspaar /. cine redet GLÜCKLICHE ZUKONFT.

Grußblatt der KZ-Häftlinge, Ehepaar Friemel (1944),

This photo of a marriage announcement congratulating the newlyweds Mr & Mrs Friemel was circulated on March 18th, 1944. You"II notice the bride was given flowers and the couple, and also their son, were photographed by the camp photographer! The happy couple spent their first night in the camp brothel where they found some solitude, peace and quiet.

In closing, let us repeat, from The Jewish Daily Forward: "thousands of babies were born in Auschwitz" — one more time: "thousands of babies were born in Auschwitz". Let that sink in.

William Campbell Douglass, M.D. P. 0. Box 1568 Clayton, CA 30525 USA Walter Ruthard R. R. #3 Alliston, Ont. LOM 1AO Canada

Oct. 21, 1991

Dear Dr. Douglass,

this letter is in response to your article "The Conspiracy to Stop Medical Alternatives" in "Health Freedom News" of June 1987, which we came across only yesterday.

You are not unknown to us. We have read all of your books and expanded our knowledge, especially about the AIDS scare.

In the aforementioned magazine, you are described by a disenchanted reader as shallow and rude. This led me to hope that you can take a blow or two without harm to your mental well-being, and lets me come to the purpose of this letter. I hope that you, as scientifically trained man with the

ability to think logically and for yourself, will have the curiosity to take a minute or two to ponder the validity of what I have to tell you. It is prompted by your remark that in the Third Reich under Adolf Hitler, "people were popped into the oven."

Surely you must also have heard by now that some people claim that people were not popped into the oven. Your fellow-American, Fred Leuchter, is one of them, and the trials and tribulations he is currently going through support my belief that he could be right in saying that people were not burned in Auschwitz and other places. Another striking example is Professor Faurisson, the French historian who claimed the same thing and was punished for it by being savagely beaten up. He thinks now that they give him another 18 months to live.

Now to the things that can be checked by non-historians like you and me. When I grew up in Germany after the War, once in a while my mother was able to find some meat to feed us children. After the bones were picked very clean, she would toss them into the fire of our stove, hoping they would burn up. They never did. They came out of the ashes as clean calcium, exactly in the shape in which they had been thrown into the fire. Bones don't burn, and everyone can confirm this by making inquiries at the local crematorium. Even today, with modern equipment, bones can't be burned. They have to be crushed in order to fit into an urn. One can also obtain confirmation of this fact by talking to East Indian immigrants. In India, the deceased are "burned" on pyres. There are approximately 850 million experts on this matter living in that country.

Now, nobody has claimed to date that the Germans used bone grinders in Auschwitz and the other places. If people were "popped into the oven" there, then even today there must be a few million skeletons to be found - a thing that also nobody has claimed.

I don't have to be more explicit, I am sure you get the picture. One of the reasons, as I see it, for this sordid story of burning people in ovens lies in Hollywood, where big money is made of it, just as money is made by the big drug companies that feed on unlucky patients. I am certain that you know a lot more about this than I do.

It is no disgrace to come to the conclusion that one has been hoodwinked for decades. Billions have been taken in, among them many highly intelligent people, but it would be shameful not to recognize facts against better knowledge. As one of your great Presidents said: "You can fool all of the people some of the time, and you can fool some of the people all of the time, but you can't fool all of the people all of the time!"

I am hoping that you stayed with me to here, and that you will take a few minutes to think this over with the analytical mind of the scientist you are, because I believe that you are anything but shallow.

Sincerely,

Walter Ruthard

Mr. William Campbell Douglass M.D. P.O. Box 888 Clayton, Georgia 30525 U.S.A. Walter Ruthard R.R. 3 Alliston, Ont., LOM 1A0 Canada

December 25, 1991

Dear Dr. Douglass,

thank you for your reply to my letter of Nov. 22, 1991. I was delighted and surprised to receive an answer from you. Delighted because I found a man who is still able to use his own brain and come to unpopular conclusions (which you already proved in your books), and surprised because I did not expect an answer, on the grounds of past experience when I wrote letters of this kind. Of course, not getting a response proves that I was right on the mark, and that is the point of the exercise.

I am not very informed about the Japanese atrocities you hint at, as I am more preoccupied with finding out the truth about us Germans. You are right when you say that it is interesting that Japanese wrongdoings are hardly ever mentioned. This is something I will be looking into in the future.

Yet the Germans and Japanese, as the vanquished nations in that war, are hardly the only ones full of guilt. There are also the victors, the British who exterminated roughly three million German civilians through bombing unarmed cities like Dresden, and many others, the Russians, Poles, and Czechs who caused another three million to perish even after the war. The Yugoslavs, whose present plight is only partly recognized by our not always honest news media, also count among those who have their gruesome share in W II and after.

Somewhat hesitantly, because I realize that this may hurt your feelings as an American whom I greatly respect, I have to mention the deaths of one million German prisoners of war on the banks of the Rhine, where they lived without shelter until they died of starvation and exposure, at the responsibility of General Eisenhower and with the full cooperation of the French. This also happened after the War ended. I know what I am talking about, I once worked with one of the survivors. This can be looked up in James Bacque's book "Other Losses", which is available in the U.S.A. from the Institute for Historical Review, 1922 ¹/₂ Newport Blvd., Suite 191, Costa Mesa 92627. But I assume that you have devoted your time to a more useful and rewarding purpose than to denouncing wrongdoers. Good for you, because the latter can make you pretty sick.

Thank you again for your attention.

Sincerely,

Walter Ruthard

I once worked with one of the survivors. This can be looked up in James Bacque's book "Other Losses", which is available in the U.S.A. from the Institute for Historical Review, 1822 1/2 Newport Blvd., Suite 191, Costa "esa, CA 92627. But I assume that you have devoted your time to a more useful and rewarding nurmose than to denouncing wrongdoers. Good for you, because the latter can make you pretty sick.

Thank you again for your ottention.

_ I have the book an sincerely, also anare of Operation Keelband, Wi Zulland Myardo D. D.

Kanada Kurier, 31. Oktober 1991

"Einig Volk von Tirolern"

Offener Brief an die Redaktion der "Badischen Zeitung", Freiburg/Breisgau

Als wir noch in Deutschland lebten, bezogen wir Ihre Zeitung. Das ist schon lange her, und der Abdruck eines Kommentars Ihrer Zeitung im Kanada Kurier, vom 3. Oktober 1991, veranlaßt mich, meine Meinung dazu zu Ihrer Kenntnis zu bringen.

Es handelt sich um Ihre Kritik an den Bestrebungen der Südtiroler, von Italien wegzukommen. Nun mag es in Deutschland, besonders im Westen, zum guten Ton gehören, sich als "Weltbürger" zu fühlen, aber das ist doch kein Grund, die Menschen in Südtirol, die ja auch Deutsche sind und möglicherweise sogar wissen, was sie tun, zu verurteilen, wenn sie andere politische Vorstellungen haben als Sie! Ich meine, daß die Südtiroler sehr wohl ein Recht auf Selbstbestimmung haben. Das wird nicht einmal von der höchsten Autorität, an die die Deutschen

Grausen, und er fleht mich an, ihn doch nach Kanada mitzunehmen, dort kann er wenigstens bei alten Freunden besserer Zeiten gedenken; als Intelligenzler ist er sich sehr wohl der katastrophalen Konsequenzen bewußt, die die Einführung des ECU für Deutschland mit sich bringt!

Tja, da sitzen wir nun, Ein Deutscher und ein Kanadier. Der ICE ist fort, in Richtung Zukunft. Der Deutsche hat den Anschluß verpasst und der Kanadier? Während ich versuche, das herauszufinden, bin ich aufgewacht.

Herta Ruthard Alliston, ON

Kanada Kurier, 12. März 1992

IN ENGLISH ON p. 309

Multikultur funktioniert nicht

Betr.: Kongreß-Nachrichten, KK 23.1.1992

Kanadier für Multikulturalismus? Die 3,300 befragten Kanadier sollen ganz Kanada repräsentieren? Man sollte diese 77, 63 und 62 Prozent, die den Multikulturalismus durch die rosa Brille schen, einmal auf etwas anderes hin befragen, z.B. wieviel Prozent von ihnen auf einem Auge blind, bzw. stark kurzsichtig sind und wieviel Prozent Nachplapperer, bzw. nicht selbständig Denkende sind, da käme vielleicht ein interessantes Ergebnis heraus!

In der Multikultur finden wir das Aufeinanderprallen von Gegensätzen und das Unverständnis füreinander. Multikultur funktionierte bisher auf Dauer in keinem Land der Erde. Nicht einmal im Vorbild Melting Pot USA. Ich erinnere nur an die Spannungen zwischen den verschiedenen Rassen in New York, Miami, Florida, Chicago, San Francisco und anderen Städten. Heute bekämpfen sich die Jugoslawen untereinander, und in der ehemaligen Sowjetunion, dem Arbeiter- und Bauernparadies, hat es auch schon angefangen.

Ferner verweise ich auf die Meldung im KK vom 23.1.92, seite 6, "An Sieg vor 500 Jahren erinnert", in der es heißt, daß die Spanier und Portugiesen nach nicht einmal 800 Jahren bereit waren, mit Moslems zusammenzuleben.

Kanada ist auch nicht frei von Rassendiskriminierung, wenn Weiße als "White Trash" (in Toronto) bezeichnet werden. Auch wir Deutschkanadier haben unsere besonderen Probleme in diesem Land, um es einmal milde auszudrücken.

Eine andere unangenehme Nebenerscheinung des Multikulturalismus ist, daß alle ethnischen Gruppen dem Volkszorn ausgesetzt sind, wenn sich deren Heimatland "schlecht benimmt". Bestes jüngstes Beispiel ist das der drei MP's in China; sie haben nicht daran gedacht, das Sprichwort "When in Rome, do as the Romans do" auf China anzuwenden. Viele Chinesen in Kanada haben diesen Vorfall jetzt auszubaden.

Und wer jetzt immer noch an den Multikulturalismus glaubt, lebt entweder an der Zeit vorbei, hat ein Brett vor dem Kopf oder gehört selbst zu einer der bevorzugten Minoritäten. Schon in der Bibel gibt es eine Stelle, die von vielen als Warnung vor dem Multikulturalismus gedeutet wird: der Turm zu Babel.

Das erzwungene Zusammenleben von Menschen verschiedener Rassen und Mentalitäten führt unweigerlich zu Konflikten, die die Herrschenden zu unterdrücken suchen; sie brauchen sich ja nicht mit den Problemen der Unzufriedenen, Unverstandenen, Bedrängten und Gefährdeten auseinanderzusetzen (wie schon eine deutsche Zeitung so treffend schrieb: "Sie verschanzen sich am Abend in ihren Villen und schimpfen auf ihre Wähler").

Die geförderte Vermischung der Rassen ("daß es der Hans nicht länger mit der Grete treiben muß" - ein deutscher Politiker!) Und das unkontrollierte Hereinlassen von u.a. recht fraglichen Elementen aus aller Herren Länder, wie es in Deutschland, Frankreich, England und auch in Kanada praktiziert wird, ist unserer Meinung nach - und besonders in Zeiten von Pestilenz und Umweltkatastrophen - schlicht gesagt unverantwortlich!

Herta Ruthard Alliston, ON

Herta Ruthard R.R. #3 Alliston, Ont., LOM 1AO Canada

March 20. 1992

AN OPEN LETTER TO:

HRH Elizabeth The Queen Mother Clarence House London SW 1 England

Madam,

you have probably received many letters opposing the erection of the monument for "Butcher Harris".

A few days ago, a copy of the magazine "Awake" was brought to my home by Jehova's Witnesses. In it, I read something which shocked me beyond belief. In an article, "A Long Way From Home, I Promised to Serve God", Gerd Fechner, now living in Australia., tells his story:

"The flying sleet and snow stung our faces. The icy wind had now become a gale. Our truck drivers refused to go any farther. 'All out and walk:' The terse command was barked in such a way that none of us dared to refuse. So we walked the last two miles or so back to our camp in Siberia - miserable, homesick, and cold..

There were about 150 of us - all German prisoners in the custody of 6 Russian guards. The

relentless storm was so severe that we had to lean 45 degrees into the wind. Visibility was down to about five men ahead of us. From time to time, the furious head wind would suddenly drop, causing us to fall forward on our faces!"

(This kid's crime was being a member of the Hitler Youth, for which he was now being punished.)

"Finally, we arrived at the camp, completely exhausted. It was that night in Siberia, with the temperature 60 degrees below zero Fahrenheit, that I promised God if I ever got back. home to Germany, I would find some way to serve him.

Problems in Wartime

I was born in 1929 in Berlin, Germany. When I was about ten, I enrolled in the Hitler Youth movement. Later, Mother wanted me to be confirmed in the church, so she had me attend religious instruction classes. Sadly, just two days before my confirmation, she died. I was very lonely and began to pray often as best I knew how, talking to God about my problems.

World War II was intensifying, and there were air raids on Berlin almost every day and every night. The cruel pattern was for a wave of bombers to fly over and drop incendiary bombs, usually phosphorus. Then, as people - mainly women and children - left their shelters to extinguish the fires, they were caught out in the open and blown to pieces as the next wave of bombers dropped their larger bombs loaded with explosives.

One winter the Royal Air Force dropped time bombs that were preset to explode, not on impact, but at 7:00 p.m. on December 24. They knew that families would be together on that night before Christmas. The question kept going through my mind: 'Why does God allow such terrible things to happen?'"....

This article is but the most recent of many reasons why I join the protesters and write to you.

While people around the world were celebrating the birth of Christ, the Royal Air Force set out to bring horribly timed death. A birthday present from Butcher Harris (or should I say, from England?): Chopped-up roasted German women and children! On HIS birthday, on December 24th!

Please, take into consideration the feelings of those people who experienced such hatred first-hand before you make your decision to honour Death incarnate for reaping one of the biggest crops in history. And the Americans gladly did their part!

This project could backfire, and the monument of honour could some day become a monument of disgrace for your entire nation.

I believe the God watching over England is the same as the one watching over Germany, and I don't think that HE would approve that Butcher Harris, of all people, should get a monument.

I remain, with the greatest respect, Madam,

Herta Ruthard

P.S.: I enclose an article, "Monument for the Butcher", translated from the Deutsche National-Zeiturng, February 21, 1992, p.1O, with a photograph of one of the countless funeral pyres necessary to dispose of the dead bodies, to prevent epidemics.

Monument for the Butcher.

Deutsche National Zeitung, February 21, 1992, p.10. Translation

On the night of the l3th to l4th February, 1945, British fighter bombers directed an infernal blow of destruation as yet unequalled in recorded history, against Dresden, a German city crammed full of refugees. This blow dealt a terrible death to some 250,000 women, children and men (most of them war-disabled) and destroyed buildings as well as cultural assets of immeasurable value. The man chiefly responsible for this gigantic mass murder, who also has the bombing of numerous other German cities and hundreds of thousands of other murdered people on his conscience, is the British Air Vice-Marshal Arthur Harris, whom British soldiers of the Second World War succinctly called "Butcher Harris". This war criminal was by no means executed, but rather died peacefully at age 91, even if he was the only high-ranking British officer to come away empty-handed from the medal conferment ceremony at New Year's, 1946.

As early as 1942, his demands that Germany be turned into a wasteland was hotly debated even in Great Britain. But in May coming up, this mass-murderer is to receive a belated honour after all. The British "Bomber Command Association" wants to erect a larger-than-life monument of Harris in front of the garrison church of London; the Queen Mother herself will do the honours at the ceremony.

Before British royalty lend their support to this provocative anti-German act, they ought to review photos such as the one reproduced here: countless giant funeral pyres were required in Dresden to burn hundreds upon hundreds of dead bodies each, to prevent the outbreak of epidemics.

Fragen an den DKK: Hat er in unserem Namen protestiert? Ist nur ein ehrloser Deutscher ein guter Deutscher? Und ist der - ganz gleich, ob es nun ein Deutscher, oder ein Deutschkanadier ist - der sich gegen alle diese Ungerechtigkeiten wehrt, ein schlechter Deutscher? Wenn ja, dann will ich lieber zu der letzten Sorte gehören.

> Herta Ruthard Alliston, ON

Wir hatten schon einmal einen Staat, in dem von Meinungsfreiheit nicht viel gehalten wurde. Wer sich damals diese Freiheit herausnahm, dem wurde sie ganz entzogen, seine Bücher wurden verbrannt - besonders wenn er von der gleichen Couleur war, wie die Leute von heute, die dasselbe unter umgekehrten Vorzeichen tun. Wer sich heute diese Freiheit herausnimmt, dem wird sie ganz entzogen, seine Bücher werden verboten, er wird mit wirtschaftlichem Ruin bestraft - wo ist der Unterschied?

> Walter Ruthard Alliston, ON

Kanada Kurier, 16. Juli 1992

Lord Kitchener

Betr.: Die eventuelle Umbenennung von Kitchener auf Berlin.

Man sollte annehmen, daß die Benennung von Straßen, Plätzen und Städten auf verdienstvolle Persönlichkeiten hinweist. Hier haben wir z.B. Lord Kitchener, dessen Name für das Städtchen Kitchener in Ontario ausgewählt wurde. Hat dieser Herr die Ehre verdient? Lesen Sie hier, was ganz besonders die Einwohner von Kitchener jetzt wissen sollten:

Auszug aus dem Buch "Vorsicht Fälschung" von Dr. Gerhard Frey, Seite 188: "... Den Auftakt der KZ-Barbarei des 20. Jahrhunderts bildeten die von Großbritannien errichteten Vernichtungslager im Burenkrieg. Lord Kitchener, Feldmarschall des Empire, beschloß, die unbotmäßigen Buren des südlichen Afrika 'wie wilde Tiere in Käfige zu treiben, damit man sie fangen kann'. Er umzäunte die Burengebiete mit insgesamt 600 Kilometern Stacheldraht und schuf auf diese Weise ausdrücklich sogenannte 'concentration camps'. 118,000 weiße Frauen, Kinder und Greise, darunter viele deutscher Herkunft, sowie 48,000 Schwarze, die in den Diensten der Buren standen, ließ der Lord 1901 einsperren und setzte sie schutzlos der Winterkälte und dem Hunger aus, so daß sie massenhaft starben.

Winston Churchill, damals - wie auch Edgar Wallace - Kriegsberichter in Südafrika, fand

das alles sehr in Ordnung. Die Zahl der Toten in den Buren-KZs wird auf mindestens 31,000 geschätzt, darunter 12,000 bis 15,000 Kinder. Das reichte Lord Kitchener nicht aus. Er schlug eine Endlösung der Burenfrage vor, indem man die Überlebenden auf eine Insel deportieren möge. Er hatte Madagaskar im Auge"

Herta Ruthard Alliston, ON

Joseph W. Tkach Publisher and Editor-in-Chief The Plain Truth P 0. Box 111 Pasadena, CA 91123 U.S.A.

Walter Ruthard R.R. #3 Alliston, Ont. LOM 1A0 Canada

July 23, 1992

Dear Mr. Tkach,

I am a reader of your magazine *The Plain Truth* and, although not a believer, I often concur with the contents of what up until now I considered a good magazine.

It is the July '92 issue that compels me to write this letter, as you make some statements in your editorial on page 1 which, I believe, are not in line with other claims later on in the same issue:

1) For instance, on page 7, you say that "... Adolf Hitler refused to shake the hand of black American track star Jesse Owens ..."

I did some research on this and came to the conclusion that what you say about Owens is misleading to your readers, although perhaps due to a lack of complete information on your part. What had really happened at that time (1936) was that the reception of medal winners by political leaders of German y had drawn criticism by the International Olympic Committee (IOC), whose president, de Baillet-Latour, had expressed the wish that further receptions by the Chancellor (Hitler) be avoided, since politics and sports should, in the opinion of the IOC, be strictly separated (Prominente ohne Maske, ed. Dr. G. Frey, p. 303). So, as you can see, there was not a trace of racism in Hitler's actions. Owens was the darling of the Berliners, and immediately after the games his name was engraved in the Tower of Honours. No trace of racism there, either. It was also Owens who himself later disproved the missing handshake as a political legend.

In this context, you should also have mentioned that America repaid 0wens for his extraordinary achievements by forcing him to race against horses and other animals in order to make a living! Here we have a clear-cut case of racism in his own land, by his own people, wouldn't you agree?

2) In the same breath, you mention on page 7, "The tragic murder of Israeli athletes by terrorists at Munich, West Germany, in 1972 . . .".

Anyone who is not accustomed to the independent exercise of his brains - if he has any - is again led to believe that it was the Germans who were the murderers. I am certain that you, Mr. Tkach, don't belong to the brainless category, and therefore I accuse you of wilfully promoting hatred against Germans. This, of course, is nothing new to us, but in this case it sticks out like a sore thumb, contradicting the words you use on page 1. There, you claim that honesty is fundamental to Christians who play by the rules (whose rules, by the way?), don't bend them, and do not cheat. Also, according to you, Christians are not hostile and don't hate or threaten their opponents, but treat them with respect - none of which trademarks I could find on page 7.

As you can see, you contradict yourself within a few pages of your magazine, which leads me to question whether you really are a Christian - or something else. You made a mockery of Christianity and a piece of intellectual garbage of at least this issue of your magazine. Does 'The Plain Truth' really always tell the plain truth?

You represent The Worldwide Church of God. In light of what I have outlined above, and of what your church claims to be, I cannot help but think that The Worldwide Church of God may be somehow connected to the 'New World Order', which also emanates from the States and is already tainted by a savage war against the Iraqi people.

I don't expect an answer to this letter, since I also did not get one in response to my letter to you of February 26, 1991. I just want to assure you that, besides critically reading your magazine, I will also read what others may have to say about the Worldwide Church of God, because it does not meet with my expectation of the plain truth. The plain kind is good enough for me, Mr. Tkach; I am not interested in a fancy one.

Sincerely,

Walter Ruthard

WORLDWIDE CHURCH OF GOD

Pasadena, California 91123

JOSEPH W. TKACH PASTOR GENERAL

August 19,1992

Mr. Walter Ruthard Route 3 Alliston, ON CANADA LOM 1AO

Dear Mr. Ruthard:

Thank you for your letter of July 23 and for the opportunity to respond to your comments. You took exception to our statements on page 7 of the July issue of the Plain Truth magazine, with respect to Adolf Hitler's refusal to shake Jesse Owens' hand, and the tragic murder of Israeli athletes at the Munich Olympics (1972).

The theme of the article you referred to was "when winning matters too much." This was the focus of the article, Mr. Ruthard. We were not in any way trying to take sides or place blame on any nation or group of individuals. While Hitler's actions toward Jesse Owens may have been precipitated by diplomatic conditions, the point is that his actions created a sensation at the time (especially in light of his public statements regarding the supremacy of the "Aryan race"). There is no disputing that Hitler's actions at the Berlin Olympics drew the attention of the international community.

Our statement about the tragedy of the Munich Olympics cannot remotely be considered as "willfully promoting hatred against Germans." It was Palestinian terrorists who executed those atrocities, not Germans, Mr. Ruthard. Again, the point was that the peace and athletic excitement of the Olympics were marred by a hostile act.

There is no contradiction between the examples cited on page 7 and what Mr. Joseph W. Tkach said on page 1: "Christians don't let competition crowd out what is really important in life." In fact, that was the theme of the entire July issue. The front cover read, "GOING FOR THE GOLD - How Should a Christian Compete?"

We hope you will reexamine your thoughts about what we said, Mr. Ruthard. Our purpose truly is to convey understanding, and give a biblical perspective on the affairs of the world around us. Whenever we can be of further assistance, please let us know.

Sincerely,

Herman Herrera Personal Correspondence Department

TORDATO STOR, JAN 5,1993 Churchill prolo ar, historian claims



Winston Churchill

By David Israelson TORONTO STAR

LONDON - Winston Churchill's wartime leadership is the subject of a new controversy here, following publication of a hook claiming he needlessly prolonged World War II.

A torrent of criticiam has raised down on British historian John Charmley, who, in Chur-chill: The End of Glory, said the prime minister blundered badly by not making peace with Ger-many in 1941.

"Hitler would have invaded Russia and the world's two nastiest dictators would have faced each other like two great overweight heavy-weight boxers," Charmley told Associated Press yesterday.

"The 'victor' would have been either Russia or Germany and they would have been so shat-tered by the effort that they would not have been in a position to threaten anyone." Charmley said Churchill payed the way for the end of the British empire by not negotiating a quick end to the war after only 16 months. Instead, the war later only 16 months. Instead, the war later only 16 months. Instead, the war later of the states and limit-ing its world influence since, he said. Churchill's wartine reputation has rarely been cuestioned here, with most people believ-ing he led Britain to victory through his speech-ee, strategy and determination.

es, strategy and determination. Charmley, 37, has already come under attack from Churchill's grandson. "If some of these supposed historians had

ilved through the reality of Nazi occupation, they might have a slightly different apprecia-tion," said the younger Winston Churchill, a Conservative MP.

Conservative MP. "Given what was known then, which was preity comprehensive, there was no way a Brit-ish government could have made peace with Nazi Germany without being overthrown," Martin Gifbert, author of a biography of Chur-chill, told The Times of London. However, another well-known Tory, former defence minister Alan Clark, has defended the term breit.

new book

new book In last Seturday's Times, Clark claimed "there were saveral occasions when a more ra-tional leader could have got first reasonable, then excellent (pesce) terms from Germany."

THE TORONTO STAR Saturday, January 16, 1993 C3

LETTERS

Churchill: Smack dab in the middle?

Re Churchill prolonged war, historian claims (Jan. 5) by David Israelson. Reading this news item on the front age of The Star gave me the shock of my

life. Do you realize what you have done? Can you imagine the feelings of the relatives, for instance, of the 30,000 young Canadians who met a fiery death in the skies over the burning German cities they bombed just to satisfy the power-hunger of Winston Churchill?

How does it feel to realize that their deaths were unnecessary? Or the raid on Dieppe, where young Canadian soldiers al-so died needlessly? Does all this, and much more, not put Churchill right smack in-between these two dictators, even though he was a democrat, as we have been told for so many years?

If it took almost 50 years to uncover this story, then what sorts of other horrible things must still be kept hidden from us in secret government archives? And when will another courageous and honest historian come along and tell us about them?

You should not have printed this. After all, it was so convenient and reassuring that the criminals were always the Germans - never us.

What do we do now? - I for one am buying Churchill: The End of Glory. WALTER RUTHARD, Lisle, Ont.

The Toronto Star Letters to the Editor 1 Yonge St. Toronto, Ont. M5E 1E6

Walter Ruthard R. R. #1 Lisle, Ont. LOM 1M0 (705) 466-5670

January 8, 1993

Re.: 'Churchill prolonged war, historian claims'

Gentlemen:

Reading your news item on the front page of The Toronto Star of Tuesday, January 5, gave me the shock of my life.

Do you realize what you have done? If one assumes that British historian John Charmley

is right when he claims that Churchill was responsible for a longer-than-necessary WWII - and most likely he is right, for now he has to be able to prove what he claims - then those Canadians who are still capable of thinking independently cannot but come to the conclusion that Winston Churchill, by prolonging the war and thus causing the deaths of untold millions all over the world, must also have been in the same category as the ,"two nastiest dictators", one of whom had indeed made "first reasonable, then excellent (peace) offers" to Britain, which Mr. Churchill rejected.

I now believe that the 'Miracle of Dunkirk' was also such an offer.

Can you imagine the feelings of the relatives, for instance, of the 30,000 young Canadians who met a fiery death in the skies over the burning German cities they bombed just to satisfy the power-hunger of Mr. Churchill? How does it feel to realize that their deaths were unnecessary? Or the 'Raid on Dieppe', where young Canadian soldiers also died needlessly? Does all this, and much more, not put Mr. Churchill right smack in-between these two dictators, even though he was a 'democrat', as we have been told for so many years?

If it took almost fifty years to uncover this story, then what sorts of other horrible things must still be kept hidden from us in secret government archives? And when will another courageous and honest historian come along and tell us about them?

You should not have printed this. After all, it was so convenient and reassuring that the criminals were always the Germans - never us. What do we do now? - I for one am buying Churchill: *The End of Glory.*

Sincerely,

Walter Ruthard

John Halford c/o The Plain Truth Box 44, Station A Vancouver, BC V6C 2M2

Walter Ruthard R. R. #1 Lisle, ON L0M 1M0

April 26, 1993

Re. "Denying the Holocaust", The Plain Truth, April 1993; by John Halford.

Dear Mr. Halford,

I refer to your article in <u>The Plein Truth</u>, on which I feel compelled to comment. I was born in Germany, and I believe that I have the right to remark on your article even if my statements are not in line with your own. In the following I will quote you verbatim. The sequence of points may not be the same as in your original.

Page 10: "The Hebrew Scriptures admonished Israel never to forget the crimes of the Amalekites (Deuteronomy 25: 17-19), who attacked the weak and feeble stragglers as the tribes wandered in the wilderness. But surely the crimes of the Amalekites are dwarfed by the attempted genocide by the Nazis."

What an excuse for the Amalekites! But they are not alone in attacking stragglers. There have been about 17 million stragglers, mostly women and children, who were attacked while "wandering in the wilderness" in modern times. They were raped, many of them till they died of it. They were beaten to death. Babies had their heads bashed in and their mothers were flattened on the icy roads in 1944/45 in the east of Germany when they fled westwards in an attempt to escape their fate. Three millions did not make it, and compared with the tormentors of these modern wanderers, the Amalekites were choir-boys. Yet I have not found many Christians who were outraged about this.

Have you ever tried to study the Talmudic literature a bit more extensively, Mr. Halford? I haven't either, but nevertheless I know of some very interesting things which I would like to share with you. But don't take my word for it. You can check it out at the source, or in Arthur Butz's book <u>The Hoax of the Twentieth Century</u>, pp. 245-47. I know, it is not recommended reading for Believers. Nevertheless, here is the story in full, as Mr. Butz reports it:

"The next revolt was in Palestine in 132-135 and was a serious attempt by its leader, Bar-Kokhba, to set up a Jewish state with himself as king, although he eventually claimed to be the Messiah. During the revolt he made laws, issued money, and performed the other regular functions of government.

"Bar- Kokhba's end came in 135. Jerusalem not being suitable to withstand a siege, he led the remnant of his army to the village of Bethar (the present Bittir), which is located on high ground about 10 miles southwest of Jerusalem, 25 miles from the Dead Sea and 35 miles from the Mediterranean. The dimensions of the ancient town were roughly rectangular, with a north-south length of about 600 metres and an east-west width of about 200 metres. The south half of the town was fortified. These dimensions plus the fact that the estimates for the Jewish population of Palestine of the time range from a low of 500,000 to a high of 2.5 million make it unlikely that Bar-Kokhba's Bethar army numbered as many as 50,000 men. "The Romans laid siege to Bethar in the summer of 135 and Bar-Kokhba's resistance collapsed in August. The Romans broke into the fortress and Bar-Kokhba was killed in that final battle.

"For general reasons it seems unlikely that the Romans carried out a massacre of the Jewish population of Bethar. The only 'evidence' for a general massacre occurs in the Talmudic literature (including in this context the <u>Midrash Rabbah</u>) which for reasons unknown comments extensively on the siege of Bethar and its supposed aftermath. Except where noted, the Talmudic passages are reproduced in the Appendix to the book <u>Bar-Kokhba</u> by the archaeologist Yigael Yadin. The size of Bar-Kokhba's Bethar army is given as 200,000 men. Bar-Kokhba is said to have been so tough that, when the

Romans catapulted missiles into his fort, he would intercept the missiles with his knee with such force that he would knock them back into the faces of the astonished Romans, killing many. The Talmud goes on to claim that the number of Jews killed by the Romans after the fortress fell was 4 billion 'or as some say' 40 million, while the <u>Midrash Rabbah</u> reports 800 million martyred Jews. In order to reassure us that these figures are given in earnest, the necessarily accompanying events are set forth. The blood of the slain Jews reached to the nostrils of die Romans' horses and then, like a tidal wave,

plunged a distance of one mile or four miles to the sea, carrying large boulders along with it, and staining the sea a distance of four miles out.

"The Jewish school children of Bethar, according to the Talmudic literature, were of course not spared by the Romans, who are said to have wrapped each of them in his scroll, and then burned all of them, the number of these school children having been either 64 million or at least 150,000 (the approximate present public school population of Washington, DC.).

"The Romans matched the Germans in efficiency, for the bodies of the slain Jews were used to build a fence around Hadrian's vineyard, which is said to have been eighteen miles square, and blood saved over from the tidal wave was used to fertilize Roman vineyards for seven years. Shades of soap, glue and fertilizer factories!

"It is also claimed that Bar-Kokhba (usually referred to in the Talmudic literature as Bar-Koziba - it is still not clear what his real name was) was killed by rabbis for falsely claiming to be the Messiah.

"The Talmudic literature was not intended for general circulation so its authors could exercise more freedom than the inventors of the six million hoax, who had to assess the gullibility of a possibly skeptical gentile audience. However the spirit of the Talmudic accounts, in the above instances, seems remarkably similar to the spirit of our century's hoax. In this connection it may be noted that it is not really anomalous that a Talmudic scholar such as Rabbi Weissmandel plays a possibly significant role in the hoax. Also, since Rabbi Wise translated a good deal of ancient and medieval Jewish literature and also founded a Jewish seminary, he may also have some claim to being a Talmudic scholar. One suspects that such scholars might have been exactly the type required to give birth to the hoax."

Page 10: "the Holocaust is widely acknowledged as the definitive crime against humanity,

against which other atrocities are measured." Also on

Page 9;

"But then, any confrontation with the realities of the Holocaust is unsettling."

Here are some realities of the Holocaust, Mr. Halford. Some of them you might never have heard of.

My school years were during the time right after the end of the war, when the Holocaust was supposed to have come to an end. Along with the multiplication tables, we learned that we Germans had murdered twelve-and-one-half million Jews. No, your eyes don't deceive you: 12.5 million! Later, that number was revised to 10.5 million, later still to 6.6 million, then to 6 million, and now it stands at 3 million. This "latest" number is not of my own making. One of the expert historians, or shall I say revisionists, who came up with it is Mr, Yehuda Bauer, Professor of Contemporary Jewish History at the University of Jerusalem. Another is the (recently dismissed) Director of the Auschwitz Museum, Dr. Franciszek Piper, also a Jew, who had ordered that the inscriptions which were engraved in various languages on the memorial stone tablets be removed "until the exact number is determined". Well, it stands now at approximately 1 million, not 4 million as it used to be. So you can see that the Holocaust, against which all other atrocities are measured, has once again shrunk by another 50%.

And now let's see what other atrocities may be measured against the Holocaust. We have, for example, Stalin, who was responsible for about eighty (80) million who were killed in his name. The only difference of course, was that they were not Jews. Mao Tse Tung was not far behind with his sixty (60) million, and let's not forget the Americans themselves, in whose name only about thirteen(13) million Negro slaves died on the voyage from Africa to America. Not to mention the millions of native Indians right in God's Own Country, who certainly did not die by committing suicide. Wouldn't you agree that the crimes of the Nazis are also dwarfed by the crimes of the great western and eastern friends of mankind? The Old Romans we will kindly exclude here.

Page 10: "I do not believe this and I find it hard to understand how anybody can."

Well, Mr. Halford, you certainly don't have to believe me, but you can, if you are man enough, try to verify what I just told you, and maybe afterwards you will be a little less of a "believer" and a bit more of a "knower". You see, in every murder trial, a body has to be produced in order to reach a verdict of "guilty", Logically, in Auschwitz - and other such places - there should be a few skeletons to be found, right? Ask for them, too.

"In Auschwitz'," one inmate remembers, "there was never a moment when you Page 10: could relax "

You know, there is a videotape on the market now, and I have seen it, on which a Jew by the name of David Cole shows a swimming pool, complete with jumping towers and still containing some water, in which the inmates of Auschwitz could indeed relax, taking care of their physical needs. From Mr. Thies Christopherson, who was there during the war, we also know of a brothel where the sexual needs of the inmates were addressed; and Mr. Cole also shows a theater that took care of the prisoners' intellectual needs. I would recommend that you acquire this tape too. Most of what it shows would certainly be new to you and worth your thinking about, especially the
contradictory nature of what tour guides and the director, Dr. Piper, say. And as far as the credibility of eyewitnesses goes, there is one who claimed that he could tell by the color of the smoke issuing from the crematoria chimneys whether a Jew or a gentile was being burned.

Page 10: "There is absolutely no potential for entertainment in the Holocaust."

But there is, Mr. Halford, there certainly is. Remember the television mini-series "Holocaust" and "The Winds of War"? No entertainment value - really? And then consider the countless books and comic strips; if they aren't written for the purpose of entertainment, then they are for profit, which is also entertaining.

Page 10: "Could you or I have done those things to helpless women and children? We don't think so. But would we have stood by and let it happen? We'd like to think not, but I'm not so sure. Millions of decent God-fearing Europeans did just that. Are you and I so different?"

It is my understanding that it was precisely the purpose of the enemies of the Nazis to wipe them out when they conducted the war against them. Millions of French, English and Americans waged war and many, many died on the killing fields. They died for the Jews, and what I can't understand is this: not one, as far as I know, has protested against this insidious claim that nobody cared. Why is it that all those highly decorated war heroes today keep silent when their honor is insulted? Have they become cowards?

Page 11: "And neo-Nazi thugs and skinheads are once again bashing migrants and refugees in the streets of Europe."

I suspect that you actually meant the streets of Germany. If so, why don't you just say so? We all know that incidents like these happened in Germany, and I'll be the first to condemn this. But I know a little more about this than you do, Mr. Halford. I know about women raped in their own homes, old people robbed in broad daylight, houses broken into, people killed, etc., all of them Germans. I know how it feels when German workers, on their way out of the factories after a long and hard day at work, have to see "refugees and migrants" relaxing on the well-trimmed lawns and listen to them taunting them with shouts of "work a little harder, you stupid Nazis, so that we can have a better life!". - Have you ever heard of gypsy children bursting into a café and stealing the cake and coffee right out from under the noses of the patrons who have already paid for it? There are countless other things I could tell you of, which your glorious news media never tell you. For every bogus refugee who is killed, ten Germans are killed too. That's how it looks over there. - How would you like it if you were evicted from your flat in order to make room for such people?

- Page 11: "Until the arrival of peacekeeping troops in Somalia, thousands were starving every day, as relief agencies haggled with warlords over the terms of distributing supplies." and
- Page 11: "Or the massacre of My Lai?"

First of all, from where did those warlords get their weapons? Second, Somalia was once an

Italian colony, and a thousand times better off than it is today even under American "protection". Third, do you know that the great American oil companies possess exploration rights in two-thirds of the Somalian territory? I believe that the real purpose of the American military's presence there is quite different from what the world is told. And on top of that, it is easy to go there. The danger to American heroes is small. It would be a very different matter if they had the guts to interfere with the Yugoslavians. This they do not do, because that would require real men. Those people would fight before they would agree to dig a trench big enough to hold 8,000 of them, and then let themselves be bulldozed alive, as it happened in Iraq during the Gulf War.

Page 11: "A shocked Europe has learned that emaciated prisoners huddling in barbed-wire compounds are not just images of the past."

There are not just images of emaciated prisoners in German concentration camps. There are also images of well-fed prisoners greeting the American soldiers who had just freed them. If you were a little more curious and a little less naive, you would know the reason for the former, those living skeletons: the Allied bombings, which made it impossible to feed and medically care for the prisoners, resulting in epidemics of typhoid in the camps towards the end of the war. That is also what happened to Germans, you know. One million of them died behind barbed wire and, unlike Jewish prisoners who had shelter, the Germans had none. They were exposed to the elements and received practically no food at all. They starved to death. This sounds like another horror story about the Nazis, but in fact the ones responsible for this mass murder were the French and the Americans, and they did it <u>after</u> the war. You can read about it in James Bacque's book <u>Other Losses</u>. It is not widely read in America; after all, who really wants to know about the darker sides of one's national character? It is more fun to point fingers at others, especially if those are Germans. Here would also be a great opportunity for you to demonstrate your Christian conscience.

Page 10: "Even in the most inspiring stories to come out of it, such as Anne Frank's diary, heroism is overshadowed by hopelessness and humor is quenched by the pervading horror."

That Anne Frank's diary is a hoax was proved by the French historian Professor Robert Faurisson. He found that part of the diary was written with a ball-point pen, which was not invented until the early 'fifties. He had to pay a dear price for his perspicacity; he was almost beaten to death. Of course those who did it to him were never found. This is the best counter-evidence his adversaries could come up with. Not very convincing, I would say.

I will conclude this letter with a few sentences of Kurt Tucholsky, a German-Jewish writer highly respected nowadays. He directed this at the Germans, on July 26, 1927:

"May the gas creep into the play-rooms of your children. They should sink down dead slowly, the little dolls. I'd like to see the wife of the churchwarden and the editor-in-chief and the mother of the sculptor and the sister of the banker die a bitter, excruciating death, all of them together."

Interesting, isn't it?

Don't expect me to believe in the Holocaust as you do. Holocaust advocates have lied to me

since 1945. While their claims are now down to 3 million, they are still "studying" the list of 74,000 Auschwitz deaths which the Russians handed over to the Red Cross two or three years ago. That's the number which is possibly the real one. If I had made a "mistake" of more than 12 million in my math class, I would not have made the grade.

Maybe you would be interested in what I think of <u>The Plain Truth</u>: not much, and even less of its writers who claim to be Christians and maybe belong to the educated class, and still believe blindly in everything that's spoon-fed to them. And what's even worse, they themselves feed lies, which have no basis in fact, to gullible readers at the expense of the German people.

What place does hate propaganda such as your article "Denying the Holocaust" have in a purportedly Christian magazine like yours? You would do well to leave history to the historians and adhere mor closely to the fundamental principles of Christianity.

Sincerely,

Walter Ruthard



Auschwitz swimming pool INSIDE the inmates' camp. Note barbed wire fence on the left and dormitories on the right. Then think of the Holocaust.

Rev. Bob Larson Talk Back Show P.O. Box 368 Denver, Colorado 80236 U. S. A.

Walter Ruthard R. R. 1 Lisle, ON, LOM 1M0 Canada

October 6, 1993

Dear Mr. Larson,

I am a frequent listener to your Talk Back Show on the Toronto/Canada 1320 AM Station.

Although not a Christian myself, I find what you have to say interesting and for the most part well established in fact. I also share most of your values. I am interested in history and our prospects as humans in the future. Especially your show on Tuesday, September 21st, which I only heard in part, touched on a very important matter, namely the coming New World Order, or shall I say disorder, and that concerns me a lot. By the way, your book "Larson's Book of Cults" is mentioned in one of the books I own, in Randall N. Baer's "Inside the New Age Nightmare".

I admire your knowledge about the various religions and cults and the way you deal with callers who disagree with your views, especially the more intelligent ones. As you see, I recognize your work as important and valuable and I am happy when you are successful in pointing people in distress in the right direction, as for instance the young woman recently who was a topless dancer and now has a decent future ahead of her, thanks to you. This was very touching to me.

Yet there is one thing that bothers me and certainly would keep me from supporting you financially even if I could afford to do so. I am appealing to you as a Christian with the ability to think a problem through in a logical and sensible manner, even if the results are surprising and somewhat unconventional.

Basically it has to do with our mutual desire to discover the truth. I am a German and for many years after the war I believed, as you do, in the Holocaust which you mention frequently in your show in order to make a point.

I will not talk about the many facts the revisionists have discovered and which disprove it. Yet I would like you to ponder a few things nobody seems to be aware of.

1) Germans have as long and distinguished a history as any European nation and nobody who wants to be taken seriously claims that we behaved like monsters, with the exception of the twelve years of the Third Reich. Does it not strike you as odd that we were decent people for many hundreds of years, then behaved like madmen for a dozen, and now are good guys again?

2) Given the many accomplishments the world gladly shares with us, it makes no sense to hate us. Just look at the rich cultural heritage that is part of German history and the

heritage of mankind:

Bach, Mozart, Goethe, Schiller, Kant, Schopenhauer, Dürer, Rembrandt, Copernicus, Kepler, Gutenberg, Luther, Heisenberg, Planck, Roentgen, Hahn, Daimler, and Diesel, to mention only a very few. **This is not a nation of murderers.**

3) To illustrate to you one more point why I can't believe in the reality of the Holocaust, I would like to direct your attention to the fact that after Germany's collapse, the world was told that we murdered twelve and one half million innocents. You may not believe me, but that number is now down to three million. Perhaps a number more familiar to you is six million, but you can already see the difference and there is no sensible reason to believe in the now remaining 3 million either, because obviously somebody made a very big mistake in his calculations. Also, to date, and to my knowledge, nobody has gone to the alleged killing sites to conduct forensic excavations to find human remains that should be there. This is normally done in every one of the criminal cases involving murder, and a conviction depends strongly on this principle of providing evidence of the crime.

4) All over the world, people who have serious doubts, and are in a position to voice them, are often severely beaten in order to silence them - Dr. Robert Faurisson. (Just compare the death threats you have been receiving recently.) Again we have strong and, to me, convincing evidence that we Germans did not commit the heinous crime being laid at our door. In Germany, and in other countries as well, there are special laws which criminalize any such doubts. The penalty is imprisonment, loss of pensions, and financial ruin, not to mention the new role of social outcast.

All this is in itself a strong indication that the Holocaust did not happen, for: truth does not have to be protected. One should listen to both sides and then pass a judgement. Truth will stand on its own.

As I see it, the challenge for you as a Christian is to inform yourself about this problem actively, and not just passively believing what the unthinking majority of mankind believes. This is especially your duty as a Christian, for as it says in the Ten Commandments: <u>Thou shalt not bear</u> false witness against thy neighbour.

For the sake of truth, apply your otherwise so independent and penetrating mind to this important matter or, if you are not willing to do this, at least refrain from drawing into your discussions things which you have not investigated yourself, because that really amounts to lying, does it not?

I hope that your Christian conscience will motivate you enough to become active here too, preferably with an unbiased mind. Thank you!

Sincerely,

Walter Ruthard

Kanada Kurier, 7. Oktober 1993

Zunehmende Gewalt in Deutschland

Ich möchte meine Gedanken über die zunehmende Gewalt in Deutschland zum Ausdruck bringen und nehme Ihren Artikel "Teenager nach fünffachem Mord angeklagt", KK 10. 6. 93, zum Anlaß.

In Kanada sind Teenager "Kids", denen man jede Missetat nachsieht. Sie sind von allen beschützt, geliebt, gehätschelt, frei von Stigmen wie "Nazi" etc. und ihr Territorium ist reichlich bemessen. Kein Grund also, ein Solingen über die Bühne laufen zu lassen. Die deutschen "kids", die sich zu solchen in der Tat erschreckenden Kurzschlußhandlungen hinreißen lassen, werden als Ungeheuer an den Pranger gestellt.

Berichte über sie kommen auf den Titelseiten in Schlagzeilen; dagegen werden nicht weniger schlimme in haarsträubenden Varianten Tag für Tag von Ausländern an Deutschen begangene Untaten in der Regel von der Presse heruntergespielt, erscheinen irgendwo im "Kleingedruckten" oder gar nicht. Die Gerichte befassen sich kaum mit den Opfern und winken ab, wenn der Täter Ausländer war.

Es sieht so aus, als ob die Deutschen vogelfrei sind und ihr Leben nur halb soviel wert ist wie das der Asylanten. Wie anders ist es sonst zu erklären, wenn sich der FDP-Abgeordnete Klug dahingehend äußert, daß es eine Unverschämtheit sei, wenn man Morde an Deutschen mit Morden an Ausländern gleichsetzt? ("Zweierlei Maß", Guenter Kuehn, "Kieler Nachrichten"). Und wenn es ums Bestrafen geht, sind deutsche "kids" nie zu jung. Sie waren auch nicht zu jung, als sie 1945 "befreit" wurden und als es ums Sterben ging.

Eltern haften für ihre Kinder. Soweit ich mich erinnere, war das deutsches Gesetz. Man kümmerte sich um sie, man wußte, wo sie sich aufhielten, man hatte sie unter Kontrolle. Im Zeitalter des Fernsehens und anderer moderner Einrichtungen entglitt den Eltern allmählich diese Kontrolle und sie selbst wurden - ohne es zu ahnen - im staatlichen Familienverband manipuliert.

Kinder sind das Produkt der Erziehung. Fehlerzogene das Produkt der Umerzogenen; Wildlinge, gewachsen wie es ihnen paßte, krumm oder gerade, je nach Erbanlage, mit in falschen Bahnen geleiteten Energien und für ihr Tun und Lassen kaum mehr verantwortlich.

Das sind die Früchtchen von Solingen und anderen Brandzeichen. Aber das ist nur ein Teil des Problems. Worum es hier in der Hauptsache geht, weshalb deutsche Jugendliche zu Ungeheuern werden, das hat eine zweite Wurzel: sie protestieren gegen den Ausverkauf ihrer Sicherheit und ihres Lebensraumes. Schon kleine Kinder haben ein untrügliches Gefühl für Bedrohung, die von Fremden ausgeht. Wir wissen noch sehr gut, wie sich unsere zweijährige Tochter benahm, als wir unser Haus wegen Auswanderung verkauften. Sie spürte instinktiv, daß ihr von diesen vielen fremden Leuten etwas weggenommen werden sollte.

Eltern haften für ihre Kinder, und so sollte sich auch die Regierung für ihre Bürger verantwortlich fühlen; doch was tut sie? Sie macht es sich einfach, wälzt alle Schuld von sich ab, schiebt sie nach rechts im allgemeinen und im besonderen der sich selbst überlassenen, vorbild- und zukunftslosen Jugend in die Schuhe. Man packt einen 16jährigen am Kragen, weil man das ja nicht gut mit den Verantwortlichen machen kann, die den Boden für diese Tat vorbereitet haben, oder?

Die Bürger fangen an, sich zu wehren. Hier muß ich Hartmut Hesse zitieren: "Eine Theorie

zur Ausländerfeindlichkeit" (Nation und Europa, Oktober 1991, Seite 11): "...Die Deutschen sind in einem Zustand seelischer Unterordnung, weil sie das Gefühl, von Fremden ausgenutzt zu werden, nicht angemessen zur Sprache bringen können. Der ständig erneuerte, historisch begründete Schuldkomplex verhindert das. So frißt der arme Michel um des lieben Friedens willen alles in sich hinein, bis es ihm eines Tages zu bunt wird und er um sich schlägt - dann allerdings unkontrolliert ... Grund zu großer Sorge."

> Herta Ruthard Alliston, ON

Mr. Robert Benzie c/o The Toronto Sunday Sun Walter Ruthard R.R. 1 Lisle, On., L0M 1M0

July 20, 1998

Re: The French rainbow's golden moment, Toronto Sunday Sun, July 17, 1998.

Dear Mr. Benzie,

I read your comments on the recent World Soccer Cup in France. Although usually I am not interested in soccer and am of the opinion that if each player would be provided with his personal ball, all the fuss over the possession of a single one would be not necessary, your remarkable and so well founded article prompts me to make a few remarks of my own - not so much on the World Soccer Cup but on your statements contained in your article.

You say that "Diversity is strength"... The French team was "diverse" indeed and I don't know whether in previous cups their team was already "diverse" or not. Fact is, however, that this was the first time they won the Cup. Whether they will win it next time again remains to be seen. According to your theory "diverse" teams are superior to non-"diverse" ones and so, if I understand you correctly, in order to stay competitive, already "diverse' teams have to become even more "diverse", just to be able to join the fray again next time. I can foresee a race for the most "diverse" soccer team amongst the former non-"diverse" countries.

The question remains and I would be interested in your expert opinion on this: how come other "diverse" teams, like the English or Scottish or Germans were losers? Or, to drive the point a bit further, why is it that the teams of the countries where the "new French" players hail from, did

not win also? They, according to your theory, are the ones who made the French victory possible by providing France with outstanding players. So, Algeria, Morocco, Ghana, Guadeloupe, and other countries should have won the World Cup hands down, since it seems, again according to your theory, that countries with people of colour produce better soccer players than countries less fortunate. Strange as it is, they did not invent soccer; it was one of the losers who did, namely England. I will refrain from musing over other cultural achievements aside from soccer, like building Gothic cathedrals, inventing the printing press, motorcars or the social security net, because it would sound racist, and who wants to be that?

On the other hand, and this puzzles me a great deal, why is it, that Germany, for instance, shortly after WW2 won the Soccer World Cup not once but twice, if I remember correctly? They were certainly not "diverse" then but exclusively white, while "diverse" countries were also in existence then but did not win. Perhaps Canada should learn from the French victory and, since our prestige as a "diverse", or should I say "multiracial", country would only benefit, we should invite one of our own to participate in future World Cups: Ben Johnson. Imagine the speed, when he races the soccer ball from one end of the stadium to the other. Till the goaly of the other team wakes up, the ball has passed him long since and we would finally have something substantial to cheer about, forbidden substances or not.

Germany, the country I came from, is well on its way to win the next World Cup (again). They also seem to have incorporated players from superior soccer countries and are willing to do even more in this respect. Unlike the French, of whose traditions I don't know much, I can even imagine the "new Germans", in order to modify your term "new Frenchmen", clapping their hands on their new Lederhosen, dancing a Schuhplattler, wearing a Gamsbart on their hats, jodeling of pure joy from the mountains of the Alps and swearing "Sackra Kruzitürken" if they do not hit the net, something God may forbid. It would be a national disaster. Missing the net, I mean.

So, the "golden" future of soccer, but not exclusively soccer, depends on the "new Frenchmen", "new Scotsmen", "new Englishmen", "new Germans", and other "news". Which brings me to another question for you, Mr. Benzie. Why is it, that countries with superior soccer players, like Ghana, Morocco, Algeria, and so many more won't take the risk of importing soccer players from inferior countries like France, Germany, Scotland, and England, not to mention sinfully white countries like Poland, Russia and a few others? Just so that they can't be accused of being racist?

By the way, isn't it interesting that in a World Soccer Cup it is always <u>Scotland</u> and <u>England</u> but when it comes to politics it is always <u>Great Britain</u>? This I can't figure out on my own and again I will depend on your expert insight, Mr. Benzie.

Final comment: I think you wrote a pretty silly piece.

Sincerely,

Walter Ruthard

Kanada Kurier, 25. November 1993

Maßgeschneiderte Ernährung

Betrifft Leserbrief von Anneliese Fischer, "Paradox", KK 23. 9. 93.

Was Ihre Überlegungen betrifft, so haben wir den gleichen Gedanken. Was weiß man eigentlich über die Auswirkungen von Chemikalien auf die Haut, wenn sie von der Sonne regelrecht eingebrannt werden? Was passiert mit reichlich einbalsamierter Haut unterm Sonnengrill?

Nachdem immer mehr Menschen Unverträglichkeiten gegen alles Mögliche entwickeln, seien es nun Nahrungsmittel, Textilien, Chemikalien etc., könnte man sich vorstellen, daß das Immunsystem doppelt eins aufs Dach bekommt, wenn ein Sonnenschutz benutzt wird, der nicht vertragen wird. Die Symptome können so vage sein, daß man gar nicht an eine solche Möglichkeit denkt. "It doesn't agree with me", sagen hierzulande geplagte Patienten. Und je stärker das Immunsystem geschädigt ist, desto weniger wird es sich wehren und desto mehr wird es leiden.

Nur Heilpraktiker sind in der Lage, billig und in Sekundenschnelle Allergietests vorzunehmen. Bei den Orthodoxen, denen das über den Horizont und finanziell über den Strich geht, fällt das selbstredend unter "quackery". Und "Pendeln"? Darüber würden sie sich totlachen! Ich danke Gott jeden Tag dafür, daß ich diese Gabe neuerdings an mir entdeckt habe. Endlich kann ich selbst herausfinden, was gut oder schlecht für mich ist. Eine Nähnadel an einem Zwirnsfaden hilft mir dabei; der Ehering funktioniert auch, sogar ein kleines Igel Mecki-Püppchen fängt an zu tanzen! Meine Ernährung, plus Supplements und Medizin, ist von nun an "maßgeschneidert".

Da fand ich auch heraus, wie schlecht ich auf diverse Hautcremes und auch Kleidung reagiere. Ich testete Geschirr und spürte Blei darin auf. Jetzt erahne ich erst das Ausmaß der Vergewaltigung, dem unser Körper Stunde um Stunde ausgesetzt ist; unser Körper, das unvorstellbar komplexe Universum!

Zum Artikel "Honigernte in Ontario" in der selben Ausgabe: Dieser Bericht weckte süße und schmerzhafte Erinnerungen an unser ehemaliges "Business". Zu der Zeit fühlte ich mich inspiriert, unsere Erfahrungen mit Bienenräubern, ausgeschmückt mit Phantasie, zu Papier zu bringen, und es entstand das Gedicht "Die verhinderten Honigdiebe".

> Herta Ruthard Alliston, ON



The ruins of Caen, France, after the Allied aerial bombardment of 7th July 1944

Open Letter

Canadian Broadcasting Corporation Prime Time News Mr. Peter Mansbridge Box 500, Station A Toronto, ON, M5W 1E6

Herta Ruthard R. R. 1 Lisle, ON, L0M 1M0

March 16, 1994

Dear Mr. Mansbridge,

I watch the Prime Time News every evening, and whenever I look at you it is incomprehensible to me how such a serious-minded person as yourself, with no doubt a lot of character, can still have such a thoroughly blind attitude towards Germans.

Almost five decades have passed since the Second World War, and mankind has learned a few things in the meantime. I must confess that we German-Canadians also had a lot to learn, in a no less positive sense: we learned that we are better than the reputation which preceded us.

If you personally, Mr. Mansbridge, are convinced of the veracity of that which the CBC regularly serves unsuspecting Canadians as evening fare (the last low blow was "Schindler's List Comes to Germany and Israel"), if you - as speaker for the CBC, which is known for its tendencies towards anti-German incitement - consider it right that the Germans are regarded by certain groups as subhumans of inferior worth who ought to be kept under special observation (i.e."Wintergreen", CBC's recent hate-mongering program), and if you consider it right that unbiased Canadians must have it drummed into them how much the Germans ought to be ashamed of themselves (as Anna Maria Tremonti showed in her report from Germany: "I am ashamed to be a German"; the sobbing man saying this while coming from watching Spielberg's award-winning movie must have been the CBC's every dream come true), and if, further, you consider that the CBC's teachings, which you represent and advocate, reflect your own innermost convictions, then please return to me the photocopies which I have enclosed for you herewith. It would, however, be particularly nice if you were to keep and learn something from them. Bear in mind that someone without intellectual blinkers "learns something new every day". Many German-haters have already had to acknowledge that they had put too many eggs of their belief into the wrong basket.

In conjunction with the enclosed report, I would like to point to something which I once read somewhere. It was about the German occupation forces in France: a French woman accused a German soldier of having molested her, which his superior knew to be a lie. Nevertheless, the soldier was court-martialled and executed.

This incident shows that the French woman's honour was placed above the German soldier's life, and it also shows just how well-disciplined the German military behaved in enemy territory - in contrast to the Allied forces, which wrought the greatest havoc they could.

The population in the occupied regions was treated with the utmost respect, and the women were absolutely taboo. These people did not fear the Germans; on the contrary, they often brought them flowers and food. And the prisoners-of-war were treated decently. I am enclosing a photo that shows the difference in such treatment: The Russian is given a share of the German soldier's ration of bread; the German is given a kick in the behind by the "American liberators".

By far the most of the French people lived in perfect amity with the German officers and soldiers. A clear proof of this attitude is the actions of the French during the first British invasion attempt of Dieppe in 1942. At that time, the people as well as the official representatives actively co-operated with the weak German coast guard forces, hauled ammunition, ran errands, set up roadblocks etc., and all of this without first having been asked to do so by the German side. As a gesture of gratitude, Adolf Hitler immediately released 10 000 French prisoners-of-war.

Topics such as this are never broached on Television - after all, they might make the Germans appear in a favourable light! But these things can be read up on in the books of authors of

decent character, or in the confessions of Germany's former enemies who wished to unburden their conscience before they died.

On this occasion I would also like to mention the CBC documentary about Dieppe. For a different point of view, please read the report of a German-Canadian, who was there himself; his name and address are known to me.

Another thing which bears mention is that the CBC showed an exploding hospital ship - and its explosion is proof that it was carrying ammunition. What is ammunition doing on a hospital ship? The bombing and destruction of Red-Cross-facilities was primarily the calling card of the Allies. In my husband's home town at that time, the hospital was bombed, even though (or because?) on its roof it had displayed a gigantic red cross on a white background.

What do you say to all this, Mr. Mansbridge? Is the CBC's hate-mongering against Germans in general and the German-Canadian community in particular "fair play"? Is this "equality" in our age of multiculturalism? I can imagine that a good many of my fellow countrymen have let you feel their anger in none-too-polite terms, which I am sure should be excused in light of the circumstances. Not everyone has himself under complete control when he sees his honour being trampled in the dirt while he is not even allowed to speak out in his own defense.

Sincerely,

Herta Ruthard



Kanada Kurier, 5. Mai, 1994

Parallelen zur Gegenwart

In einem Buch, das ich kürzlich als Geschenk bekam, "Das Elend des Christentums oder Plädoyer für eine Humanität ohne Gott", von Joachim Kahl, Rowohlt Taschenbuchverlag GmbH 1968, fand ich auf Seite 56 folgendes Zitat:

"Die verdächtige Person (Hexe) wurde ins Gefängnis geworfen und angekettet. Als erstes fragte der Inquisitor, ob sie an Hexerei glaube. Verneinte sie, galt ihre Antwort als unmittelbarer Beweis für das vermutete Verbrechen. Denn - wie die Hexenbulle Innozenz' VIII und der Hexenhammer formulierten - "als größte Ketzerei galt der geringste Zweifel an der Realität des Hexenwesens. So gab es kein Entrinnen . . ."

Das war vor wenig über 300 Jahren. Wie sich doch die Bilder gleichen - genau wie es ein Zitat auf einem Tontäfelchen aus Babylon beschreibt: "Schaust du hin, so sind die Menschen insgesamt blöde."

Walter Ruthard Lisle, ON

Kanada Kurier, 12. Mai 1994

IN ENGLISH ON p. 311

Der Wahrheit zuliebe

Betr.: Ausgabe vom 14. April 1994, Bernd Haeussler über die Zerstörung Frankfurts.

Herr Haeussler schreibt, daß die Gesamtzahl der Toten unter der deutschen Bevölkerung Frankfurts 5,559 betrug. Frankfurt hatte 1939 553,500 Einwohner. Nimmt man an, daß diese Zahl in etwa während des Krieges gleich blieb, ist es kaum glaubhaft, daß davon nur 1 Prozent durch die alliierten Bombenangriffe umkamen, die an Intensität mit denen auf Hamburg oder gar Dresden verglichen werden können. Sogar wenn die Deutschen, im Gegensatz zu den "Tausenden von ausländischen Internierten" durch Luftschutzbunker besser geschützt waren, kann diese geringe Zahl nicht stimmen.

Die Zahl der getöteten Zivilisten betrug 1,200,000 insgesamt, bei einer Bevölkerung von 78 Millionen. Danach dürften laut Herrn Haeussler aber "nur" 780,000 durch alliierte Bomben getötet worden sein. Es ist klar, daß die Landbevölkerung, die die Mehrzahl der Gesamtbevölkerung ausmachte, sehr viel sicherer vor Bombenangriffen war als die Stadtbewohner, deren Ausfälle demnach sehr viel höher waren als nur 1 Prozent.

Es stimmt auch nicht, wenn Herr Haeussler sagt: "Die Alliierten antworteten nun mit dem Bombardement deutscher Städte." Das Gegenteil ist richtig. Es waren die Alliierten, die mit dem Luftkrieg gegen deutsche Zivilisten anfingen, wie z.B. A.J.P. Taylor, Historiker in Oxford, bezeugt: "Die britische Initiative zum Luftkrieg ist völlig klar . . . Der Blitz (die deutschen Luftangriffe auf England) begann erst, nachdem die Briten schon fünf Monate lang deutsche Städte bombardiert hatten." Und das ist nur einer von vielen Angehörigen der Siegermächte, die das gleiche bezeugen.

Ich meine, daß es an der Zeit ist, nicht nur über die negativen Seiten unserer Geschichte, die oft nicht einmal stimmen (siehe oben), zu berichten, sondern der Wahrheit zuliebe auch die guten Seiten anzuführen. So z.B., daß im Feldzug gegen Frankreich die Kathedralen der französischen Städte von den Deutschen geschont wurden, ganz im Gegensatz zu den Gewohnheiten der späteren Sieger, wie Herr Haeussler in seinem Artikel richtig sagt.

> Walter Ruthard Lisle, ON

610 CKTB Radio Mr. David Forrester 12 Yates St. Catharines, Ont. L2R 6X7

Walter Ruthard R.R. 1 Lisle, Ont., L0M 1M0

May 24. 1994

Dear Mr. Forrester,

I am a frequent listener to your talk show on CKTB Radio on my way home from work. By the time I arrive at home, it is too late to call you, so I am writing. I am prompted to do so because of two callers you were talking to on May 10.

The topic was the Holocaust and one of the gentlemen named a few books one should read in order to be fully informed about this matter. If I recall correctly, you also said that the Holocaust is thoroughly documented and I fully agree with you, although for different reasons. Being a German, I am naturally very interested in this issue, and I am certain that you will not object to it that I have read extensively about both the claims made in defense of the Holocaust, as well as those made against it. I would even go so far as to say that studying both sides is the only proper way to arrive at an informed judgement. Anything else amounts to a very selective education. After all, the Holocaust is a huge murder case, involving the reputation of an entire nation or, to put it in different words, a fair hearing should be given to both sides, as is done in every criminal court where the accused has the right to have a lawyer on his side. This has not been the case for the past 50 years or so for us Germans.

Having said all this, I would like to draw your attention to some basic facts which, for explainable reasons, are never put to the public, but which can easily be verified by anyone with average intelligence.

I am in possession of a copy of a news item from one of the largest German papers that claims that we exterminated 240 million (240,000,000) Jews. When I went to school, we were taught that we had killed 12.5 million (12,500,000) Jews. Later - and I remember this very well also - this figure was gradually revised 'til today it has reached 3 million - not the 6 million you believe in. I will explain this a little further on. For now I want to stress my conviction that the Holocaust will eventually reach zero; after all, who can expert me to believe otherwise, considering the claims I have described above. I challenge you to prove me wrong.

Six million - the figure everybody believes. The Jewish professor Yehuda Bauer, head of the Department of Contemporary Jewish History at the University of Jerusalem, already wrote in 1989 that in Auschwitz far fewer Jews lost their lives than the alleged 4 million. He puts that figure closer to one million, which, in effect, reduces the Holocaust by 50% again.

Mr. F. Piper, the director of the Holocaust Museum of Auschwitz, also admitted - on camera - that in Auschwitz not 4 million but one million Jews died. He ought to know best: he is a Jew and has had plenty of opportunity during his years as director to come to this conclusion. In fact, the six-million-figure was engraved in stone in many languages in Auschwitz.

Now it says one million. This was done under the directorship of Mr. Piper, and he got fired. The tape is available for anyone who wants it.

As I said above, these are facts which can be confirmed by anybody sufficiently interested, and honest enough to not try to accuse the Germans of more than they have done.

Mr. Bauer and Mr. Piper are not the only ones who give reasons to believe that the 6 million figure can't be correct. Perhaps you will remember that a couple of years ago, the Russians, who liberated Auschwitz, handed over to the International Red Cross the Death Books of Auschwitz, containing 74,000 entries. It was then decided to study them carefully. While it took practically no time in 1945 to come up with the figure of 12.5 million, they are still studying 74,000 cases today and are still not finished. It almost seems like somebody wants those Death Books dead and buried.

The American Jewish paper, "American Hebrew", already wrote in 1919 (!!) about the six million and the Holocaust!! I enclose a copy of it for your entertainment.

And, of course, you may ask yourself how it is that 'til today nobody has done the obvious: to dig up the remains in Auschwitz and other places. At least, considerable traces should be there, taking into account the huge numbers of victims. This has been done, however, on a far lesser scale in the case of the Canadian prisoners of war killed and burned in Hongkong by the Japanese.

In cases of disaster, everybody is relieved when he learns that none of his friends, relatives, or even countrymen has been hurt. Not so with the Holocaust. I can't remember one single occasion when Jews rejoiced at the news that fewer of them had died and more had lived.

One way to put to rest this controversy about the Holocaust for all time would be an open and honest debate between the two parties. This has not been done as far as I remember. On the contrary, prominent men like professor Faurisson, the Frenchman who doubts the veracity of the Holocaust as it is told to us, lost his professorship at the University of Lyon and was severely beaten, almost to the extent that he died from his injuries. Of course, this can be viewed as a form of debate, but I would venture that Mr. Faurisson still has the better arguments.

In the Middle Ages, called "dark", in Europe and later even in America, we had the famous witch hunts where, as we know today, millions of innocent people were burned at the stake. They had no chance. Doubting that there is a devil was considered as admitting guilt. Likewise was expressing a belief in the existence of the devil. Both were fatal.

We are today not far away from this attitude again: in Germany and France, one goes to prison for expressing doubts about the Holocaust like I have done above. It may not be too far in the future that the same will happen in Canada - but is this proof for the reality of the Holocaust?

It seems to me that mankind has not made much progress in the past few centuries and I have not much hope for the future in this regard either.

Sincerely,

Walter Ruthard

Kanada Kurier, 16. Juni 1994

Vergiftete Muttermilch

In letzter Zeit kann man auf dieser Seite immer häufiger die bitteren Früchte der Umerziehung des deutschen Volkes "genießen". Ein Beispiel davon ist die Diskussion um die Vertreibung. Zuerst - aber auch nicht immer - kommt das übliche Einleitungs-Blabla, daß es zwar bedauerlich sei, daß . . ., aber - und jetzt kommt der Vorschlaghammer: im übrigen seien wir selber schuld, daß man uns hinausgeworfen hat, wir hätten's ja so gewollt; mit anderen Worten, es geschieht uns recht. Ein prominenter Deutscher verstieg sich sogar zu der unfaßbar naiven Bemerkung: "Sie hätten ja drüben bleiben können!"

Im Grunde genommen kann man es ihnen nicht einmal übelnehmen; sie selbst sind ja bedauernswerte Opfer, denn sie bekamen vergiftete Muttermilch und verlogene Schulbücher. Jetzt stehen wir erschüttert vor der Tatsache, daß die Rechnung der Sieger zu 100 Prozent aufgegangen ist: die Deutschen so zu verwirren, daß sie nicht mehr wissen, was sie tun, was sie denken sollen, und wer sie sind. Bezeichnend dafür ist auch, daß sie das Andenken der Gefallenen und Ermordeten ihres eigenen Volkes erbarmungslos verunglimpfen, um es nicht in das Umfeld eines anderen Andenkens zu bringen.

> Herta Ruthard Lisle, ON

Kanada Kurier, 30. Juni 1994

Warum nie "Antigermanism"?

Der Brief von Herrn Heink, "Gedanken zum Film 'Schindlers Liste", KK 2.6.1994, war zwar äußerst aufschlußreich und ich will hier nur auf den genannten Film eingehen. In Herrn Heinks Bericht zeigt sich das ganze tragische Ausmaß dieser ungeheuerlichen Volksverhetzung: Herr Heink fuhr Schüler zu dieser Filmvorführung. Vorher waren sie "normal". Nachdem sie genötigt wurden, von wem, tut nichts zur Sache - diesen Film anzusehen, waren sie nicht mehr "normal", sie machten sich ihre Gedanken über "diesen Deutschen", den sie doch schon von Kindesbeinen an kannten!

Das Gift, das man diesen unschuldigen Heranwachsenden in wenigen Stunden konzentriert einflößte, reichte aus, um Herrn Heink mit ganz anderen Augen zu sehen. Ihr beeindruckbares Gemüt war entscheidend graviert worden. Nun ist dies hier nur ein Einzelfall, aber es gibt dessen so viele in der ganzen Welt!

In einigen Ländern ist dieser Film verboten. Er basiert auf einem Roman, der schon auf seinem Einband als solcher gekennzeichnet ist: "Schindler's List", a novel". Auf den ersten Seiten des Buches wird das noch verdeutlicht: "This book is a work of fiction. Names, characters, places,

IN ENGLISH ON p. 313

and incidents are either products of the author's imagination or are used fictitiously. Any resemblance to actual events or locales or persons, living or dead, is entirely coincidental."

Überdies hat die amerikanische Staatsbibliothek, die maßgeblich ist für die Behandlung dieses Buches in Bibliotheken weltweit, das Buch wie folgt beschrieben: 1. Schindler, Oskar, 1908-1974- fiction; 2. Holocaust, Jewish, (1939-1945) - fiction; 3. World war, 1939-1945 - fiction. Und dieses Romanwerk wurde als Schwarzweiß-"documentary" der Welt präsentiert! Ist das nicht auch "inciting racial hatred"?

Man hört immer nur "Antisemitism", aber nie "Antigermanism". Wann wollen wir uns endlich zur Wehr setzen gegen diese abscheuliche Volksverhetzung und gegen diesen nie endenwollenden Antigermanismus? Dieser ist erlaubt und wird auf alle Arten gefördert. Dazu gehört auch der Zwangskonsum von Hetzfilmen für ganze Schulklassen. Deutsche sind Freiwild, und nachdem eventuelle Gesetze immer nur zum Schutze anderer geschaffen werden, wird das weidlich ausgenutzt.

Wieviel mehr können wir noch ertragen?

Herta Ruthard, Lisle, ON

Canadian Broadcasting Corporation "Witness" Mr. Knowlton Nash Box 500, Station A Toronto, Ont. M5W 1E6

Walter Ruthard R.R. 1 Lisle, Ont., L0M 1M0

Sept., 3. 1994

Re: "Witness - Allied Airmen in Buchenwald"

Dear Mr. Nash,

since you were the host of last week's show "Witness", it is you I am addressing this letter to.

I have hardly ever come across a broadcast as full of lies and distortions as this one. This makes "Allied Airmen in Buchenwald" an outstanding piece of hate propaganda against Germans.

Tattooed human skin, lampshades of human skin, shrunken heads, bisected heads were exposed as having been myths a long time ago, by the then Military Governor Lucius Dubignon Clay among others. He could hardly be called a friend of the Germans, yet he seemed to have had some sense of decency left, something the creators of this piece evidently never heard of.

Repeating these lies over and over again for fifty years now, and likely for another one hundred years to come, qualifies the makers of this piece of garbage at least as hypocrites belonging to those human beings whose diseased brains are no longer capable of entertaining any ideas that make us different from lower forms of life.

As to the Allied "Prisoners of War", the "Lucky Ones" as they like to call themselves: they brought their misery upon themselves and I see no reason to feel sorry for them. After all, they must have known what would be in store for them if they got involved with a terrorist organization such as the French Resistance. Of course, they were suspected of being spies, saboteurs, and murderers just like the rest of the lot. They were nothing more than savage murderers, brave enough to shoot or stab German soldiers in the back, never heroic enough to do it face to face.

These were the kind of creatures your airmen teamed up with. No wonder the GESTAPO considered them of the same kind, and with good reason. The result was Buchenwald and rightfully so. In fact they should be grateful to be alive thanks to the generosity of the German authorities, having suffered only minor inconveniences. They were sheltered and fed, quite unlike the more than one million German prisoners of war who did not have shelter during snow- and rainstorms and did not get fed and therefore froze and starved to death in Allied prison camps on the banks of the Rhine after the war. And don't forget that it was your side, the Allies, who executed 11-year-olds - after the war - for allegedly being members of the "Werewolf", an organization that never existed in the first place, except in your own sick imagination!

To say today, as one of your heroes did, that people were gassed in Buchenwald, is at the very least an expression of unbelievable ignorance. International authorities, among them Jews, have long ago established that in Buchenwald, as well as in the other camps in Germany, nobody was gassed. This is a fact.

Interestingly enough, the sight of skeletons still in the ovens, brings Auschwitz to mind, where twelve and one half million ("Twelve million men, women, and children have been put to death in cold blood...". This is what Sir Hartley Shawcross claimed on July 27, 1946!) were gassed, as I was taught in school - or was it six million, or one million, or even none at all? Only one figure can be true, the others must be lies.

As to the living skeletons shown: they were the result of the very actions of your heroes in the film. They bombed the hell out of everything and destroyed the infrastructure necessary to keep the inmates fed and healthy. Without medicine, typhus or cholera broke out in those places, as there is today in Rwanda for everybody to see.

Those knights of the air were not contented with bombing militarily important targets, they very much and on an even larger scale favoured civilian targets where, in compliance with a demand of Mr. Winston Churchill, they "roasted" the defenseless women and children. Did you know that the Americans even bombed the ancient city of Pompeii, buried under ashes since 78 A.D.? Can you enlighten me as to the sense of bombing a ghost-town? They chased me, like countless others, more than once - only because I was a civilian and a child and they enjoyed it immensely.

You showed "meat hooks" where people were hanged. Considering the millions of lies that I have hinted at above, I think this is another one, because after lying on such an enormous scale, and for decades, who can still claim even a shred of credibility? More than likely those hooks were added after the war, just like the "gas chamber" there.

Next year, 1995, will be another reason to let loose and wallow in the most primitive emotions again for a full 365 days. I have no doubt that many people will have fun doing so. It makes me puke.

Disgustedly,

Walter Ruthard

Herta Ruthard R.R. 1 Lisle, Ont., LOM 1MO

Sept. 12. 1994

National Health Federation Charlet Blachbourn 212 W. Foothill Boulevard Monrovia, Cal. 91016 U.S.A.

Dear Charlet Blachbourn,

I was very surprised and pleased to find my letter "Population Control?" in the HFN issue of July 1994. That this letter was published lets me hope that you and your staff perhaps know a little more about that which your government does not permit you to know, or even to suspect. The issue in question is the "New World Order". It would be wrong to focus only on the suppression of naturopathy and related topics, since this suppression is only part of the plan to amalgamate and enslave the as yet free peoples in the one-world-system.

The first step is to bring as many cultures and races together as possible, and to mix them in a melting pot. This creates crime, unrest, civil wars etc.

The second step is to "remedy" this artificially induced crime by means of gun control. The law-abiding citizen will even deliberately turn in his gun to the government, while the real criminals keep them, of course. Unbelievable, you say? It's already happening in Canada. This is the ideal, and Mr. Clinton will fight for this as long as he is President, because he has to, otherwise his masters would give him all hell.

Disarmament of the citizens of your and my country, then, the defenseless fall like a ripe apple into the lap of the - ??? Another way to achieve this end, if the Americans were to make trouble, would be military force. Preparations for this are already under way in your country.

What is currently going on in all parts of the globe - the misery, the wars, the unrest - is necessary for the highest goal: the One World Government. And the less people there are, the better it is for the then ruling class. It definitely minimizes any danger. I will give you an example for the global and centrally steered attempt of race mixing. I have before me a letter-to-the-editor of an angry German citizen.

"Every year, some 300,000 viable unborn are killed in Germany alone, by state-sanctioned abortions. For the taxpayer this means an annual expense of 100 million DM (this figure was published by the Deutsche Welle on June 4, 1993). To make up the loss, equal numbers of foreign ersatz-people (asylum seekers) are brought in every year. These 300,000 asylum-seeking immigrants in turn cost the taxpayer 4.5 billion (4,500 million) DM a year in social support.

"Now, consider that 90% of all abortions are performed due to financial need, i.e. the mother-to-be lacks the money to raise the expected child. (HAB No.123, week 21, 1993). This results in an illogicality: the government allegedly has no money to support the maintenance of its own people, but at the same time it has billions to spend on foreign immigrants. (Canada and the States do exactly the same. H.R.)

"What on earth could the government's intention be in this process, especially since women by their very nature do want to have children?"

M. W. from B.

This poor guy doesn't know about the "master plan", and if someone were to tell him, he wouldn't believe it, because you must have a somewhat twisted mind in the first place, to be able to follow.

In France it is similar. It is very scary because people are so blind.

Sincerely yours, Herta Ruthard

P.S.: I send you a clipping of a local newspaper article by a health-officer, maybe you could serve your readers with the joke of the year. Sadly it isn't one but a serious attempt at a smoke screen.

P.P.S.: I also enclose an issue of the "Michael" journal, and other articles, worth reading!

OPEN LETTER

Mr. William Morgan Director Office of Journalism Policy & Practices Canadian Broadcasting Corp. P.0.Box 500, Stn. A Toronto, Ont. M5W 1E6 Herta Ruthard R.R. 1 Lisle, Ont., L0M 1M0

Sept. 23. 1994

Dear Mr. Morgan,

I am writing this letter with the intention of pointing out a certain topic which, with the contribution of the North American media, has come to be nothing short of a national Sport: German bashing, "the bad German" - and which makes it so difficult for many of us German-Canadians to feel at home in Canada. In fact, there is no end to the second world war. But has anyone ever asked what was being done to the German people themselves during this time, and after? Has anyone ever cared about these stepchildren of history, smeared by unscrupulous hate propaganda carried on so merrily by their defeaters? Of course not.

Let me just draw your attention to the Sudeten Germans. For the first time since 1945, a courageous Austrian newspaper has published what was done to the Sudeten Germans at the end of the War. In order to better understand the scope and extent of these dreadful happenings, one must know that Edward Benes, on returning from exile in London, set his fellow countrymen on the Germans like blood hounds, inciting them very efficiently via radio.

When Edward Benes, the great "humanitarian", entered Prague on Sunday, May 13th, 1945, German citizens were burned alive in his honour in St. Wenceslas Square. (Document Nr. 15, concerning the expulsion of the Sudeten Germans.) Many Germans were hung up by their feet from the big advertising pillars in St. Wenceslas Square; then, when the great humanitarian approached, their gasoline-doused bodies were set on fire as living torches.

Six hundred thousand Sudeten Germans were killed. I will never forget how a Czech priest in my home town, Reichenberg, stood on the steps to his church and preached Benes' catch phrase to the people gathered at his feet: "You may kill the Germans, it's no sin." And time and again I wondered whether this "man of God" perhaps called for murder outside his church so as not to desecrate the holiest of holies? I, too, am a "Holocaust survivor", born in 1931 in the Sudetenland and expelled in 1945. War-torn Germany took us in. Later, my parents and I sometimes went to the gatherings of the expelled, which were organized by the Sudetendeutsche Landsmannschaft. I still shudder to

remember what a former member of the Wehrmacht once reported there:

"When I passed through Czechoslovakia after the collapse, I saw human heads lined up on window sills, and naked human bodies were hung up on hooks in a butcher shop."

The Czech people were consumed by an unbelievable thirst for blood. What Germans had to endure at the hands of Czechs, Poles and Russians in those days is unparalleled in history.

The authentic contemporaneous documents clearly show that the Germans' actions and behaviour towards the Czechs were at all times and in all respects above reproach, and their measures fully justified.

Today, 1994, the Czech government is voicing expectations for reparations payments from Germany! How much more humiliation and malicious behaviour can we still take?

The aforementioned shows the fruits that hate propaganda can bear. I want to mention at this point that the CBC as well continually renders itself guilty of a campaign of hate propaganda against the Germans, and massively so! Just try to imagine what we German-Canadians would have to endure in any new case of political conflict between Germany and her conquerors of 1945! Events might perhaps be a little more civilized than they were in Czechoslovakia, but after all, that's not the point.

I am also enclosing a report about the destruction of Dresden, and would ask that you pass this on to the McKenna brothers, for which I thank you in advance, as well as for your confirmation that you have done so.

What the British and their American buddies did in Dresden can well be called the greatest barbarity and crime against civilization in all of human history. The outrages committed on this undefended city were the culmination and high point of the Allied killing spree which had already laid waste to all the other major cities, and minor ones as well. This was the ultimate goal. Now just don't tell me that, after all, "the Germans started it"; the German bombing of London, for example, did not happen until the British had already attacked German cities several times.

The claim that Germany started WW2 - as was and continues to be taught in school, and as most of the German people still believe, thanks to their Allied education - and that the destruction of Germany was nothing more than her just deserts, are blatant lies.

One has to ask oneself: are the atrocities that were perpetrated against the Germans, and tolerated by the Allies, the reason why the German people are being incessantly humiliated, insulted,

182

a ccused - in order to keep them from remembering what was done to <u>them</u>? To keep them from remembering what was done by their alleged friends and - the peak of irony - by their "liberators" they themselves have lauded as such?

Is this why people such as Moshe Peer need to peddle their gassing tales to impressionable young Canadian minds?

Is this why movies such as "Schindler's List" need to be made - movies based on fiction?

Is that why sick old men had to be dragged into court as war criminals?

When I was faced with "What people will do to each other" for the first time in my life, I was 14 years old. Today, I am an old woman, and still the world does not know what really happened back then. Why not?

I am no intellectual with a string of academic letters after my name, I am just a housewife, but that does not stop me from using my brain. I study the lies, and the truth, and have a hard time ignoring the irreconcilable differences between them. One of the problems I have in this respect is that injustice bothers me.

Some time ago, I sent the enclosed letter to the Fifth Estate. To this day the CBC has not favoured me with a reply. I have already indicated what I would see myself forced to believe in this event.

Please get in touch with me. Thank you.

Sincerely,

Herta Ruthard

CBC SRC

Canadian Broadcasting Corporation Société Radio-Canada

Office of the Ombudsman P.O. Box 500 Station A Toronto, Ontario M5W 1E6

October 14, 1994

Ms. Herta Ruthard RR. #1 Lisle, Ontario LOM 1MO

Dear Ms. Ruthard:

I write to acknowledge receipt of a letter from you dated September 23, 1994, and received here on October 6, 1994, in which you complain of what you called "German bashing" in "the North American media".

CBC's Office of the Ombudsman, which I head, was established to help ensure that the Corporation's journalism policies and standards be fully observed in CBC news and current affairs programming. In the pursuit of the Office's mandate, the Ombudsman does conduct an independent review of complaints about CBC journalism where the complainant has not been satisfied by the response from the CBC programming staff directly concerned.

Your letter however, appears to cite no specific instance where a CBC program has failed to adhere to the Corporation's journalism policies. In the circumstances, there is no action this Office can take other than to send you this letter.

Yours truly,

William Morgan Ombudsman WM:nw

P.S. I will, as you have requested, arrange for the letter and attachments received from you to be shared with both Brian and Terence McKenna.

Herta Ruthard R.R.1 Lisle, Ont., L0M 1M0

Jan. 19, 1995

To the Editor The Brant News Brantford, Ont. N3S 3P7

Dear editor of the Brant News,

I am in possession of your letters column of Nov. 19 and 26,1994. While the first is very encouraging, the second is all the more disturbing. In light of the fact that you are behaving very fairly towards Mr. Hartel - for which I am grateful, and thank you - I have some hopes that you will also be open-minded towards the enclosed material. I am sending you a duplicate set of some copies, and would ask you to please pass one set on to your reader Craig A. Rintoul. It would be a waste to send a set for Iberdina Roosegaarde, because she is most certainly Jewish. I herewith let fly a little snowball, in the hope that it will grow into a bigger one. I don't expect an avalanche - German-Canadians are modest people - but every little bit helps.

Regarding the article by Neville Newman, "My promise to the children of the Holocaust", it is high time for him to stop fantasizing about the cries of the children, half a century after the event, and to return to reality. As you can see from "The American Hebrew" article "The Crucifixion of Jews must stop", as early as 1919 Jewish children were dying in what was being billed as a six-million-holocaust. Children's cries are always an effective propaganda tool, and are shamelessly exploited. Other children cried too - for example, those who were roasted alive in the firestorms ravaging the bombed German cities. I will give Mr. Newman a taste of that with "The Mass Murder of Dresden". Nobody heard those children cry, nobody in the whole world! Why not? It seems to me that the "Children of the Holocaust" must not under any circumstances get any competition for world sympathy. All too many other children cried as well; too many to mention - and also not worthy of mention, right Mr. Newman?

While the people in the cities were burned to death, the inmates of the concentration camps were relatively safe, because, according to Sir Arthur Harris, commander-in-chief of the Royal Air Force bombers, there was no plan to bomb, for example, Auschwitz, as he revealed in an interview with David Irving in 1962. The Allies were very well informed of what was going on in the camps, because of the areal photographs which were taken during this time. They showed nothing of "smoke, selection lines, burning corpses in open pits," etc.; all those horror tales came up after the war.

It also bears pointing out that the so-called "German" government was established in 1945, by the victors, (the Allies) and is closely monitored by the victors to this day. Some nationally

sovereign government, hm? The press and TV are 100% in the hands of the victors; 50 years after the war, Germany still does not have a peace treaty and is excluded from the Community of nations because of the "evil nature of the Germans" and what they have done! It has been deemed necessary to establish a new law in Germany which makes it a criminal offence to deny the Holocaust. Anyone who says "The Holocaust did not happen", or who disputes the gas chambers, is punished with heavy fines and several years in prison. All of Germany's patriots (read "Nazis") are either in exile or already in jail, and therefore successfully silenced. Historians are forbidden to enter the country. German courts allow neither witnesses for the defense, nor any reports by expert witnesses, such as the devastating Rudolf-Report about the formation and detectability of cyanide compounds in the "gas chambers" of Auschwitz - a report drawn up by a physicist at the world-famous Max Planck Institute in Munich. The Institute was forced to dismiss Mr. Rudolf without notice. For what reason? Hate? Truth? And by whom? Well, by whom do you think? Mr. Rudolf now faces criminal prosecution - for not hushing up what his chemical analyses revealed! The German government tries desperately to maintain the status quo, and even urges the Canadian government to do the same with German-Canadian citizens who search for truth and justice.

Up to 1985, we believed everything what was being said about Germany, and our life here in this proud and free Canada was for sure not a proud one. Then, in 1985, we experienced a hate wave of such intensity that this became the turning-point: we started to rebel and to study the past, and what we found out was not at all in favour of the victors, yet very much of us, the beaten Germans. It took now-famous non-Germans to teach us the truth about our past, and this includes what is probably the most important fact, namely that Germany was not guilty of starting WW2 but was forced into the war. This is another fact, among many, which the German people are forbidden to know and to speak about.

It shows that people can be a lot lower than animals. No dog would continue to bite its adversary when it has surrendered and lies defenseless on its back. But "Germany must perish" (Nathan Kaufman), and this goal is still the goal today and will ultimately be fulfilled by means of the forcible multicultural reconfiguration of the German national character. Quotation: Jeffrey M. Peck, in an address to the German Historical Institute, Washington DC, 1993: "With time, I would hope that the image of the German body politic might be changed from exclusively white and Christian to brown, yellow, and black, Muslim, and Jewish."

Now, I suggest that you think long and hard about this so-called democracy; it behooves us to do everything in our power to prevent the establishment of such a police state in Canada, and the defense of freedom of speech and the demand for truth and justice for German-Canadians as well, have everything to do with it. In fact, such endeavours cannot even be decried as "Anti-Semitism" because disputing the Holocaust is one thing, preventing truth and justice is quite another.

Sincerely,

Herta Ruthard

P.S.: I guess if you dared to publish (parts of) this letter, you would be "put in your place" by the "democrats". Oh Canada, we stand on guard for thee! Do we really?



GERMAN CIVILIANS were ordered by American occupiers to turn in all of their weapons (including fencing swords, as held by the man at the right) in 1945. They lined up at collection points all over Germany to do so. GIs were amazed that German civilians owned so many firearms. (U.S. ARMY SIGNAL CORPS PHOTO)

Open letter

The Canadian Intelligence Service 55-8th Ave. S.E. High River, Alberta T1V 1E8

Walter Ruthard R.R. 1 Lisle, Ont., LOM 1MO

Jan. 20. 1995

Re.: "Gun 'Control', Long step towards police state."

Dear Mr. Gostick,

a few days ago I received Vol. 45-No.1 together with your reminder to renew my subscription, for which I enclose a cheque.

As usual, I read everything with great interest and just as at the beginning of my membership many years ago, your newsletter is today as interesting and up-to-date as ever. I can agree wholeheartedly with most of the topics. However, in 'Gun Control' you fell for a fairytale, like most people who depend too much on "truths" spread in our daily papers and by the less than honest expert historians. Please let me explain:

Unlike the other totalitarian regimes of the recent past, the National Socialists of Germany, or Nazis as you like to call them, never confiscated or even tried to ban privately owned fire arms. On the contrary, they encouraged the possession of fire arms. I know this because during that time my father, who did not serve in the armed forces during WW2, quite legally and openly purchased

a double- barreled shotgun, a bolt-action rifle, a 22-caliber rifle, and at least two pistols, together with plenty of live ammunition. He worked in an arms factory and there were many, many more gun owners like him in the country. One of them was my grandfather, a professional hunter, who also owned several fire arms. My father's guns were eventually confiscated after the end of the war, by the French, who obviously were more worried about guns than Adolf Hitler, the dictator, had been.

You would have been aware of the true nature of National Socialist "gun control" policies if you had looked at the primary documents (e.g.Reichsgesetzblatt No. 18, April 20, 1928, and No. 31, March 21, 1938 - the Fire Arms and Ammunitions Acts). These are available in English translation and tell you what today's media do not.

I have long searched for an answer to the puzzle of why Hitler's regime saw no need to disarm the citizens, while in a democracy like the one in Canada, or the one south of the border, the citizens are now being disarmed and therefore made defenseless against what is in store for them in the none too distant future: the demise of "democracy" and in its place the rise of a global dictatorship. I found the explanation only recently when I read about a speech Hitler gave before a large audience. He said, "a mid-sized modern shell costs 3500 marks. A small home for a working-class family also costs 3500 marks. If I enter the arms race, I will need at least 10 million shells. They will then be stored in the arsenals and nobody will thank me for that. But if I build 10 million houses for the workers, I will gain the gratitude of the largest portion of my people. Why should I not wish that the others disarm in order to spare me the costs of arming myself? Being in the center of a Europe armed to the teeth, Germany can't afford to be unarmed."

This is exactly what he did: he built hundreds of thousands of new homes, that were constructed to the highest standards of the time, for the working people. Of course, all this came to an end when he was forced to catch up with Germany's friendly neighbours. I know this might not sit too well with you, but it nevertheless is a historical fact. My parents were the proud owners of one of those houses and of course they had no reason to hate Hitler, and he knew it. He also made certain that young people who wanted to marry received a deduction of one quarter off their mortgage when the first child arrived. This was also the case for each of the following children, so that after the fourth child had been born, the young couple owned

their home 100%. Compare this with the situation we have in our modern democracies!

I also know for a fact that on five different occasions Hitler asked his people in a referendum how they judged his intentions and performance. I have been in this country for 22 years now and I remember just one such event. In post-war Germany, there has been no referendum at all in the 50 years of democracy. According to Chancellor Kohl, the Germans are too stupid to voice their opinions (Maastricht).

Germany, being boycottet world wide during the Third Reich, nevertheless found a way to export her industrial goods to countries, for example in South America, in exchange for raw materials, which did not go over well with the international bankers. The result is well known, it ended in WW2. So much for a comparison between "the most evil dictatorship in history" (Stalin does not count, does he?) and "the best political system we have" (Churchill).

Sincerely,

Walter Ruthard

Walter Ruthard R.R. 1 Lisle, Ont., L0M 1M0

Feb. 27, 1995

Mr. Brian McCurdy R.R. 2 Shelburne, Ont. L0N 1S6

Dear Mr. McCurdy,

I recently received "Corruption in Canada" by Professor R. 0'Driscoll, and although I have not had the time yet to start reading the book, I nevertheless leafed through it, as is a habit of mine.

On page 295, in your otherwise very interesting up-to-date article, there is an error, however, that should be corrected in order to uphold the credibility of this book. Please let me explain. As a German, I am naturally interested in the history of my homeland and thus am probably better informed about the events that occurred during the last 60 years or so in Germany, than most others. In the instance in question, you, like most people, fell for a fairytale, because you are somewhat naive to believe that everything that is told about us Germans is the truth.

The sentences I find fault with are: "Consider what happened in the Soviet Union, in Germany and in China. They took the guns from the people: millions were slaughtered."

I tend to agree with you as far as the events in the Soviet Union and China are concerned. Yet that was not the case in Germany under Hitler's dictatorship, definitely not. The National Socialists never made any attempt to disarm the citizens. On the contrary, they encouraged the acquisition of firearms by the people. I know this for a fact since during WW2 my father openly and legally bought a bolt- action rifle, a double-barreled shotgun, and a 22-caliber rifle, as well as at least two pistols, along with plenty of ammunition. Like him, there were millions of others who privately owned guns. One of my grandfathers, who was a professional hunter, also owned several

firearms.

Adolf Hitler, in contrast to the chancellors who came after him had no reason to fear his citizens, otherwise he could have disarmed them as easily as Stalin or Mao Tse Tung, and now the "democratic" leaders of the New World. I was twelve years old when Germany lost that war and in order to reaffirm what I just laid out to you, let me direct your attention to the "Firearms and Ammunition Act", passed on April 20. 1928 by the government preceding Adolf Hitler's. That law, introduced by a so-called democratic government, five years prior to Hitler's ascension to power, was changed by Hitler's government on March 21. 1938 and actually encouraged the ownership of firearms, which was not the case with the previous law. Both laws, in the original German version and an excellent English translation, are readily available to persons interested in historical truth. They were published in 1994 by National Vanguard Books, P.0. Box 330, Hillsboro, WV 24946. The ISBN is 0-937944-07-6. I leave it up to you to educate yourself on this subject. Yet until I hear otherwise, I consider Professor 0'Driscoll's book and especially your contribution to it as somewhat less than convincing. Since you arc wrong on this subject, how can you be sure to be right on others?

Here is your chance of a lifetime, Mr. McCurdy: nobody enjoys to be put in close association with Adolf Hitler, the most evil dictator the world has ever seen (Stalin with his 150 million victims and Mao Tse Tung with his 80 million are conveniently overlooked). Now, as you have seen and can easily confirm for yourself, Mr Chretien, our Prime Minister, is very much in favour of disarming Canadians, just as Stalin and Mao Tse Tung were with respect to their peoples. You said yourself that they slaughtered them. Hitler did not. What is Mr. Chretien up to? Does he plan to follow the lead of Uncle Joe and his Chinese companion, and I believe that will be the ultimate outcome, or will Hitler go unchallenged? It would be interesting also to hear the response of the Canadian gun clubs who are against gun control. They also should be thankful for this argument that no one of them ever thought of.

I mentioned earlier that Hitler had no reason to fear for his life. This is also a historical fact, although not a very popular one here in the very den of "democracy". He once gave a speech before a large audience, in which he stated: "A modern medium-sized shell costs 3500 marks. A small home for a working-class family also costs 3500 marks. If I have to arm, I would need at least 10 million shells. They will then be stored in the armories and nobody will thank me for this. But if I build 10 million homes, the greatest part of my people will be grateful to me. Why should I not wish that the others disarm and so enable me to save the costs of arming myself? But Germany, being in the center of a Europe armed to the teeth, has no choice but to arm herself."

This is logic that could not be clearer, and this is exactly what he did. He built hundreds of thousands of homes to the most modern standards of the time. I know this for a fact also, because my parents owned such a house. He also made sure that a young couple got a 25% deduction off their mortgage when the first child was born. After four children had been born, the house was owned by the parents 100%.

Now, Mr. McCurdy, compare this with the situations as they are in our modern, advanced and so democratic societies.

Oh yes, democratic. I have been in this country for some 22 years now and can remember one referendum during this time. In pre-war Germany, under Hitler's awful dictatorship, there were at least five referenda, where he asked the people how they judged his performance and intentions. In the fifty years of democracy in Germany since WW2 there was never a referendum. Who is more democratic - Chancellor Kohl, who says that the Germans are too stupid to voice their opinion, or Hitler?

Finally, a personal thought I would like to share with you in connection with what you wrote in Professor O'Driscoll's book. I have already once gone through a scenario such as the one you say is in store for Canadians. I was a child at the time, and eventually came to Canada with a lot of good intentions and high hopes. Over the years, all of these have been taken away from me, bit by bit, only because I am German. And although I took Canadian citizenship, I am still treated as secondclass citizen. I don't want to go through such a catastrophe again, but for me it will be very interesting to see how Canadians will weather a situation not unfamiliar to me. Of course, they will still have their homes to live in, while we lived in ruins, which was a lot tougher to survive.

Sincerely,

Walter Ruthard

Herta Ruthard R. R. 1 Lisle, Ont., L0M 1M0

March 7, 1995

Canadian Broadcasting Corporation Att'n Pamela Wallin P.O. Box 500 Station A Toronto, Ont. M5W 1E6

Dear Pamela,

some time ago, when the anniversary of the Dresden bombing was on TV, you asked: "Was it a war crime?" - the implication being, of course, that it was not. I want to let you take a look into that hell created by the hand of man, and then you can decide for yourself what it was. At the same time I will let you take a look at the massacre in the Sudetenland, which was <u>my</u> homeland. Maybe you will get a faint idea of what was actually done to the Germans.

But back to Dresden: as I watched you that evening on Prime Time News, you asked me on a very personal level: "Was it a war crime?" Even the question itself was already an insult. - Perhaps you will say now, "But the German government is absolutely in tune with us, and they also speak of 30,000 dead." Well, this is the "official" number resulting from numerous self-serving manipulations of data by the Allies, and a far cry indeed from the facts. The Allies' dead had to be exaggerated and the Germans' dead downplayed. But the people of Germany know better.

In 1945 a puppet government was installed in Germany by the victors, and it is closely monitored by the victors to this day. The press and TV are 100% in the hands of the victors; 50 years after the war, Germany still does not have a peace treaty (!), and is excluded from the Community of nations because of the "evil nature of the Germans", and what they have done! It has been deemed necessary to establish a new law in Germany which makes it a criminal offence to deny the Holocaust. Anyone who says "The Holocaust did not happen", or who disputes the gas chambers, is punished with heavy fines and several years in prison. All of Germany's patriots (read "Nazis") are either in exile or already in jail, and therefore successfully silenced. Historians are forbidden to enter the country. German courts allow neither witnesses for the defence, nor any reports by expert witnesses, such as the devastating Rudolf-Report about the formation and detectability of cvanide compounds in the "gas chambers" of Auschwitz - a report drawn up by a chemist at the worldfamous Max Planck Institute in Munich. The Institute was forced to dismiss Mr. Rudolf without notice. Mr. Rudolf now faces criminal prosecution - for not hushing up what his chemical analyses revealed! The German government tries desperately to maintain the status quo, and even urges the Canadian government to do the same with German-Canadian citizens who search for truth and justice.

Please read what I am sending you, and then decide what it was. I am not interested in your answer as a spokesperson of the CBC, because this answer is not relevant to me. And for good reasons. But I am interested in your personal answer, straight from your heart: was it a war crime? Yes or no? If I do not get the answer I expect, or none at all, then I must consider you a heartless human being; a heartless tool of a hypocritical institution.

I am waiting for your answer, Pamela.

Sincerely,

Herta Ruthard

Kanada Kurier, 16. März 1995

Nagel auf den Kopf getroffen?

Napoleon schrieb in Elba über die Deutschen folgendes: "Zwiespalt brauchte ich unter ihnen nie zu säen. Ich brauchte nur meine Netze zu stellen und sie liefen von selbst hinein. Untereinander haben sie sich gewürgt, und sie glaubten damit ihre Pflicht zu tun. Dümmer ist kein anderes Volk

IN ENGLISH ON p. 317

auf der Erde. Keine Lüge kann grob genug ersonnen werden, die Deutschen glauben sie".

Mit dieser Feststellung traf er wohl den Nagel auf den Kopf und es hat sich bis heute nichts daran geändert. Man könnte das wie folgt analysieren:

Zwiespalt: Friedrich Lenz schrieb 1952 ein schr lesenswertes Buch "Der ekle Wurm der deutschen Zwietracht".

Würgepflicht: Bieten die Leserbriefe im KK nicht ein recht anschauliches Beispiel dafür, wie der Deutsche den Deutschen in allen Variationen bis hin zur Bösartigkeit in den Rücken fällt? Bei manchen geht das "Pflichtgefühl" sogar soweit, daß Sie am liebsten die kanadische Regierung einschalten möchten, um uns Deutschkanadier beobachten zu lassen! Oder man denke an die besonders gemeinen Anwürfe gegen Herrn Hartel, weil er auf der Suche nach Wahrheit so manchen Zeitgenossen aufden Wecker fällt! Recht und Wahrheit gehören zusammen. Wer sich nicht um die Wahrheit schert, der hat auch nicht viel für das Recht übrig. Und hier trennt sich die Spreu vom Weizen. Spreu, die ihre Flugrichtung immer dem Wind anpaßt.

Dummheit: Dem kann nicht widersprochen werden. Lüge: Kein Kommentar.

Friedrich Hebbel (1813 bis 1863) "Viele Deutsche glauben, sich jetzt dadurch patriotisch zu zeigen, daß sie Deutschland als Spucknapf gebrauchen." Wie zeitgemäß!

Herta Ruthard Lisle, ON

Open letter

TV Ontario P.0.Box 200 2180 Young Toronto, Ont. M4T 2T1 Herta Ruthard R.R. 1 Lisle, Ont., L0M 1M0

March 30, 1995

Ladies/Gentlemen,

since investing in a new TV antenna we receive many more channels than before. We discovered TV Ontario and we must say we were impressed by the programs. Seeing your requests to your viewers to help the station financially, we decided to send you the enclosed cheque. We were

very pleased indeed to finally have found a good alternative to the CBC, which over the years we have come to know as a terrible hate-monger where Germany and us German-Canadians are concerned.

Yes, we were happy with TVO - until friends sent us a video tape of your program "The Wonderful Horrible Life of Leni Riefenstahl", Feb. 26, 1995. Since we do not spend every waking minute glued to the TV screen, we missed that piece, which we had wanted to see.

What a shocking, disgusting disappointment this film was! Here is a comment from another viewer: "In Germany last year I also saw the movie about the 1936 Olympics. We saw a very enthusiastic Hitler, and so I was eager to learn what the TVO broadcast would show. It only took ten minutes before I gave up in disgust. It's unbelievable what they dare to serve their gullible viewing public. The same old story over and over again. If I hadn't seen the original 1936 film, I'd have no choice but to believe TVO!"

So we have had to realize that you are not much different from the CBC after all. How ironic; here we had the video of your disgraceful broadcast, and on the very same table lay our cheque in an already stamped and addressed envelope! How glad we were that it was not mailed yet! Our first reaction was to take the cheque and tear it up, but then we thought - why not write you and tell you how we feel?

The expression on your announcer's face, together with his comments as he introduced this film, has got to be one of the most insulting things about it all. He was probably working towards a promotion . In Germany your young man would have incurred criminal charges for non-verbal slander if he had dared to comment with such an expression on a film about Jews, for example. It happened only recently to a German; while translating something regarding the Holocaust from English to German for his audience, he did not control his facial expression enough and let the hint of a smile escape. The smile was his mistake; it was deemed an insult to persons deceased, and so he was pushed under the grindstone of German" (?) "democratic" (?) "justice" (?), with all its devastating consequences.

We, being Canadians of German descent, know that certain passages in your program "The Wonderful Horrible Life of Leni Riefenstahl" (Feb.26, 1995) were incorrect, to say the least. For example, what does the scene of naked bodies being bulldozed into a mass grave have to do with Mrs. Riefenstahl? Those bodies were the victims of an epidemic of typhus in a concentration camp. The reason why they died in such large numbers was the Allied bombing spree shortly before the end of the war, which made it impossible to provide the inmates with medication and food. As you will surely remember, the same thing happened not too long ago, in Rwanda. The implication of inserting this scene into your program is, of course, to imply a connection between Mrs. Riefenstahl and the alleged Holocaust. We enclose a few copies of British soldiers bulldozing bodies into pits after the war. Look at the face masks the soldiers are wearing! Proof enough that what we just told you (about the epidemic) is true.

By now we are used to the national Canadian Sport: German-bashing. this sport can flourish the way it does only because we German- Canadians have broad shoulders, with room for everyone to dump his hateful feelings on. We are, after all, a very peaceful and patient people. But everything must end sooner or later - our patience included, as well as our willingness to turn the other cheek to no end. Why should we pay to get dumped on all the time? Is it a matter of malice, mere thoughtlessness, or orders from "higher up"? Have you ever considered the potential that lies in financial support from Canada's secondlargest ethnic group? Support that would be yours if you could find it within yourselves to finally report in a fair and unbiased manner about your enemies of, after all, 50 years ago! There are enough wealthy people among this ethnic group, I assure you. The only group you would fail to draw donations from would be those German-Canadians whom your bias has already turned off forever those who have preserved their pride, and that includes us.

Enclosed we are sending you some informative materials. If you bother to take the time to read it, you will be surprised at what you discover. Not everything that is said about us is true. One example is the piles of bodies of the dead of Dresden, which were shamelessly touted as "Jewish victims of the Nazis".

Another insult is the downplayed tragedy of Dresden. We don't know what sort of comments you made (regarding the 50th anniversary of the Dresden massacre earlier this year, but unfortunately we're quite sure that it was in line with the officially sanctioned opinion. A City of over one million inhabitants, including 600,000 refugees from the East, which was almost completely destroyed in three successive British and American bombing attacks, cannot possibly have only 30,000 dead. We will give you a very simple statistical example: It came up in the course of an interview with the former Fire Chief of Dresden, after the "Death of Dresden". The Fire Chief stated the number of dead as 275,000. On being asked how he had arrived at this figure, he said: "It's an easy calculation. 275,000 food ration cards were not picked up afterwards." Anyone living at that time in Germany will see how unquestionable this piece of evidence is. And only residents of Dresden were entitled to food ration cards; the refugees that had flooded into this city were to be taken care of by the Red Cross. So we're looking at 275,000 residents <u>plus</u> the refugees from the East! Women, children, old men. What a heroic deed!

Can you tell us why people responsible for this get medals and monuments, while others (small fry) who allegedly committed war crimes half a century ago - crimes which look like pocketchange compared to the wiping-out of German cities - are harassed in the most disgusting manner and are even stripped of their citizenship? This is beyond the comprehension of normal people's minds, and can only be called sick and insane. It's the "justice" of a morally utterly bankrupt society! And of course we taxpayers can always be milked for more funds with which to perpetuate this outrage.

In order to downplay the number of refugees in Dresden, the lie has been spread that only relatives of the inhabitants of this city were allowed to seek shelter there. The following eyewitness report published in the Deutsche Presse of March 1, 1995, p.9, tells otherwise. Translation: ". . . When the Soviets approached Germany's second-largest city, Breslau, it was recommended that women and children leave the city. I, then a 14-year-old, trudged beside my mother through snow and ice to the main train station. Our two suitcases were left in the station, as this enormously long train had no space left for luggage. Standing crowded in the train's toilet cabin along with six other persons we drove to Dresden. Red Cross trucks took us to a school there, where some 500 people were crammed into each classroom, layered in their coats like sardines. This one single school building was filled from top to basement with a total of some 10,000 refugees. Sleeping people even filled the wide stairwells so that there was only a very narrow passage left. For weeks, one train after another arrived at Dresden."

30,000 dead? Can you honestly still believe this? And there are even debates held about
"Was it a War Crime" at all? (CBC Prime Time News: Anniversary of the Dresden bombing) The bottom line, of course, is that it was not. Because after all it was only the just punishment richly deserved by an "evil nation". Unfortunately it would be beyond the scope of a mere letter to educate you about the true nature of that "evil nation".

But back to that insulting broadcast of Feb. 26, which is after all the cause of our letter of protest. This letter has grown very long now, yet still it tells you only a fraction of what hurts our feelings so badly.

Yes, we German-Canadians also have feelings; does anyone ever think far enough to consider that perhaps we identify with our roots and that it hurts us when people speak and write so hatefully about our homeland and our ancestors, particularly since so much of it is distorted or just plain untrue? You wouldn't presume to treat any other ethnic group like this.

As far as financial support is concerned, we are afraid that you have not much to expect from this ethnic group, whose toes you so love to trample on - unless you were to strive for an honest and true-to-fact portrayal of those fateful events called history.

Sincerely,

Herta Ruthard

P.S.: Comment on your program "The Battle of Britain" of March 23:

Once again, this is a masterpiece at portraying those who goaded Germany into war, as in fact being her innocent victims, and at libeling Germany as the aggressor who would have liked nothing better than to conquer the entire world in blitzkrieg manner. In truth it was exactly the other way 'round. God is our witness! Britain had already bombed German cities at least five times before Hitler decided to strike back. And when the televiewing public is presented with the "miracle of Dunkirk", then the miracle is always about how the English managed to escape those dumb Germans. In actual fact, this "inexplicable" miracle went like this: (conversation between Leon Degrelle and Hitler): "Why on earth didn't you finish the British off in Dunkirk? Everyone knew you could have wiped them out." He answered: "Yes, I withheld my troops and let the British escape back to England. The humiliation of such a defeat would have made it difficult to try for peace with them afterwards."

This miracle was just one more peace offer made by that great admirer of England, Adolf Hitler. His passion for things British, and his excuses for all British misdeeds, was his biggest mistake, and eventually broke his neck, because England did not want peace; what she wanted was the total destruction of Germany. The first German retaliatory bombing of a British city must have been an enormous self-denial of Hitler's feelings towards England.

Germany was involved in a desperate war against Communism, that system that threatened to devour all of Europe, and we have only Germany to thank for the fact that Europe did not become one huge Stalinist gulag. Germany sacrificed everything - her entire elite was mustered up as

bulwark against this danger; "... from every country in Europe thousands of young men, in all 600,000 made up their minds that the destiny of their native country was at stake, and joined the Waffen SS." (Leon Degrelle: "Epic: The Story of the Waffen SS") But Britain and America had other plans.

As early as July 25, 1939, for example, the British Lord Dawson of Penn told Sven Hedin. the famous Swedish scholar: "A new world war . . . is more than due, and we will take the opportunity when it presents itself . . . We understand that there will be nothing left of civilization afterwards, but we will not hesitate one instant."

Or take what Churchill said in a radio address to the British people in November 1939: "This war is a British war and its goal is the destruction of Germany."

Or consider, as another example, what Lord Vansittart said to the German Resistance traitor Kordt: "England will wage this war right to the end, and like Samson in the Bible we will tear down the pillars of the palace and bury everything beneath the rubble!"

These are only three of hundreds, even thousands of examples that show the true state of affairs to anyone who cares to look; however, we won't hold our breath waiting for you to present any of this on TVO.

In order to cover up this "heroic agenda", Johnny Regular must be thoroughly blinded with half-truths and outright lies, and of course television is the best accessory to this fact.

TVO can also pat itself on the back in this context. Congratulations.

Kanada Kurier, 30. März 1995

"Only a dead bug is a good bug".

Nach dieser Devise wird gespritzt, begast, ausgerottet auf Teufel komm raus und die wenigsten ahnen, wie sehr sie dabei selber zu Schaden kommen. Ich spreche von den ungebetenen Gästen, die sich die menschlichen Behausungen als Heimstätte erwählt haben. Wenn man dann noch sein Domizil - so wie wir - im Busch aufgeschlagen hat und gar noch in einem Holzhaus, dann kann man seine Wunder erleben. Ein solches - das unsere - will ich hier schildern

Eines Tages bemerkten wir, daß an der Westwand unseres Hauses von oben her "Sägespäne" herabrieselten. Wie uns die Nachbarn sagten, waren das "carpenter ants". Da war guter Rat teuer! Wie sollte man das inspizieren? Die Wand herausreißen? Das Dach abmontieren? Die Wände hatten eine doppelte Verschalung mit einer Menge Isolation, und auch das Dach. Von innen ging es auch nicht, weil das Haus keinen Dachboden hat. Was hätte es auch für einen Zweck gehabt? Sie wären wohl lediglich ein paar Meter weiter gewandert.

So versuchten wir, das Problem zu ignorieren bis die Sorgen ernst wurden. Eine chemische Insektenvernichtung kam nicht in Frage, weil Gift für uns schon immer tabu war. Außerdem war

OPEN LETTER TO PRIME MINISTER CHRETIEN

Herta Ruthard R.R. 1 Lisle, Ont., L0M 1M0

May 14, 1995

Dear Mr. Prime Minister:

recently I came across a rather disquieting prediction; it was contained in a book with a somewhat touchy title, and since this is an open letter I will refrain from naming the book - but I will tell you where the prediction originated. I am quoting Dr. John Coleman of British Intelligence:

"...by the year 2050 at least four billion useless eaters will be eliminated by one means or another. The population of Canada, western Europe and the United States are to be decimated more rapidly than on other continents until the world's population reaches a manageable level of one billion..."

It is no secret that Stalin disarmed his citizens and then had millions of them murdered. It is also no secret that Mao Tse-tung did the same. All evil dictators availed themselves of this means to render their citizens defenseless.

In light of these examples, can you please explain to me why you desire to disarm us Canadians? Why does Mr. Clinton want to disarm the Americans? Why are we all to be rendered defenseless? The argument that this is intended to control the crime rate is really a rather cheap excuse and needs no further discussion. What is wrong here, and in need of change, is merely the law, under which the protection is practically reserved for the criminals and the punishment for the victims; there are countless examples of this - but of course this is of concern only to the average citizen, who does not have a bodyguard, only his firearm, of which he is to be deprived.

Disarmament of the people is a degrading and incapacitating measure. It is always and only ever the enemy whom one disarms! Are we considered to be (future) enemies of the state, Mr. Chretien?

Another point for concern is the "open door" policy. It seems as though foreign criminals are very welcome in Canada (and the USA) so as to push the crime rate up and the gun control law through. Forgive me for saying it so bluntly, but this is a pattern which only the dumbest among us have remained blind to.

And what's this about the elimination of four billion useless eaters? Must I consider myself, my family and my friends to be counted among those?

"Manageable level"! Does this mean that a slave's existence under the knout of "managers"

is what is in store for the remainder?

It frightens me greatly to have the abovementioned historical events pass my mind's eye and then to compare them with your and Mr. Clinton's current endeavours. Both governments mentioned were dictatorships. Should one not assume that in a democracy the citizens ought to have the right to keep and bear arms? We do live in a democracy; or don't we? I almost get the impression that we are slipping into a sort of dictatorship. The ever-increasing efforts to curtail the free expression of opinions and now even the "punishment" of two Liberal Members of Parliament who voted against the gun control bill are cause for great concern.

It is becoming increasingly evident that the individual rights of Canadian citizens are rapidly being eroded. One only needs to think of the presumptuousness of the medical establishment towards its competition - presumptuousness that has reached a level where people are talking of a "medical maffia" and rightly so! The Charter of Rights and Freedoms does not appear bo be held in high regard any more, but it is all that the people have that makes life worth living.

I as law-abiding Canadian citizen and taxpayer, who helped with my vote to bring you to power, Mr. Chretien, claim the right to ask you what all this is supposed to mean, and I think I am entitled to an honest answer. This answer is what I ask of you, Mr. Chretien.

Sincerely,

Herta Ruthard



Kanada Kurier, 29. Juni 1995

Multikultur hat lange Vergangenheit

Betr.: Leserbrief von Herrn Folkens in Ihrer Ausgabe vom 27.4.95

Herr Folkens zeichnet ein düsteres Bild der Zustände in unserer alten Heimat. Daß leider nur zu wahr ist, was er schreibt, kann man bei den verschiedensten Quellen selbst nachprüfen.

Die Mißstände, die drüben herrschen, insbesondere der Mißbrauch des Asylrechtes - 97% der Asylsuchenden werden gerichtlich abgelehnt, und bleiben trotzdem im Land - führen zu riesigen Kosten für den Steuerzahler, die vermeidbar wären und das Geld könnte für Wichtigeres verwendet werden. In nur 10 Jahren haben die Deutschen mindestens vierhundert Milliarden Mark für Asylbewerber ausgegeben.

Trotzdem ist das nicht genug. In München z.B. müßen die Schüler einer höheren Schule als Pflichtfach Türkisch lernen. Man sollte meinen, daß es umgekehrt sein müßte und die eingewanderten Türken Deutsch zu lernen hätten. Ein anderes Beispiel ist die Verfassungsbeschwerde von Zigeunern, die ihre Vertreter in die Rundfunk- und Fernsehsender, sowie die Privatsender schicken wollen.

Dies alles und noch viel mehr sind die Folgen der den Deutschen aufgezwungenen Multikultur, die von der Mehrheit der (allerdings nicht um ihre Meinung befragten) Bevölkerung abgelehnt wird.

Das Konzept der Multikultur hat eine lange Vergangenheit. Schon 1923 schrieb Coudenhove-Kalergi in seinem Buch "Paneuropa", dass der kommende Mensch der Zukunft ein Mischling sein wird. Für Paneuropa (das ganze Europa) wünscht er sich eine eurasisch-negroide Zukunftsrasse. Da ist keine Rede mehr von der weißen Rasse. Und in der Tat, man findet in großen Teilen der Welt heute schon kaum noch Weiße; in Mexiko und Südamerika z.B. Die Nachkommen aus Mischehen sind zwangsläufig Mischlinge. Mit andern Worten, die Multikultur führt zum Verschwinden der Weißen, die ohnehin nie mehr als 20% der Erdbevölkerung ausmachten. So geschen kann man mit Recht die erzwungene Multikultur als Völkermord ansehen. Kriege haben nicht nur weiße Völker, die Europäer, gemacht. Das kann man nicht als Vorwand nehmen, um sie durch Auflösung zu bestrafen. Was immer der wirkliche Grund sein mag, die Europäer haben auch unendlich viel Gutes für die Menschheit geleistet, von dem alle profitieren. Ihr Anteil am Fortschritt auf allen Gebieten ist weitaus größer als es ihrer Zahl an der Weltbevölkerung entspricht.

So gesehen ist die Multikultur auch purer Rassismus. Die einen werden ausgerottet und die andern werden zu diesem Zweck mißbraucht. Wie weit wir darin schon gekommen sind, zeigt ein Beispiel aus den USA, als Jesse Jackson am 27. Nov.93, wie in der *Frankfurter Allgemeine Zeitung* zitiert, sagte:

"An diesem Punkt meines Lebens gibt es für mich nichts Schmerzlicheres, als durch eine Strasse zu gehen, Schritte zu hören, an einen Überfall zu denken, mich umzudrehen, einen Weißen zu sehen und Erleichterung zu verspüren..."

> Walter Ruthard Lisle, ON

OPEN LETTER to the

Guelph Mercury att'n. Bill Whitelaw, Editor 8-14 Macdonnell St. P.0. Box 3604 G u c l p h, Ont. N1H 6P7 Walter Ruthard R.R. 1 Lisle, Ont., L0M 1M0

July 10, 1995

Dear Mr. Whitelaw,

I was informed that on June 30 the *Guelph Mercury* printed a letter by Mr. T. Sher Singh and I have read it as it was reprinted in the German weekly the *Kanada Kurier*.

Mr. Singh's letter is biased and riddled with errors. A few of them I will outline to you, hoping that you will give my or anyone else's rebuttal a chance to be published for fairness' sake. For reasons of space, I can only pick a few of Mr. Singh's comments, but nevertheless I would be very grateful if you would consider them.

1) Germany neither was nor is a nation of warmongers. Professor Quincy Wright writes in his book "A Study of War", Vol.1, page 221, that the European powers from 1480 til 1940 were involved in 278 wars. According to him the countries involved are, in percentage order:

England 28% of these wars, France 26%, Spain 23%, Russia 22%, Austria 19%, Turkey 15%, Poland 11%, Sweden 9%, Italy 9%, Holland 8%, Germany 8%.

This is a rather clean record for Germany, which can be verified in various other sources. And it should be noted that quite often the wars that Germany was involved in were wars between different German countries and did not involve her neighbours.

2) It is not true that "the German juggernaut tried to conquer the world with the cheers of its populace". As far as I know this lie was invented for propaganda purposes by President Roosevelt in order to get Americans ready for war. A simple reflection using one's own brain should clear up this "misunderstanding" and reveal that the facts were rather the other way around: Germany in 1939 had a population of 78 million. The rest of the world numbered some 3 billion. In 1939 the British Empire included almost 40 million square kilometers, which were acquired in about 150 years. During the same time-span Germany shrank from more than 700 000 to only 500 000 square kilometers. Today she is even smaller by at least another 25%. In light of these facts (real ones), Mr. Singh's comments sound rather silly.

I think it is time to interest oneself more in the facts as they happened than in fifty-year-old propaganda that is quite often based on hate and outright lies, and even a desire to exterminate the Germans, as I could also prove to you.

Sincerely,

Walter Ruthard

Walter Ruthard R.R. 1 Lisle, ON., L0M 1M0

July 10, 1995

Mr. Singh,

it was with great dismay that I read your article "Forgive? Yes. Forget? Never" which first appeared in the *Guelph Mercury* on June 30, 1995 and was reprinted in the German weekly *Kanada Kurier* on July 6, 1995.

As a German who still was a child, not even a teenager, when the events you describe came to an end, I feel obliged to respond to your letter, faintly hoping that first you will read it and second that you are not beyond reason - something that distinguishes man from fool.

You call us warmongers who terrorized the world and claimed to be superior to all other human beings. Three untruths are contained in this sentence alone. I will not even try to speculate on the source where you found them, but I will give you a few quotations from Winston Churchill that should cause you, as a being capable of reason, to reflect on your claim that Germans were, or are, warmongers. I hope that you are man enough to read on, because what you are going to read now is not what you are spoon-fed along with the other pablum you seem to enjoy so much.

In February 1915 (!) Mr. Churchill said in an interview with the "Matin" "I will choke Germany at her throat til her heart gives out."

And in 1932 (!) in his book "Thoughts and Adventures", he said:

"Everything which happened in the four years of the world war (1914-1918) was only a prelude to what was in preparation for the fifth year. The battle of 1919 would have seen a huge increase of the destroying forces. Thousands of air planes would have destroyed with bombs the German cities. The battle of 1919 was never fought but its ideas live on. Death waits in readiness. Perhaps next time it will be necessary to kill women and children or the civilian population on the whole, and at the end the goddess of victory will be wed to the one who will be able to organize this to the most powerful extend."

Both quotations (and there are many more) were made before Hitler came to power. When Hitler came to power, Germany was confined to an area that was considerably smaller than it had been only fifteen years before. Britain with her Empire was at her greatest extent and I don't believe that all this territory was acquired by peaceful means alone.

Now to the claim that Germany terrorized the world. Actually the above paragraph answers this quite clearly, but let's elaborate a bit more. When Germany invaded Poland in 1939, it was only

after a long period of negotiations, trying to avoid exactly such an event. Poland was the pawn used by Britain as a means to start a war with Germany, as is clearly shown by the historical fact that Britain declared war only on Germany and not also on the Soviet Union who invaded Poland at the same time. If it was the duty of the U.S.A. to invade the tiny island of Grenada because American lives were threatened (but never lost), it was even more the duty of the German government to rescue the Germans living in Poland who were in fact murdered by the thousands by the Poles, often in the most bestial manner.

And as for the accusation that we considered ourselves superior to all other human beings, that is an old wives' tale, as you will find out a little further on.

That your relatives and you with them had to make a superhuman effort to bring Germany to her knees is a bit overblown, don't you think? Germany had at that time less than seventy million people, the rest of the world around had three billion. It took you six years to defeat us, so I would think that the superhuman effort was rather more on the German side. It is not even necessary to underline the fact that Germany never did any harm to, let's say, Canada, the U.S.A., even Britain or France, let alone to your country India, and especially the Punjab, Mr. Singh. That large parts of Europe came under German control in the course of the war was a consequence of the actions of the imperialistic powers like Britain, who had, for instance colonies while Germany had none. India was owned by Britain, in case you forgot.

I get the impression, Mr. Singh, that you might not even be what your name suggests, namely a Sikh, but that will not deter me from saying what I have to tell you. You allude to the six million Jews. When I went to school after the war, we were confronted with the "fact" that we belonged to a murderous race and killed twelve and one half (12.5) million of those people who, as you put it, practiced a different faith. In the past decades I have even seen claims of forty (40) million, or twohundred and fifty (250) million murdered Jews! In the meantime those claims have miraculously shrunk to approximately 3 million. No, your eyes don't deceive you. When one takes into account that the real experts on this problem, the Jews themselves, came up with this figure - especially Mr. Yehuda Bauer, Professor for Contemporary History at the University of Jerusalem - then what is your conclusion, Mr. Singh? Do you still believe in this figure of 6 million that everybody is talking about? So much for the "millions of innocent human beings" of your mention.

That, of course, also answers your claim that the war years of 1939 - 1943 stand out forever as the ugliest. In 1945 there were two wars in the whole world, with total losses of maybe 50 million people, of which I believe only half, including Germans. Since those two wars ended, the world has seen some three hundred armed conflicts with a loss of at least another 50 million lives, and none were caused by Germans. Who are the warmongers now, Mr. Singh? And don't even think that I for one will ever forget what happened from 1939 'til 1945 and after.

I remember the at least one million German prisoners of war who were declared by Mr. Eisenhower to be "disarmed personnel", only so he could starve them to death after the hostilities ended. I remember the 3.5 million prisoners of war who disappeared without a trace in your friend Stalin's Gulag together with countless millions of others. I remember the three million women, children and old men who were butchered, also after the war, by your friends the Poles, the Czechs,

the Yugoslavs, and others, and there are also a couple of hundreds of thousands of civilians who were roasted alive, just as Mr. Churchill demanded. Of course, they all were only Germans who don't count very much in your calculations, Mr. Singh, am I right?

Assuming that you are in fact a Sikh, Mr. Singh, your people in the Punjab are also not exactly saints. Over there innocent people are also killed, or shall I say butchered? I am sure your conscience conveniently overlooks these things, because it is easier to point one's finger at others. There is an English word for this, namely "cant". That's what it is, like it or not.

I don't know how you bury your dead in the Punjab but even here in Canada we have crematoria and I have yet to hear one single complaint against the stench they, according to you, are causing. Elaborate on this, it is worthwhile. Screaming V2-rockets? What should the Germans have done to satisfy you? Throw tomatoes? The rest of the rockets that were not used up were taken by the Americans, who eagerly improved on them. Today they are capable of blowing the whole world to pieces with them, together with the Russians, the French, the British, and don't forget also India. And in all of this madness, not one German takes part, a fact also overlooked by you.

As a delicate aside, Mr. Singh, let me mention that 3,000 Sikhs served as volunteers in the German army under Hitler, who never came up with the idea of forbidding them to wear their religious headgear, their turbans. He was a strange character. On the one hand he supposedly considered them subhumans, as the rest of mankind, and then he tolerated turbans in his army! Might he have been, of all things, more democratic than even today's democrats? What an irony!

It is interesting that you write that history is written by the victors, and it is even more interesting that you do in fact see the results of this manipulation of history: a mendacious, sanctimonious creation of the Allies. Does it really take another superhuman effort on your part to accept that it is your side who has so much to hide from the eyes of future generations? And then, how can you point your finger at the German soldiers for not refusing to follow the orders of their superiors?

I believe that the disappearance of Jewish neighbours was observed in many cases (my parents did too), but then, it was war, wasn't it? And not only for six years, since war was already declared on Germany by the Jews in 1933. You don't believe me? Well, read their declaration of war against Germany in the London "Daily Express" on the 24th of March, 1933. Nevertheless they were relatively free to pursue their daily lives 'til the real hostilities began. Germans in this country went behind barbed wire very much sooner. By the way, the proper figure of Jews in Germany at that time was not in the millions at all, it was far below even one million.

The German juggernaut conquering the world? Come on, Mr. Singh, you can't be this naive! Seventy-eight million ruling over three billion? Or compare the land area that comprised Germany at that time with the area occupied by Britain alone! Use your brain, Mr. Singh, and not the ones of others who try to bamboozle you.

Violent racists in Germany today? I think you believe what you want to believe, regardless of the facts. There is no country in the democratic world that prosecutes more ruthlessly anyone

who doesn't believe in the official version of the truth. If there is violence there, it is to a large extent committed by so-called refugees, and that's what the official statistics show that are compiled by a government more in favour of others than of its own people. It is a blatant lie to say that Germans subject their present-day Jews to racism. The Jews themselves disprove this by immigrating and staying in the country of the alleged murderers of their fathers. They could just as easily go to Israel or any other country. Why don't they?

You ask, what have Germans done to further the rights of their fellow men in the last 50 years. Well, sir, here is the answer: During the last fifty years they paid at least one hundred billion D-Marks to Israel and the Jews. During the last ten years alone they paid another one hundred billion to feed, house, and protect the rights of millions of strangers in their own country, who are not all refugees by a long shot. Can your country claim a similar record? I don't think so. During the last fifty years, they also did not cause, directly or indirectly one single war. Is your country's record as clean, Mr. Singh? And there is more, let's see, if you can match it.

Since you obviously can't get out of this vicious circle of hate against Germans, then, in order to support your pride in not being German and above all not to be accused of being a hypocrite, you should get rid of your car. It's a German invention. Shoes should be safe, though, since I don't know who invented them. Get rid of your lawn mower, power boat, anything that is powered by an internal combustion engine. They were all invented by Germans, be they two-cycle, four-cycle, rotary, or Diesel. Do you use electric appliances in your house? Your wife won't like this, but the electric motor was invented by Werner von Siemens, a German. Don't travel by air either, the jet engine is also a German invention. Don't use your telephone anymore. Philipp Reis invented it in 1861. He was a German and beat Alexander Graham Bell to it by fifteen years. Do you watch television? Well, it won't work without a picture tube and its inventor was Mr. Braun, a German. For heaven's sake never go to a dentist or into a hospital. They use X-ray machines, a discovery by Mr. Röntgen who was also a German. Don't read books, or for that matter anything that's printed, because it was a German who invented it. Throw your computer out; Mr. Zuse, a German invented it and is still alive today. And finally use candles in your home, it was Mr. Goebel who invented the light bulb before Mr. Edison did. As you see, life will be much easier without Germans.

I will spare myself the trouble of going into science, philosophy, arts, etc. and will leave those spheres of knowledge for your curiosity to discover: Germans everywhere. However, I will tell you what they did not invent. They did not invent the atomic bomb, neither did they invent the hydrogen bomb or, for that matter, the neutron bomb. Of course, they never dropped one on their fellow men. It would be very informative for you to discover who those inventors were.

Just in case the above is not enough to convince you here is another aside, Mr. Singh, one that is well suited to demonstrating that Germans did something to contribute to the well-being of their fellow men, be they white or colored. What I mean is nerve gases. The Germans, even during the very last stages of World War II, were still capable of using nerve gases in the East and in the West and there was nothing the enemies had at their disposal to protect themselves. The Germans did not in a single instance use these deadly weapons because all life would have been wiped out on your side together with the civilian populations everywhere. It takes an extraordinary human greatness, in the face of certain death, not to apply those weapons which would have turned the tide.

207

Which other nation was ever in a similar situation and reacted equally? Was it Britain, whose premier Winston Churchill in 1924 (!) wrote in the magazine "Pall Mall":

"I am in favour of dropping methodically prepared germs on humans and animals. Blight to destroy the crops, anthrax to exterminate horses and cattle, plague to kill not only whole armies but also the inhabitants of wide areas."

And, not to tax your attention span much longer, only one final aside: In the first world war, and contrary to the myths widely believed even in our enlightened days, it was not the Germans who first used poison gas on the battlefield. This honour belongs also to the British and French.

You don't have to believe one word that I have written, Mr. Singh. Prove me wrong if you can but stay with the truth, not with a victoriously manipulated history. That would be the only way to come to a fair understanding between all humans.

Sincerely,

Walter Ruthard

P.S.: I wonder if the anti-hate law that is in the making also applies to people like you or if it is only meant to muzzle Germans in order to keep them from speaking the truth. It is for sure not love, but raw hatred, that one can read in every single line of your tasteless letter.

The Toronto Star, November 6, 1995

We must never forget Nazi crimes

It appears I'm now on Ernst Zundel's mailing list.

Recently, the morning mail brought a thick and ominous-looking package. Probably meant to be menacing and intimidating, it carried a roughly cut out picture of me, from my regular column in The Star, glued next to my name and address on top of the envelope. And it boasted a caption: *Educational Material*.

It contained a wad of materials extolling the virtues of, among others, Zundel himself. He is described in one piece as having "an impeccable record of deportment as a valuable and productive member of society."

There is a newsletter authored by Zundel himself, with selected passages painstakingly underlined in red for my benefit. One highlighted section refers to Rudolf Hess, Hitler's fanatic confidant and deputy, as "the martyr of piece."

Another letter, addressed to the "Teachers of America,' from "Ernst Zundel, spokesman, The Committee to investigate Allied War Crimes," states: "You starved us, robbed us, murdered us, raped our women and you succeeded in killing millions of Germans. You committed 'genocide' again and again.

Elsewhere, it lists the millions of Germans - (9 million and more?) - supposedly massacred by the Jews, Allies, etc.

Why me? Why this honor of a direct mailing from the great communicator himself? Well, you see, last summer I wrote a column (published in a Guelph newspaper) around the time of the 50th anniversary of V-E Day. It was also within the context of a growing clamour from a handful of people demanding that the book on World War II and the atrocities by the Nazis be closed forever. Let's forgive and forget, was the rallying cry.

The title of my piece was *Forgive, Yes; Forget, Never!* I laid out my reasons and concluded with: "All children, everywhere, must be told of what happened 50 years ago. Not of the glory of war, but of the potential of evil in all human beings and the need to grapple with it every day of our lives. Let's not let them grow up without ever knowing what happened 50 years ago. That would be the ultimate tragedy."

Hence, Zundel's desire to re-educate me. His is not an isolated package. There's been a barrage of letters, both to me and the newspaper that printed my column. Probably because Zundel's missive also carries a small, typewritten, unsigned stick-on note, promising: "Our answer to your hateful letter . . . will be circulated worldwide."

M.M. Becker of Toronto writes: "After reading your article . . . I came to the conclusion, you are a Nazi."

Walter Ruthard cautions that one should be wary of the "50-year-old propaganda that is quite often based on hate and outright lies, and even a desire to exterminate the Germans.."

Wolfgang G. Mueller questions my "moral authority on the subject." He also asks "what (T. Sher Singh) has done to prevent the slaughter between Hindus and the Muslims in 1948 and what he is doing now to prevent the coming war between the two countries (India and Pakistan)?"

There are other letters. Some insist I should stick to issues in "my own country" - and they clearly spell it out for me: India. Others explain how the Jews have only benefited from the war: Billions of dollars have been paid to them; how much more do they want? is the recurring theme.

All I can do, after reviewing all of the responses carefully, is simply reiterate: Let's never, ever forget what happened 50 years ago. Especially not while the poison remains.

T. Sher Singh is a Guelph lawyer whose column appears every other Monday on The Star.

The Toronto Star Letters to the Editor One Younge Street Toronto, Ont., M5E 1E6 Walter Ruthard R.R. 1 Lisle, Ont., L0M 1M0 (705) -466-5851

209

November 1995

Re: Mr. T. Singh's column in the Toronto Star, Nov.6,1995: "We must never forget Nazi crimes."

Dear Letters Editor,

I am one of the persons Mr. Singh mentions in his column and I feel obliged to respond to it.

It is not only that Mr. Singh seems to be very considerate of the little children, conveniently forgetting that in this country <u>other</u> little children, like my daughter, had to learn the hard way that they have a German background - it is also that he obviously did not understand the least of what I wrote to him on that earlier occasion.

As a human rights activist, he undoubtedly is aware that hate, even against Germans, is directed at the children, and I get the impression that these children are beneath his consideration.

I offered Mr. Singh proof that the Germans were the foremost candidates for extermination in World War II. He should carefully study what the Morgenthau plan meant: the starvation and thus the elimination of at least 30 million Germans by converting their highly industrialized country into a purely agricultural society. Only the outbreak of the Cold War prevented the complete implementation of this plan, yet in the city of Freiburg for instance, no baby born in 1946 (when this plan was still in effect) survived.

Then there was Mr. Kaufman, who wanted to totally eliminate all Germans by sterilizing them. He gave exact numbers and the methods by which this was to be achieved. He wrote his book "Germany Must Perish" as early as in 1941, long before there was any talk of Auschwitz. It is still available today for Mr. Singh's education.

So, since the would-be exterminators Morgenthau and Kaufman were not entirely successful in their endeavors, the poison, as you put it, Mr. Singh, remains. Too bad, because otherwise the world today would be completely free of poison and a happier place enjoying the predicted eternal peace. There would be no Zundels around, no Muellers, no Beckers and no Ruthards, only good people like yourself, and you would not have to write angry letters. It would be just heavenly, wouldn't it, Mr. Singh?

Walter Ruthard

210

Kanada Kurier Courier Press Ltd. 955 Alexander Ave. Winnipeg, Man. R3C 2X8 Herta Ruthard R.R. 1 Lisle, Ont., L0M 1M0

13. August 1995

Sehr geehrte Damen und Herren,

ich wäre Ihnen für den Abdruck folgender Zeilen dankbar:

Im Kanada Kurier vom 10.8.95 war der Brief des DKK-Präsidenten von B.C. abgedruckt, bezüglich der Singh-Affäre. Er war in unserem Sinne. Ein anderer DKK-Präsident, nämlich der Herr Koeppen aus Toronto, hatte sich ebenfalls in dieser Beziehung zu Wort gemeldet, und geht demnach - angeblich mit vielen Deutschkanadiern - mit Mr. Singh's Ansichten einig. Herr Koeppen war etwas voreilig, er hat nicht abgewartet, um zu sehen, woher der Wind weht.

Mein Mann hat einen indischen Kollegen; diesem erzählte er von Mr. Singh's Attacke auf uns, und der Kollege konnte es kaum glauben, "he must be twisted". Deutsche sind bei den Indern nämlich sehr angesehen; außerdem haben 3000 Sikhs in der deutschen Armee unter Hitler gekämpft.

Uns scheint es, der gute Singh wollte sich Liebkind bei seinen neuen Brötchengebern machen. Wie wir erfuhren, wurde der *Guelph Mercury* an den Hollinger Konzern verkauft; der Besitzer ist Conrad Black, seine Frau ist die uns wohlbekannte Barbara Amiel. Wer erinnert sich nicht an ihren schockierenden Hetzartikel, wonach wir alle die Abkömmlinge von Verbrechern und blutigen Mördern sind?

Wes Brot ich ess', des Lied ich sing'. Gut im Singen, Mr. Singh!

Mit freundlichen Grüßen,

Herta Ruthard

Diakonisches Werk der Evangelischen Kirche in Deutschland Evangelischer Regionalverband z.Hd. Frau Ursula Hotz Kindergarten-Fachberaterin Stafflenbergstr. 76 D-70184 Stuttgart Germany Walter Ruthard R.R. 1 Lisle, On., L0M 1M0 Canada

16. April 1996

Sehr geehrte Frau Hotz,

mit Entsetzen und grosser Betroffenheit mußte ich in *Nation & Europa* über Ihre Bemühungen für ein gedeihliches Zusammenleben der verschiedenen Kulturen in Deutschlands Kindergärten lesen. Glauben Sie mir, ich weiß wovon ich im folgenden rede, schließlich lebe ich in einem schon weit fortgeschrittenen multikulturellen Erdteil.

Nach intensivem und besorgten Nachdenken bin ich zu ein paar Ergebnissen gekommen, die ich Ihnen nach einer fast schlaflos verbrachten Nacht unterbreiten möchte, damit Sie auch gegen eventuell noch nicht gegen die evangelische Kirche vorgebrachten Vorwürfe und Anschuldigungen unverbesserlicher Rassisten in meinem Geburtsland vorbereitet sind.

Sie haben natürlich recht, wenn Sie gegen die "Zehn kleinen Negerlein" und anderes angeschimmeltes Rassengedankengut aufstehen. Meine ganze Sympathie ist mit Ihnen. Da ist noch vieles, was geändert werden muß, bevor die Welt wirklich ohne Vorurteile multikulturell ist.

Z.B. kann gegen den evangelischen Klerus durchaus die Anschuldigung erhoben werden, gegen die armen, unterdrückten Afro-Deutschen zu diskriminieren. Die Farbe machts, Frau Hotz! Vielleicht könnten Sie Ihren Kollegen und Seelsorgern eine andere, unverfängliche Kleiderfarbe empfehlen. Gelb scheidet natürlich von vornherein aus, denn man sollte 1,5 Milliarden Chinesen nicht diskriminieren. Braun waren schon die Nationalsozialisten, und etwa die Hälfte der Menschheit ist heute schon braun - das geht also auch nicht. Rot auch nicht. Das sind Willi Brand's Nachfolger und außerdem unsere Indianer hier. Die sind schnell dabei, das Kriegsbeil wieder auszugraben, wenn man sie verärgert. Wie wär's mit blau? Auch auf die Gefahr hin, daß sich einige Engländer, besonders Schotten, und andere Adelige, sowie Besoffene verunglimpft fühlen würden.

Viel besser noch wäre eine Berufsfarbe, die alles repräsentiert, was da auf dieser geplagten Erde so vorhanden ist: rot, schwarz, gelb, beige, braun, aber um Gottes Willen kein weiß! Das ist die Farbe, zu der Sie und ich gehören und wir wollen doch nicht unsere lieben Mitmenschen kränken, nicht wahr? Das große Problem bei diesem Vorschlag ist aber, daß sich z.B. ein Chinese, oder Vietnamese zurückgesetzt fühlen würde, wäre seine Hautfarbe in den Socken verewigt, aber noch schlimmer: ein roter Hosenlatz! Auch macht die Verteilung der verschiedenen Farben auf Vorder-u. Rückseite bestimmt einiges Kopfzerbrechen, denn Vorderseite = gut und Rückseite = schlecht, was an sich schon wieder Diskriminierung wäre. Ein schwarzer Hintern beleidigt todsicher unsere Afro-Deutschen, oder sollte es besser Deutsch-Afros heißen? Die Schwierigkeiten nehmen kein Ende. Ein Pfarrer guten evangelischen Willens sollte natürlich auch den verschiedenen Nationalbräuchen dieser interessanten Multikultur Rechnung tragen und z.B. bei gegebenem Anlaß einen Turban tragen, vielleicht mit einer Adlerfeder daran und Kraushaar darunter, aber so, daß man es auch sehen kann.

In tropischen Gegenden unseres lustigen Planeten gibt es auch heute noch richtige Kulturen, die den Lendenschurz propagieren; das ginge in Deutschland natürlich nur im Sommer, um Erkältungen auszuschließen. In Australien soll es sogar noch echte Wilde geben, die nackt herumlaufen... Alles Beispiele, die fortschrittliche und "politically correct Germans", um es einmal in Neudeutsch auszudrücken, eifrig nachahmen sollten. Es wäre auch eine gute Buße (die nicht einmal etwas kostet) und somit ein Zeichen bewältigter politischer Vergangenheit.

Noch eines, liebe Frau Hotz, bevor ich zum Schluß komme. Alles oben angeführte betrifft natürlich nur das Äußere der Repräsentanten Ihrer Kirche und somit auch Sie. Wie steht es aber mit den schwarzen Seelen dieser wildgewordenen Kleriker, unter denen es ja auch weibliche geben soll!? Wie kann man die sichtbar und kontrollierbar multikolorieren? Vielleicht haben Sie eine gute Idee und ich wäre gespannt auf Ihre Antwort.

Bitte, setzen Sie ein Zeichen!

Ihr sehr ergebener multikultureller Bruder im Geiste,

Walter Ruthard.

Mr. H.C. Chadderton Chief Executive Officer The War Amps 2827 Riverside Drive Ottawa, On. K1V 0C4 Herta Ruthard R.R. 1 Lisle, On., L0M 1M0

May 9, 1996

Dear Mr. Chadderton,

thank you for your letter of April 16, 1996. I appreciate your attempt at self-vindication, but it doesn't do you any good, because there is a contradiction. As you say, the members of German

army fought by the rules of war. And so did the Waffen-SS, because German soldiers were trained that way, otherwise they would have been executed by their superiors, without exception!

You are either poorly informed or have fallen victim to lies. "We won the war and we write the history", as one Canadian told us. There was no need for truth because it was only Germans, and they had started the war, right? And so people invented the most outrageous lies, the bigger the better. One could also take it one step further: "We won the war and we make the accusations", right? Truth or lies? Who cares! Have you never noticed that "war criminals" only ever existed on the loser's side?

As I wrote before, there was no such thing as a "fanatical SS Hitler Youth". The Waffen-SS was grouped in divisions; one was called

	SS Division Das Reich,
another	SS Division Brandenburg,
or	SS Division Leibstandarte Adolf Hitler,
and your	SS Division Hitler Jugend.

The latter had nothing to do with fanatical teenagers, it was just its name. And the high moral attitude was consistent throughout all the SS divisions. It is easy to blame "young people, fanatics!" They are the easiest target to foist something on, and the people, incited as they are, believe it. By the way, we have heard your slogan "Never again" far too often already, it is almost without exception the green light for German-bashing.

130 murdered Canadian soldiers? I would like to see documentation identifying them, and to hear the exact circumstances under which they lost their lives. To tell you the truth, I don't believe this story, it just isn't the German way of treating human beings. And if it should really have happened - who can tell if it wasn't done in retaliation? After all, there was lots to retaliate against, and one doesn't even have to look outside "our" (i.e. your) Canadian Armed Forces for examples:

December 1940: in Sabaudia, Italy, a Canadian fighter-bomber strafes a clearly marked German ambulance truck, setting it on fire.

June 10, 1944: at Occagnes, Normandy, Canadian fighter-bombers bomb and strafe a German medical convoy consisting of five vehicles. The clearly marked ambulance trucks are completely gutted, the wounded they were carrying burned up inside.

August 2, 1944: at Argentan (Normandy) four low-flying Canadian fighter-bombers attack four marked German ambulance trucks with small bombs and machine gun fire. Two German wounded were killed, three others suffered additional injuries.

July 19, 1944: Canadian troops shoot at and immobilize a German ambulance truck collecting Canadian and German wounded, even though the vehicle is marked with a red Cross.

240

Summer 1944: at Roquencourt a Canadian soldier shoots a badly injured Waffen-SS-man before the eyes of German fellow-prisoners.

This is just a sample from the book "Alliierte Kriegsverbrechen und Verbrechen gegen die Menschlichkeit", comp. by internees of Camp 91 Darmstadt; Duerer-Verlag: Buenos Aires, 1953, pp. 270-1.

Attacking Red Cross facilities is a particularly despicable practice. Show me one single documented case where any such thing was done by the German side! If there had been even one instance, the newspapers of the victorious Allies would have had material for front-page articles for 50 years and more. Instead one can read in the book "The Wehrmacht War Crimes Bureau, 1939 - 1945", Alfred M. de Zayas, on page 271: "... perhaps surprising to many Anglo-American readers who may never have reflected beyond the German stereotype, the investigations described in this book manifest again and again the subjective conviction of the German military judges in the field and of the staff members of the Bureau that the German armed forces were fighting honourably, in compliance with the Hague and Geneva Conventions, while those on the other side - that is, we, the Allies - were violating those Conventions."

What "mainstream" Germans (regardless of age) tell you about the SS, or anything else pertaining to WW2, is completely irrelevant, because of their conditioning by the Allies to "dump on" their own people and nation, and their crazy attempt to maximize a guilt complex which has been so successfully implanted in them that they have lost all competence to speak for themselves. This conditioning began in Allied death camps, such as Remagen (if this name means anything to you) where those "young German dogs" were ",Muzaked" through American mobile loudspeakers with accounts of horrible crimes that their government had allegedly committed, and which they had never before heard of.

Next on the agenda was the civilian population. As Sefton Delmer said as early as May 1945: "We will increase this atrocity propaganda until the very last spark of sympathy for Germany anywhere in the world has been extinguished, until the German people themselves are so muddled up that they no longer know what they are doing!"

And this is now a fait accompli.

Can you see now why we as descendants of this greatly maligned people react almost "allergically" to the never-ending accusations and never-ending charges of eternal guilt?

There is an abundance of literature in true history on the market and it should be of interest to you too, after all, you should know what you fought for in WW2.

Sincerely,

Herta Ruthard

cc to The War Amps - Ontario 1 Maybrook Dr., Scarborough, Ont. The Globe & Mail c/o Ms. Jan Morris 444 Front Street West Toronto, Ont. M5V 2S9 Walter Ruthard R.R. 1 Lisle, 0N, L0M 1M0

Sept. 10, 1996

Dear Ms. Morris,

with some delay I came across your article "The life of Prince Charles as a quiet German duke" in the Globe and Mail of Aug.10,1996.

What a brilliant idea! It amused me to see your attempts to exactly explain Prince Charles' relationship to Duke Georg (a German name, written without an e at the end) Ludwig as being a sevenfold grandson and I was surprised to learn how difficult it can be for English-speaking people to get such a simple concept across. Are you sure you did not miss a generation? Besides that, a duke, even in England, lives in a Schloss with a capital S, if you choose to use the German word. It seems to be an intellectual shortcoming of the English-speaking "intellectuals" to have insurmountable problems with simply copying foreign words. Habsburg is another example where you would use a p instead of the proper b. Surprisingly, you spelled Rathaus correctly, but I have never heard of a Staktkirche. This word simply does not exist. Perhaps you meant Stadtkirche, which would make sense.

Next time, dear Ms. Morris, meine liebchen, how about writing about something you understand without just repeating what has been spoon-fed to you? You see, there is a difference between believing and knowing. To achieve the latter, it takes one's own efforts. So, how about using your own brains for a change, if you are still capable of using it after 50 years of indoctrination?

You see, even in today's Germany there are many people of nobility left, but no elderly women do curtsy to them like they do in England and perhaps in Canada. Nobility does not mean much in Germany. This is one thing. Another is Bergen-Belsen. I know you will not believe me when I say that no prisoners were gassed there, but when the famous Mr. Wiesenthal, who certainly never, never ever lied in his life, says the same, whom do you believe? This poses a dilemma, doesn't it?

"... the terrible scenes of suffering, revealed and photographed when the British army liberated it (Bergen-Belsen)..." As you, without doubt, are of British stock, I say it clearly to you that the reason for this suffering was the bombing of everything in sight by the British who thereby disrupted supplies of food and medicine for those camps. That was the case and that was the reason for the suffering!

They, after the liberation, were not even capable of containing and eradicating the epidemic of typhus caused by their heroic bombings, although they had unlimited sources to do so. And while I am at it, they were brave fliers indeed when it came to hunting for children like myself because it was easy, safe, fun, and no adult was around.

"The Beast of Belsen", as you call her, made lampshades of tattooed human skin. This was proven to be a lie by the then High Commissioner L. Clay who hated the Germans but was honest enough to tell what he knew to be true. Is a human brain who can invent such stories indeed human? Not only did she make lampshades, she also used mummified human thumbs on the light switches in her house, or so the tale goes. How about that? Does this not titillate your senses?

Is it not a beautiful thing to be a writer of hate against an invisible minority and thus to be safe from any possible consequences? One can let go of all of one's fantasies, however morbid they may be. One does not have to strain one's powers of logic, and, honesty and decency be damned.

"Was ist das?" I am reasonably sure you don't know.

"Guten tag, meine liebchen",

Walter Ruthard

The Globe & Mail 444 Front Street West Toronto, ON M5V 2S9 Herta Ruthard R. R. 1 Lisle, ON, L0M 1M0

September 30, 1996

Gentlemen,

outraged German-Canadian friends sent me your article "The life of Prince Charles as a quiet German Duke" penned by that curlyhead, Jan Morris (is s/he male or female? I don't know because I seldom read your paper and the name doesn't mean anything to me; and neither the name nor the picture is very revealing).

I, too, am outraged. In fact I am not only outraged, I am furious. 50 years after World War Two, this Jan is still stirring that reeking pot of lies that stink to high heaven. My God, what a shot

of venom his/her article is!! By now, everyone or at last almost everyone knows that the Nazis never made lamp shades or soap from Jews, but while travel writer Jan is physically in the present, mentally s/he is still an awful lot behind the times! Or might it be plain hatred that motivates him/her to regurgitate such absurdities? Yes, absurdities - because what the Jewess Deborah Lipstadt and the "writer of the 4establishment" Christopher Hitchens say is indeed a fact: no lampshades and no soap from Jews! Ok?

Dear Globe & Mail, this wo/man Jan certainly does not do your paper proud. I would ask you to please pass on to him/her the photocopies I am enclosing for his/her education. We German-Canadians would at least expect an apology for his/her insults, which constitute incitement to race hatred against an "invisible" minority - that's us, Jan!

Canada's repressive hate laws ought to apply to Mr/s Morris, too, and if we German-Canadians were less good-natured Jan would be facing a lawsuit about right now, for slander and spreading false news (and whatever all is being imputed to Ernst Zundel).

Disgustedly,

Herta Ruthard

OPEN LETTER

Herta Ruthard R.R. 1 Lisle, On., LOM 1M0

Oct. 26, 1996

Mr. Walsh,

enclosed you will find some photographic mementos of your "good war" for the destruction of Germany which you look back on with such satisfaction.

In your letter published in the <u>Toronto Sun</u> on Oct. 5, 1996, you write, "While the SS units were killing prisoners in Normandy, a murder machine of unimaginable proportions was shipping thousands of people every day from across Europe to death in the gas chambers."

Are you so sure that what you allege here from hear-say is true? And what about the <u>Allied</u> "murder machine of unimaginable proportions"? That, of course, was THE most heroic deed of the century.

The death toll of Dresden is only that of one single German city. A similar fate was inflicted on countless other German cities. This is the greatest cultural outrage and the grossest war crime in the history of mankind.

"Nazi empire was corrupt from top to bottom" - that was indeed amusing, because it is such a global phenomenon.

1) From your statements about the "gas chambers" and the

2) death penalty for listening to enemy broadcasts, it might follow that

3) the enemy broadcasts may have talked about the gassing of Jewish prisoners and that that is why the Germans were forbidden, on pain of death, to listen to enemy broadcasts. That's YOUR chain of argument, and I must say, it is cleverly arranged.

Re.1) I shall refrain from comment.

Re.2) This is an outright lie, which you probably picked up from your Allied atrocity propaganda primer. Germans did listen to enemy broadcasts; anyone who was caught received a slap on the wrist (case in point: an acquaintance of ours.) If this offense had carried the death penalty, Germany would soon have been depopulated. Gee, wouldn't that have been nice?!

Re.3) The above renders any comment superfluous.

You, Mr. Chadderton, Mr. Thompson and probably all people of your mind set and your age call German teenagers who had to fight for their lives "fanatics". Then what is the proper word for those who today, after 50 years, still feel and voice the same old fanatical hatred of "Nazis" (read: Germans), and who even do so in public? Young people, it is generally said, are hot-headed and impetuous; old people, on the other hand, are circumspect and above petty quarrels. Can one honestly say that about the above gentlemen who are unable to stomach the truth of "The Valor and the Horror"?

You write "The war which destroyed Nazi Germany was the only just war of this century". This comment shows you to be an insensitive and brutal hatemonger who is not fit to do public relations work, for brutality is contagious. Is this what you would instill in the young Canadian generation? Condemnation above justice? Condemnation of the German people, forever? Your condescending comment "but not all Germans were bad" means nothing at all, it is merely a fig-leaf with which to cover up the deep feelings of hatred which you and your like carried into war with you against the propaganda image you had been given of a greatly outnumbered enemy, in order to strengthen the ranks of the Allied "murder machine", to use your own term.

Congratulations, Mr. Walsh!

PS.: re. enemy broadcasts - another case in point: my father-In-law also listened to the BBC during his leaves of absence.

246

EVEN IN Peter Worthington's article, "Hitler's killers ... or boy scouts?" (Saturday Sun, Sept. 21), rupt from top to bottom. While the SS units were killing prisoners in the attempt to rewrite history creeps in. The impression given is that there were a few divisions of SS fanatics and that the rest of the German war effort was conducted by decent citizens according to the rules of the Geneva convention. Reality is that the Nazi empire was cor-Normandy, a murder machine of unimaginable proportions was day from across Europe to death in shipping thousands of people every the gas chambers. As this was going death for the "crime" of listening to foreign radio broadcasts. The war which destroyed Nazi Germany was never mind what Erdhard Matthaes on, the judges in the courts of Germany were sentencing citizens to the only just war of this century, of the German-Canadian Alliance Toronse Jun Cor. 5. 1996 Nazi military — including 12th SS Panzer Hitlerju-Matthaes seems unable to distinguish between a natics and the deliberate murder of prisoners in a rear area. The first instance is common to all solquently engaged in and Nazi "boy scouts" did it to captured Canadians just after D-Day. The hardgend in the brutal fighting of the Normandy camreluctance to accept the surrender of die-hard fabitten Canadian vets can hardly be blamed for rarely giving Hitlerjugend a chance to surrender in combat afterwards. John Thompson The second instance is a crime that the SS frediers and is understandable in the heat of combat. Director The MacKenzie Institute There are still too many people around who re-Toronto Sun, Sept. 28th. 1996 paign.

RE "HITLER'S killers ... or 'boy scouts,'" (Satur-

UETTER OF THE DAY

day Sun, Sept. 21): I am appalled that Erdhard

describe the Hitlerjugend as "boy scouts." His use Matthaes of the German-Canadian alliance would of the mendacious video The Valor and the Horror

as a reference is also disturbing, but perhaps like Boy scout service was never mandatory nor were scouts given weapons training and sent to military camps at 14. In wartime, Boy scouts did not sometimes murder shot-down airmen or crew andaircraft guns. Hitler Youth did all these things. The SS long made use of Hitlerjugend in all military fit-

calls to like.

and the McKenna Brothers have to

THEBDER ad not reconcentry

member for the revisionists to succeed. But in 20

....

years? Or 40?)

Canadian soldiers were regarded as shock

jugend formed the 12th SS Panzer Division.

troops by the Germans. Thus Canadians often encountered (and mauled), the elite divisions of the

ness camps to replenish its ranks, especially for the tough and lavishly equipped SS Panzer Divi-sions. In June 1943, a draft of 17-year-old Hitler-

Public Relations Officer

R.T. Walsh

Zone A-6, Royal Canadian Legion

Yes, it was a good war. But not all

Germans were bad)

Herta Ruthard R.R. 1 Lisle, On., L0M 1M0

Oct. 27, 1996

Dear Mr. Thompson,

I came across your "Letter of the Day" in the Toronto Sun of September 28, 1996 and I feel that I have to respond to it. First, I congratulate you on getting your letter published - although it really didn't take much luck, because hate letters directed against Germany and the German-Canadian sector of our population always do get a forum. Reading letters of this kind gives one goose bumps, because they show that the profound hatred with which your people went to war against a nation which had never done any harm to Canadians or even Americans still has not lost any of its virulence half a century later. While letters from anti-Germans almost always find an open ear with the media, letters of defense by the accused rarely find their way into a paper. Do you have any idea why this is so?

R.T.Walsh: "...the only just war of the century..." That's too much on an empty stomach - to handle that, one needs a double! And friends, Canadian ones, who blush with embarrassment at such unmitigated gall.

Second, I must tell you that Mr. Matthaes of the TCA is absolutely correct in stating that the Hitlerjugend had much in common with the Boy Scouts. I myself was also drafted into the "Jungmaedel", the stage below the "Bund deutscher Maedel". Once a week we had our gettogethers, we sang, played, crafted, had track and field games, and the boys too had their gatherings. To help the WHW, the Winter Relief Works, we stood at street corners and asked for donations from passers-by. In return for the money they gave, they received pretty little pins. (Reminds me very much of a donation I gave to some Girl Guides!) The proceeds we collected went to needy people, the old and the sick. We didn't sell chocolates, though; chocolate was a luxury that was not for Germans during the war. The youth under Hitler was taught to love their fatherland, and they were instilled with all the qualities that make a great human being. They were also taught to keep body, mind and soul healthy. Nothing wrong with that, is there? What are the Boy Scouts taught? - We Jungmaedel were counseled to help wherever help was needed. I fail to see anything criminal in that, and besides, I cannot recall a single murder in our community during my entire 14 years to that time. We grew up in a cleaner, more decent atmosphere that the youth of today, who are truly to be pitied.

You portray the entire Hitlerjugend as nothing but a bunch of murderers, while your Boy Scouts were little angels. Hardly surprising! While your Boy Scouts enjoyed life in a total security and had no contact with the enemy at all, our boys, together with their grandfathers (Volkssturm), had to witness the utter destruction of their country and had to defend it to their last breath against the enemy, whose overriding purpose was to see Germany die; that was the Allied goal, and our boys knew it. If you don't know what I am talking about, I suggest you take a look at the book by Theodore N. Kaufman, "Germany must perish".

It is your own fault that your side too suffered losses in this terrible struggle. What should our boys have done? Lined up neatly and waited for you to blow their heads off? Your own fault! Did you even know what sorts of people your nation allied itself with? ("Perhaps like calls to like.") You probably didn't know, and everything points to it that you still don't know even today, 50 years later.

Our boys had the same feelings as your Scouts. They were no monsters such as you portray them (I guess you got your full share of the Allied atrocity propaganda, eh?), they were children like others, who whimpered for their mothers when it was their time to die.

All SS divisions had a name, and one such name was "SS Hitlerjugend", which did not mean that the division consisted of "fanatical teens", as Mr. Chadderton likes to put it. It was just a name. Have you ever heard of, for example, the "SS Division Leibstandarte Adolf Hitler"? It didn't consist of Adolf Hitler, either. It too was just a name. This ought to be easy enough to grasp!

Do you have any proof of "Hitlerjugend" atrocities? Documented, with place, date etc., like the crimes you will read about in a moment?

December 1940: in Sabaudia, Italy, a Canadian fighter-bomber strafes a clearly marked German ambulance truck, setting it on fire.

June 10, 1944: at Occagnes, Normandy, Canadian fighter-bombers bomb and strafe a German medical convoy consisting of five vehicles. The clearly marked ambulance trucks are completely gutted, the wounded they were carrying burned up inside.

August 2, 1944: at Argentan (Normandy) four low-flying Canadian fighter-bombers attack four marked German ambulance trucks with small bombs and machine gun fire. Two German wounded were killed, three others suffered additional injuries.

July 19, 1944: Canadian troops shoot at and immobilize a German ambulance truck collecting Canadian and German wounded, even though the vehicle is marked with a Red Cross.

Summer 1944: At Roguencourt a Canadian soldier shoots a badly injured Waffen-SSman before the eyes of German fellow-prisoners.

This is just a sample from the book "Alliierte Kriegsverbrechen und Verbrechen gegen die Menschlichkeit", comp. by internees of Camp 91 Darmstadt; Duerer-Verlag: Buenos Aires, 1953, pp.270-i.

Attacking Red Cross facilities is a particularly despicable practice. Show me one single documented case where any such thing was done by the German side! If there had been even one instance, the newspapers of the victorious Allies would have had material for frontpage articles for 50 years and more. Instead one can read in the book "The Wehrmacht War Crimes Bureau, 1939 - 1945", Alfred M.de Zayas, on page 271: "...perhaps surprising to many Anglo-

American readers who may never have reflected beyond the German stereotype, the investigations described in this book manifest again and again the subjective conviction of the German military judges in the field and of the staff members of the Bureau that the German armed forces were fighting honourably, in compliance with the Hague and Geneva Conventions, while those on the other side - that is, we, the Allies - were violating those Conventions."

At age 14 I lost my homeland, the Sudetenland. Iwent through all hell because Mr. Churchill - your ally - declared war on Germany. Now don't tell me that it was we who started the war. That is the foundation of lies, on which all the other lies rest. YOUR own fault! Try to disprove what is on these pages I send you.

The "mendacious" video, as you call it - "The Valor and the Horror" - was the beginning of a realization and an admission of Allied guilt and it is little wonder that it was unpalatable to you and others.

But the real deeds of horror which your brothers-in-arms committed against Germany will never ever come to your eyes in the form of films, books etc. made by those who were responsible, because these deeds were so low that it is not even human any more. I will give you a taste of one: Dresden. That was of course a heroic deed, wasn't it. Well, let me tell you, if Germans had done the like, it would have been touted far and wide as the crime of the century!

It was said that he who is without guilt should throw the first stone. But for as long as we have called this country our home, we have seen the stone-throwers work overtime, and they are by no means without guilt.

It seems to me that you too have difficulty in distinguishing between certain things maybe between diehard lies and the truth. And the truth is that the Allied goal was and remains: Germany must perish. You won't have to wait 20 years or longer, just a few, maybe 'til the turn of the century: then the brainwashed German self-exterminators will have accomplished what was forecast for them in 1945, and Germany will die out in a black, brown and yellow mishmash. As Jeffrey M. Peck said in Washington in 1993:

"With time, I would hope that the image of the German body politic might be changed from exclusively white and Christian, to brown, yellow and black, Muslim and Jewish."

At that point all German-bashing will cease, you won't have to be afraid of Revisionists any longer, and the vultures will have to do without their favourite corpse. Finally, peace on earth! What a prospect!

Of course, the 250+ wars raging all over the globe since 1945 were also somehow the work of those Nazi devils, weren't they? Food for thought, Mr. Thompson.

If you have anything to say about this letter and the enclosures, kindly say it to <u>me</u> and don't, as I expect you would prefer, hide behind the newspaper with your hateful remarks where decent German-Canadians won't get a chance to tell their side.

I am a member of the Trans-Canada Alliance of German-Canadians. You insulted our President, Erhard Matthaes, and with him all the members of this organization. For decades we have been silent, allowed all those lies and slander about us and our homeland to be propagated with impunity, but there is a point where enough is enough. And that's NOW, Mr. Thompson!

Sincerely,

Herta Ruthard

To the Honourable Justice Minister Alan Rock Justice Building 239 Wellington Street Ottawa, On K1A 0H8

Herta Ruthard R.R. 1 Lisle, On., LOM 1M0

Oct. 26, 1996

Dear Justice Minister,

I am a German-Canadian citizen. Over the years my family and I have contributed a considerable amount of wealth and labour to this country which we call home. The same goes for our fellow-countrymen here in Canada.

We believe that our ethnic group does not give much cause for concern, where the infraction of laws is concerned, and we feel that our group deserves the benefit of all the protective laws that are in force for other Canadians. In particular, we feel that our group should not be excluded from protection under a very special law known as the anti-hate law.

We are deeply disturbed and shocked by a kind of "hate literature" which has made its way into Toronto newspapers of late.

There is, for example, the letter of Public Relations Officer R. T. Walsh, Toronto Sun, Oct. 5, 1996. In this letter Mr. Walsh states:

"The war which destroyed Nazi Germany was the only just war of this century"

Clearly Mr. Walsh lacks all perspective and awareness of historical facts. Please take a look at the pictures and figures regarding the bombing of Dresden - and this is only ONE German city, the same or similar happened to a great many German cities. The many millions of innocent German civilians who lost their lives in World War Two are but one of the factors which render that war anything but just. I doubt that there are many older German-Canadians who did not themselves suffer loss and damage.

I am certain that I speak for all the members of the German-Canadian community when I say that Mr. Walsh's vituperation adds insult to injury, and we as ethnic group feel hurt, humiliated and insulted by this public disparagement of the memory of our deceased.

A "Public Relations Officer" should not be allowed to abuse his position to make such remarks in public. Please let me know if Mr. Walsh can get away with such hate mongering, and what we German-Canadians can do about it.

Thank you for your time and attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

enc.: Dresden, Hate Crime copy of Mr. Walsh's letter, Toronto Sun, Oct. 5, 1996 To the Honourable Michael D. Harris Premier of Ontario Legislative Building Room 281 Queens Park Toronto, On.

Herta Ruthard R.R. 1 Lisle, On., LOM 1M0

Oct. 26, 1996

Dear Mr. Harris,

on Oct. 5, 1996 Public Relations Officer R. T. Walsh publicly announced in the *Toronto Sun* that "The war which destroyed Nazi Germany was the only just war of this century..."

I am a German-Canadian citizen and I protest against the German-Canadian sector of this country's population being viciously slandered and humiliated with impunity in this way.

Clearly Mr. Walsh lacks all perspective and awareness of historical facts. Please take a look at the pictures and figures regarding the bombing of Dresden - and this is only ONE German city, the same or similar happened to a great many German cities. The many millions of innocent German civilians who lost their lives in World War Two are but one of the factors which render that war anything but just. I doubt that there are many older German-Canadians who did not themselves suffer loss and damage.

I am certain that I speak for all the members of the German-Canadian community when I say that Mr. Walsh's vituperation adds insult to injury, and we as ethnic group feel hurt, humiliated and insulted by this public disparagement of the memory of our deceased.

You must admit that Mr. Walsh's comment was extraordinarily inappropriate. I feel that a Public Relations Officer should not abuse his position to make such statements in public. As far as I am aware, Canada has a so-called anti-hate law, and it is no doubt clear that Mr. Walsh's outburst constitutes hatemongering.

Please let me know where we stand in this matter. Are German-Canadians protected under Canada's hate law, or not?

Thank you for your time and attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Herta Ruthard

enc. Dresden: Hate Crime of the Century copy of Mr. Walsh's letter, *Toronto Sun*, Oct. 5, 1996 The Premier Le Premier ministre of Ontario de l'Ontario

Legislative BuildingHôtel du gouvernementQueen's ParkQueen's ParkToronto OntarioToronto (Ontario)M7A 1A1M7A 1A1

December 20, 1996

Ms. Herta Ruthard R.R. 1 Lisle, Ontario L0M 1M0

Dear Ms. Ruthard:

Thank you for your letters and enclosures regarding hate crimes. I appreciate your bringing this situation to my attention.

I have noted your concerns and I understand the importance of this matter to you. However, this matter falls under the jurisdiction of the federal government and the Honourable Allan Rock, Minister of Justice and Attorney General of Canada. Therefore, you may wish to address your concerns to the federal Department of Justice, Justice Building, 239 Wellington Street, Ottawa, Ontario, K1A 0H8.

Thank you for writing. Let me take this opportunity to wish you a safe and happy holiday season and a prosperous New Year.

Sincerely,

Mil Haire

Michael D. Harris, MPP

OPEN LETTER

Herta Ruthard R.R. 1 Lisle, Ont., L0M 1M0

Jan. 25, 1997

Dear Mr. Prime Minister,

I am writing to you today to inquire about your position on equal human rights for all Canadians.

In the Toronto Sun of Oct. 5, 1996, Public Relations Officer R.T.Walsh publicly anounced that "The war which destroyed Nazi Germany was the only just war of this century..."

I am a German-Canadian citizen and I protest against the German-Canadian sector of this country's population being viciously slandered and humiliated with impunity in this way. I brought this to the attention of Justice Minister Allan Rock with my letter of Oct. 26,1996, of which I enclose a copy. To this day I have only received a response from Mr. Harris, to whom I also wrote; I was referred to Mr. Rock. That's the easy way out, isn't it? But of course Mr. Rock is too busy helping to chase "war criminals" from the "other side" in "the only just war of this century".

Please take a look at this "just war". The pictures I enclose for your information tell a sad story which has little to do with justice. These were innocent people killed by the millions! Look at the humiliation that preceded the murder of my people by the Czechs, after the war!

As far as the eye could see, death and destruction for the Germans! Who is chasing the people that committed war crimes against the Germans? Those war criminals are heroes and earned medals and honours. But shame and shackles are visited on the Germans - for one and the same thing. But what am I saying - the Germans never ever sank as low as the Allied "murder machine of unimaginable proportions" (to use Mr. Walsh's term).

"Rock set to probe 'missed' Nazi war criminals",

"Rock ready to investigate 'overlooked' Nazis";

that, of course, has priority: bringing those old.men "to justice". Justice! What does "justice" mean? What does "human rights" mean? Isn't it a fact that the loser doesn't get justice and doesn't have any human rights? Excuse me, but I have had enough. I am so fed up with this whole "bring-to-justice" farce that I cannot put it into words. What is practised here is no justice, it is just plain vengeance and you and your government assist and approve it.

But let's focus on Canadian war crimes. (see "Doctor says Canadians also committed war crimes"). War crimes ordered in part by the very country whose Justice Minister helps to bring "war criminals" to "justice". It doesn't matter which nationality is in the limelight at the moment. The game of the "Nazi hunters" is always in season. The recent case of that 90-year-old man who died of heart failure on the eve of his deportation is an example that stinks to high heaven!

I would call this "indirect murder", Mr. Prime Minister, and it's nothing Canada can be

proud of!

I ask you, Mr. Prime Minister, is the German-Canadian sector protected under the law which is known as the "anti-hate-law"? In this case, an apology by Mr. Walsh would be in order, I think. Otherwise it is one more proof that German-Canadians are second-class citizens who do not have the same rights as all others have; and that every German-hater can shoot his mouth off with impunity about us. Fighting the longest war in human history: exactly 57 years to date; and, of course, the pre-war-years which, strictly speaking, also count. It is about time to stop it.

We German-Canadians want so badly to be of equal value in the Canadian mosaic, but the powers which hate us so very much will always be able to prevent this. This is a sad statement, and the policies of the various governments have done nothing to help.

In the following are the signatures of a handful of our acquaintances (I do not intend to engage in an extended action) who are also interested in learning which rights German-Canadians have, ie. do not have in this country they call home.

Awaiting your kind response, I remain

sincerely, Honta KnAhome Man encl.: Dresden, hate crime Hamburg, Mannheim, Czech mass murder of Sudeten Cermans letter by Mr. Walsh, Tor.Sun, a child looks at you, "Doctor says ... letter to Justice Minister Rock. lans margan gliondell alung

Minister of Justice and Attorney General of Canada



Ministre de la Justice et Procureure générale du Canada

The Honourable/L'honorable A. Anne McLellan

Ottawa, Canada K1A 0H8

NOV 2 0 1997

Ms. Herta Ruthard R.R. 1 Lisle, Ontario LOM 1M0

Dear Ms. Ruthard:

Thank you for your correspondence addressed to my predecessor, the Honourable Allan Rock, expressing your concerns about newspaper articles which you consider to constitute "hate literature" directed at your ethnic group. Unfortunately, Minister Rock was unable to reply to you before the election, and I apologize for the delay in responding. As I hope you will understand, the election and the adjustments flowing from it have required some transition time.

It is because of the discrimination and prejudice experienced by individuals and groups in Canada and elsewhere that governments across Canada have enacted laws to fight hate propaganda. No one should have to suffer from this scourge, which is degrading to the individuals and groups concerned and destructive of the social fabric of our society. In Canada, we are proud of our multicultural heritage, and we want to ensure that all Canadians can participate in the social and economic life of our society.

For example, at the federal level, the *Criminal Code* and the *Canadian Human Rights Act* contain provisions prohibiting, or permitting the regulation of, hate propaganda. The *Criminal Code* prohibits:

- a) advocating or promoting genocide against an "identifiable group" (section 318), that is, any section of the public distinguished by colour, race, religion or ethnic origin;
- b) inciting hatred against an identifiable group by communicating in a public place statements which are likely to lead to a breach of the peace (subsection 319(1));
- c) communicating statements to wilfully promote hatred against an identifiable group (subsection 319(2)).

Canadä

A person charged with advocating genocide is liable to five years of imprisonment. If charged with either of the last two above-mentioned offences, he or she is liable to two years of imprisonment if prosecuted by way of indictment, or to six months or a \$2,000 fine or both if the prosecution opts for summary proceedings. In addition, the Code provides for the seizure and forfeiture of hate propaganda kept on premises for distribution or sale (subsections 320 (1) and (4)).

The enforcement of the *Criminal Code*, including the provisions relating to hate propaganda, falls within the purview of the Attorney General of the province in which the offence is committed. If you believe that offences have been committed in Ontario, you may wish to communicate with either your local police or the Honourable Charles Harnick, Attorney General of Ontario, 720 Bay Street, 11th Floor, Toronto, Ontario, M5G 2K1. Please note that, except for the offence of public incitement to hatred, the consent of a provincial attorney general is required to obtain a seizure warrant or to initiate a prosecution under the Code's hate propaganda provisions. I would also point out that the determination of what constitutes hate propaganda can only be made by a court of law after it has examined all the relevant evidence presented at a trial.

The Canadian Human Rights Act contains two provisions which deal with hate propaganda. Section 12 of the Act makes it a discriminatory practice to publicly publish or display a notice, sign, symbol, emblem or other representation that expresses or incites discrimination that, if it were engaged in, would be a discriminatory practice under the Act. Section 13 makes it a discriminatory practice to repeatedly communicate by telephone any matter that is likely to expose a person to hatred or contempt because he or she is identifiable on the basis of a prohibited group of discrimination such as race or religion.

The Canadian Human Rights Commission was set up to administer the *Canadian Human Rights Act* at arm's length from the federal government because many of the complaints brought to its attention are filed against the federal government itself, and so the Commission must have the independence to investigate such complaints without any possibility of undue influence by the federal government. Thus, as Minister of Justice and Attorney General of Canada, I cannot intervene in the manner in which the Commission deals with a complaint.

If you have not already done so, you may wish to bring your concerns to the attention of Ms. Michelle Falardeau-Ramsay, Chief Commissioner, Canadian Human Rights Commission, Suite 1300, 320 Queen Street, Place de Ville, Tower A, Ottawa, Ontario, K1A 1E1.

The Commission investigates complaints of discrimination and essentially determines whether a complaint should be rejected or referred to a human rights tribunal for adjudication. The Commission itself does not adjudicate a complaint. Rather, members of tribunals hear and decide cases. The tribunals function independently of both the Commission and of the Government, although members of the Commission and of the Human Rights Tribunal Panel are appointed by the Government. They are selected based on a range of criteria, including expertise and experience, regional representation, and other considerations relating to equitable representation on these bodies.

Tribunal decisions are subject to review by the courts. The first stage is the Federal Court, Trial Division, and then the Federal Court of Appeal. Ultimately, tribunal decisions may be reviewed by the Supreme Court of Canada. Thus, there is a process for judicial review of decisions taken under the *Canadian Human Rights Act*.

Under proposed amendments to the *Canadian Human Rights Act* which were introduced by the Government on October 9, 1997, the Tribunal's remedial powers have been increased. The Tribunal may order a person who propagates hate messages to pay compensation not exceeding \$20,000 to an individual specifically identified as a victim of the hate messages. The person may also be subjected to an additional maximum penalty of \$10,000. A person who does not comply with the orders could be found in contempt of court and sentenced to a term of imprisonment or subject to further financial penalty.

In closing, I should mention that, as Minister of Justice Attorney General of Canada, it is my responsibility to give legal advice to the federal government, its departments and agencies, and to represent their interests before the courts. I am therefore not at liberty to provide legal advice to members of the public. Thus, should you wish to pursue your concerns in the courts, a lawyer in private practice may be in a better position to assist you. If that is not financially possible, you may wish to consult with the legal aid office closest to you to establish if you qualify for assistance.

I trust that the above information will be of some assistance and, again, I regret that I was unable to reply earlier.

Yours sincerely,

Anne M'hell

A. Anne McLellan

Mr. Eric Margolis c/o Toronto Sun 331 King Street East Toronto, Ont. M5A 3X2 Herta Ruthard R.R. 1 Lisle, Ont., L0M 1M0

Feb. 17, 1997

Dear Mr. Margolis,

I read your article "Make way for the millennium" in the Toronto Sun of Feb. 6, 1997.

Being an expellee from the Sudetenland, I appreciated it very much and would like to thank you for touching on a "hot topic". But I am pretty sure that you still don't know that what you wrote about was only the tip of the iceberg. It is therefore necessary that you inform yourself through the documentation I send you in the enclosure, even if you can not - or dare not - use it in public.

The point is this: the Czechs are eager to sweep the whole thing under the carpet (and so are the Poles) and the German government (which is not a government <u>for</u> the Germans) helps them as best it can. But this is not in the interest of the victims.

Look at the Jews: ever since the end of the War they have vigorously propagated the memory of their Holocaust - they make films, they write books, students have to learn about it almost from kindergarten on, but our suffering has always remained the world's best kept secret. And so that it stays that way, it is necessary to procure a quick Declaration of Reconciliation, to keep the cat from getting out of the bag. Such a Declaration would end the debate before it even started, making every Sudeten-German who wanted to bring his and his people's fate up for discussion a hatemonger etc. This is the great danger: no "NEVER AGAIN"

for the Sudeten-Germans and no remembrance for their brutally murdered ancestors.

I would like to point out, that the Czechs never had such a good life as they had during the Hitler years. (My father had a Czech boss, the biggest manufacturer in the region for making saddles, harness, transmission belts, purses etc., and the workers had no rosy times under his command, he squeezed them dry like a lemon. Socially, there was no difference between the Czechs and the Sudeten-Germans; there was no violence because Germans always were and are extremely good natured, often to the point of stupidity, that's why they could sink so low as they are now, facing their extermination in their own country - (BRD); helpless against foreigners' and asylum swindlers' brainwashed behaviour: the latter murdering Germans without being prosecuted, stealing, swindling, spray-painting graffiti such as "Deutsche raus aus Deutschland", and so on, see sample. Brought about by the German guilt complex of which foreign criminals profit and grossly abuse the host country. Germans are fair game for all because that's how their government wants it. Think about this aspect too, Mr. Margolis.

Sincerely yours,

Herta Ruthard

Dieser Artikel erschien am 2. April 1997 in der "Deutsche Presse", Toronto

Bald geht's ins nächste Jahrtausend

(RGM) - Es scheint, als ob der Zweite Weltkrieg nie zu Ende geht, denn wir Deutschen und auch der Rest der Welt müssen täglich auf's Neue an das den Opfern der Nazis angetane Unrecht erinnert werden. Meistens geht dies auf Kosten der bösen Deutschen, die alle über einen Kamm geschoren werden und seit jeher die Alleinschuld tragen. Nachdem jedoch die noch verbleibenden Nazi-Krlegsverbrecher langsam aus Altersgründen auf natürliche Weise ausscheiden, werden die seit über fünfzig Jahren emsigen Fahnder in absehbarer Zeit arbeitslos werden, und damit wird vielleicht auch dieses Thema eines natürlichen Todes sterben. Neuerdings aber geht es den europäischen Banken wegen der versteckten Vermögen der Nazi-Opfer an den Kragen, und somit wird dieses Thema sicher doch noch einige Jahre aktuell bleiben.

Abgesehen davon, dass Deutschland den Krieg begonnen hat, wurde ohne Zweifel auf beiden Seiten Unrecht begangen, und nach zwei Generationen sollte man Versöhnung anstreben. Vielleicht hätte eine Versöhnung der Völker nach dem ersten Weltkrieg den Zweiten Weltkrieg total überflüssig gemacht, und ohne Hass wäre vielleicht niemand auf den Mann mit dem komischen Bart unter der Nase hereingefallen.

Die Bundesregierungen des Nachkriegsdeutschland haben aufgrund der aufgezwungenen Kollektivschuld widerspruchslos alle Wiedergutmachungsansprüche beglichen. Trotz allem hat die Bundesrepublik mit ihren Kanzlern Adenauer, Brandt, Schmidt und Kohl Staatsmänner von Weltformat hervorgebracht, die enormen Einfluss auf die Weltpolitik hatten und durch Diplomatie und Versöhnung das heutige Deutschland zu einem ehrenwerten Mitglied der Völkerfamilie machten. Die Bemühungen der deutschen Aussenpolitik, insbesondere der Ostpolitik, haben enorme Erfolge erzielt, die auch von den Vertriebenen anerkannt werden müssen, denn das geschehene Unrecht als Folge des verlorenen Krieges kann keine deutsche Nachkriegs-Regierung alleine bereinigen. Das Schicksal der deutschen Vertriebenen ist genauso Tatsache wie das Schicksal der vielen Urbevölkerungen (Indianer, Schwarze, Azteken, Aborigines etc.), die durch die weissen Siedler ihr Land für immer verloren.

Aufgrund der deutschen Bemühungen hat Kroatien kürzlich ein Gesetz verabschiedet, dass zumindest einen Versuch zur Rückgabe deutschen Eigentums anstrebt. Obwahl die Antragsstellung für einen Lastenausgleich recht kompliziert erscheint, wird hier erstmals das an den vertriebenen Deutschen begangene Unrecht
OPEN LETTER

Professor Texe Marrs c.o. Living Truth Publishers 1708 Patterson Road Austin Texas 78733 U.S.A. Walter Ruthard R.R. 1 Lisle, ON, LOM 1MO Canada

May 8, 1997

Re: "Project L.U.C.I.D."

Dear Professor Marrs,

after reading your book "Project L.U.C.I.D.", I can't help but write to you my thoughts about what I have learned from it.

First, I want you to know that I am a German who got his (re)education after World War 2 ended. Despite of this, I set out on my own to find out whether the claims pertaining us Germans are true or not. You being a professor of political science, i.e. an educated man, will undoubtedly be in a position to understand my quest for the truth.

You are certainly well-informed about the dangers that lie ahead for all of us, and not only Americans. And I gained more insights into this matter by reading your book.

Yet there are a few things you missed entirely. For instance, on page 37 you mention "Albert Einstein who was not to be blamed for the employment of nuclear weapons". This is certainly not the case: Einstein was one of the physicists who in at least two letters strongly urged President Roosevelt to build the "heinously deadly atom bomb" as you put lt. Thus it was he who was also responsible for the completely unnecessary eradication of Hiroshima and Nagasaki including the inhabitants. Of course, those atom bombs were originally destined for the Germans.

Also, there seems to be a plan to reduce the world population significantly to a more manageable level under the New World Order. I have read that Americans are targeted to become a country of some 70 million. How this can be achieved becomes pretty clear after reading your book. Aside from killing undesirables like the militias and the politically incorrect, it could be the turn of those who will be on record for having health problems, for instance. In the Philippines it is being done today with the help of vaccinations.

The Smart Card right now is introduced on a trial basis in the city of Guelph in Ontario, Canada, according to a recent broadcast of the CBC. The company which is behind this is Mondex of Toronto, Ontario, Canada.

Smart Cards can not only be used to control the influx of Mexicans into the United States, as you say. It will be used to place Americans at a disadvantage in the workplace. The reason why I believe this is the fact that practically in all of the Western societies, in Europe and North America, a tremendous immigration of people from the Third World is taking place. Your government and all the governments of Europe are doing little to regulate this migration. So it seems that this is no accident but a cleverly planned action. The reason for this is, of course, the forced mixing-up of peoples of different races, and the result will be that the white race will be eliminated from the face of the earth. This is nothing other than genocide on a huge scale.

I am not alone when I say this, as the following quotation from Jeffrey M. Peck shows:

"With time, I would hope that the image of the German body politic might be changed from exclusively white and Christian, to brown, yellow and black, Muslim and Jewish. In short, the conception of German identity must be transformed..."

Mr. Peck said this during a lecture he gave in 1993 in Washington D.C. in the German Historical Institute. He is an expert on Germany.

I am disappointed, however, in your lack of knowledge of recent history. Should this not also be part of your field of expertise as a professor of political science? After all, history is made by politics.

It is almost a joke to me to read in your book about gun control. I think that in the U.S.A. gun control will eventually be implemented whether Americans like it or not, given the perseverance your "elite" shows concerning the introduction of the Smart Card and other niceties. So, logically, this piece of legislation, even the thought of it, will be on the same diabolical level as Hitler was when he ruled Germany. I believe this is what you want to say.

But here is where you are very wrong: Hitler actually encouraged private ownership of firearms. To prove what I say, I enclose for you copies of the firearms laws that were introduced in Germany during the democratic times of the Weimar Republic before Hitler came to power. Some ten years later Hitler made his own firearm law and there is also a copy of it for you - together with the translations into English. My father, under Hitler, purchased three rifles and two pistols, along with plenty of ammunition, and he was not even in the army.

Here, in our so advanced and enlightened times, in a so-called democracy you have gun control to the eventual point where nobody will be allowed to own even a slingshot, and over there was a dictator who actually wanted his people to bear arms. Quite the reverse from what you are told, isn't it?

Also, a telling difference between your President Bill Clinton and Adolf Hitler is this: When your president, not too long ago, visited his warriors in Bosnia, he would go near them only after they had been disarmed, just so that he was sure that no nasty things would happen to him.

Hitler was an entirely different man. Have a close look at how he behaved under similar circumstances, as the enclosed copy shows you: His soldiers were armed to the teeth, as soldiers should be. And Hitler was not one bit afraid of them.

This I could not pass over, but it may also show you that things were very different from what you and the rest of your fellow-Americans are led to believe. Especially you, with your aboveaverage education, should be in a position to see through this sea of lies and falsehoods. After all, you stated enough examples in your book that clearly show how the wool is being pulled over the eyes of you Americans.

I found a few more examples in your book that show how one-sidedly people are informed about historical events. Maybe "uninformed" would be a better description of the level of knowledge which even the more educated American has achieved. But then, what right does this give them to judge others by linking them to a past that never happened like this? Isn't this called hypocrisy?

Take for instance your statement on page 165, where you claim that France "has suffered foreign invasions during two world wars this century". It's not quite so simple and one has to look a bit further back into European history in order to come to a qualified conclusion which can stand up to scrutiny.

Around 1648, when the Thirty Years' War ended, France took the German western provinces of Alsace and Lorraine. That war was not started by Germany. In 1870, after numerous invasions by the French into Germany, France declared war on Germany again and this time was beaten by a unified Germany, something the French did not expect. They had to give back Alsace/Lorraine. From there a direct link leads to the First World War and as we know now, the least amount of guilt can be blamed on the Germans. In the course of the war, France was invaded by Germany, but what do you expect? Should the Germans throw rotten eggs while the French used poison gas? After all, the United States invaded Germany also and for much less reason. Then it was France who declared war on Germany in 1939 and was invaded again by the Germans and beaten. Mind you, we did not occupy the whole of France at first, as I presume you "know". This is German-French history in a nutshell as it happened. Of course, I could go into more details.

Another error is on page 170. There you say that you Americans invented the electrical grid, the computer, the microchip, the laser, and the Internet. The computer was invented by a German. His name was Konrad Zuse and he was the first to use vacuum tubes for his machine in 1945. So, in a way one can say that his invention also led to the Internet, just as Johannes Gutenberg's invention of the printing press in 1468 enabled you to write your book and have it printed. Gutenberg also was a German.

I could not refrain from a bit of smiling when I read about "Adolf Hitler laboring tirelessly, locating Jews and Christian enemies with old-fashioned identification methods - like tattoos and papers". You see, I got my education after 1945 under the supervision of the blameless Allies. They

taught me that Adolf Hitler and his jack booted Germans, myself included, gassed 12.5 (twelve and one half) million Jews. Some others claimed 40 million and still others claimed even 250 million gassed. In the meantime these claims have been revised downward little, first to ten million, then to 6.5 million, then to three million, and now it's around 0.7 million who died in Auschwitz. Some change, isn't t it? What I want to say is that one should be a bit more careful when echoing accusations without using some part of one's brain first. In other parts of your book you do it admirably, on issues that should be at least equally emotional. After all, the fate of all mankind is at stake.

So, why do you use, countless times, expressions like "black-shirted Nazis, the jack booted thugs that spirited Anne Frank away", "SS Gestapo", to name only a few? In light of the facts you present in your book, how can you be so sure that the Anne Frank story and others are true?

And, by the way, the United States also had concentration camps, which Germans as far away as South America were kidnaped and put into. Of course, Americans too, if they belonged to the wrong race. There they went **3 weeks** after Pearl Harbor. In Germany they went there in 1942, **nine years** after their race declared war on Germany and not just once.

Here again we have a monumental difference between a democracy which claims to be the shining example the rest of the world has to follow, and a dictatorship about which almost nobody knows anything but lies.

Seeing, as you do, what lies ahead of us, and being convinced that now nothing can be done to prevent it, I want to say that there now seem to be only two things which in their very nature are really just: Death, because everybody has to suffer it, and slavery of the worst kind under the apocalyptic New World Order which eventually will also reach everybody: This time it will not be the Germans alone who will get it; everyone without exception will have to suffer.

It was the German scientist Hermann Oberth who observed:

"In life a person of decent character has a certain number of options open to him for advancement. A person of low moral character, with the same degree of intelligence and drive and at the same point in life, also has all these options open to him, but also others which a decent person would not choose. For these reasons he has more chances for advancing himself, and as a result of this negative character selection the upper strata of society manifest an enrichment, as it were, in persons of low moral character."

He was right, of course, and this is why you Americans and all others won't be too happy once you realize what is done to you. This form of justice will take its course, God or no God. Many of us have not forgotten how your country, for no honest reason at all, killed millions of us - twice in this century. In conclusion I want to say that your book is a necessary one and you have done an excellent job in so far as you stuck to your own field of expertise. We Germans certainly will not shy away from discussing any aspect of our history as long as it is done in a fair way. That is more than most others can say of themselves.

Sincerely,

Walter Ruthard

Open Letter

Professor Texe Marrs c.o. Living Truth Publishers 1708 Patterson Road Austin Texas 78733 U.S.A. Walter Ruthard R.R. 1 Lisle, On, L0M 1M0 Canada

July 1, 1997

Re: Your offer to buy more books from you.

Dear Professor Marrs,

recently I received your material concerning the various books you wrote.

After leafing through them, I realized that you are certainly a prolific writer and, as I must admit, also a good one - as long as you stick to your area of expertise.

It is, however, an entirely different matter when you venture onto ground where you obviously have no knowledge whatsoever. Even though I doubt that it will do much good, I will try once more to direct your attention to matters you don't seem to know very well.

In one of the fliers you use the expression "Gestapo police". This, translated into German, means "Geheime Staatspolizei Polizei", or in English "Secret State Police police". By adding the word "police", you confirm that you don't understand this at all, because even in English the expression "Secret State Police police" doesn't make much sense, does it?

Is your understanding of these things really limited to the level of a kindergartner? Can you explain to me why I should dish out my money to you only to have to read such nonsense? You see, I always thought that a man with your "education", a professor and a former military officer, would at least try to acquire a rudimentary understanding of things before he uses them in his vocabulary. Just aping words that others spoonfeed to you puts you right into the middle of the average brainwashed American whose lifestyle is limited to the consumption of Coca Cola and McDonald's hamburgers. Just flinging open one's mouth like a hippo to devour them is not much of a mental challenge. Is it beyond the mental capacity of an American to even vaguely suspect that what he reads and hears might not all be true?

I can't help but believe that you, like the old and now mostly senile Allied warriors of yesteryear, have not been able to progress mentally after the intoxication of victory wore off, and to incorporate into your mind set new knowledge which has come up during the last fifty years. You behave like Pavlov's dogs: once their learning stage had passed, nothing new could influence their behaviour. What once used to be their brain has turned into Outer Space.

In a way I feel sorry for you, but buying more books from you is out of the question for meas long as you don't make an honest effort to upgrade your questionable "education" outside of the Holy Bible which, by the way, is anything but holy. But that is of secondary importance to me, if at all.

Sincerely,

Walter Ruthard

Open letter Rev. Texe Marrs c/o Living Truth Ministries Austin, Texas 78733 U.S.A.

Walter Ruthard R.R. 1 Lisle, ON, L0M 1M0 Canada

April 28, 1998

Dear Texe,

remember me? Some time ago I wrote a letter to you and since I did not receive a response from you, I assume that you were speechless and had nothing up your spiritual sleeve to prove me wrong. It means something to me indeed when a preacher is at a loss for words! Your newest "Flashpoint" newsletter is interesting again in the sense that your wisdom and capacity for learning has evidently not improved much since I tried to draw your attention to some incontestable historical facts you "missed."

It gives me great pleasure to correct you again concerning "God punishes Evildoers." I sincerely hope that He does just that - if He exists at all. You see, to me, preachers like you who lie until the cows come home are definitive proof that the God you advertise can not exist. He would have to punish you first of all.

You write "Porno Peddler Larry Flynt is Judged." Yes, he got shot and is crippled now. But even if he is an evil man, and I tend to agree with you on this, it is still a serious crime to shoot him. To me it is a really strange God who makes arrangements to have Mr. Flynt shot instead of bringing him behind bars after he was sentenced by a court of law. But this is beside the point I want to make today.

You also write "Hitler is Judged." And here it is that you wrote quite a few lies - again. First, he did not persecute Christians. I was brought up as a Catholic and lived during those times. I went to church every Sunday, as many millions did. None were molested, ever. Hitler was also a Catholic, if I remember correctly, and during the twelve years he was in power, more than 600 new churches were built and none were desecrated. It was a different story in Stalin's empire, though. There, churches were converted into museums, horse stables, magazines and the like. So, why did you not also write 'Stalin was Judged'? Are you perhaps a closet Communist?

"Outside and above his Berlin bunker, Hitler's once proud Germany lay tattered. The defeated nation's cities were reduced to smoldering ruins as flames leapt from building to building . . ." Yes, so it was, Texe. But beneath all that rubble, millions of old men, women, and children were buried alive, burnt alive, suffocated alive. By a God who wanted to punish Hitler? And who in the course of His barbaric desire for revenge murdered all those women and children?

No, Texe, there was no God who did this. There was also no God who prevented this. If you would claim this to be the devil's work, I perhaps would agree with you. But this orgy of destruction was the work of your fellow Americans, the British, the Russians, who went on a murderous rampage even Genghis Khan could not have surpassed. It was you "Christian Soldiers" who were the murderers of all those helpless women and children. I know that you were a soldier once, Texe. I don't believe that you took part in this extermination, as I think that your soldierly days came after WW2. Perhaps I am wrong, but in any case, you ran with the crowd, and as a preacher this should not be anything to be proud of. How about Saddam Hussein? Was there not also an attempt by God to punish him? The trouble is, he is still alive, but thousands upon' thousands of his people are not alive anymore. There, little children are still dying today - from hunger - and again it is you Americans who are the reason they are perishing, not God.

And I think you are threading on thin ice, Texe, when you say that Lenin and Trotsky are now residing in hell where they are tormented by devils. You know, while they were alive they were Jews, as was Stalin. So, saying that their fate isn't too enviable today, this could be construed as anti-Semitism Yes, it seems that Hitler once talked about a 'Thousand-Year Reich'. Sir Winston Churchill did exactly the same, as I found out today when I read **The Barnes Review**, March/April 1998, a history magazine I highly recommend to you. There it says on page 59: "Churchill boasted that now that Germany was defeated for all time, <u>the British empire</u>, of which he saw himself as its very embodiment, <u>would last 'a thousand years</u>.""

Look at this 'thousand-year empire' now, Texe. This empire has in the course of a few years shrunk to not much more than the motherland and a few little remnants abroad. Scotland and Wales will separate in the not-too-distant future. It's being swamped with "refugees" so much that the proud Englishmen really will need their famous stiff upper lip when some day in the next century they will become a minority in their own country. They will be told what to do and what not to do, because others will then make the decisions for them. And, who knows, they may have to speak another language as well.

The same fate awaits you and your fellow countrymen, Texe, and it won't take a thousand years. That's all right with me, because you deserve it and if you claim this to be the devil's work, that's fine with me too.

Have a nice day, Texe, and for God's sake, grow a brain.

Walter Ruthard

OPEN LETTER

Rev. Texe Marrs c/o Living Truth Ministries Austin, Texas 78733 USA Herta Ruthard Lisle, ON, L0M 1M0 Canada

May 20, 98

Dear Mr. Marrs,

I do not believe for one moment in your religious hogwash, produced in your "Flashpoint April 98": "God punishes Evildoers", but maybe I should participate in your game of fearmongering, and therefore I am sending you some food for thought. If this doesn't fit into your superstitious thought pattern, then you are a disgusting hypocrite. But if I should get feedback from you the way I would like to see it, then I will apologize to you, because it would show me that you are nevertheless an honest man. I would even consider believing in God again, because to influence a preacher's and anti-German's tunnel vision so that this individual can think differently even for a moment would indeed take God's hand. Let's assume there is a God and HE will punish the evildoers, but HE doesn't lift one finger before "Judgement Day" to help those who are crying out to HIM every day that HE might end their horrible ordeal, inflicted on them by their enemies. Because HE loves them so much and therefore HE heaps such misery on them that it is hard for those poor souls to believe in HIM anymore. (I myself am one of them).

The people I have in mind are the Germans. They really must be God's chosen people, as you might quickly discover when examining the enclosures.

What you think you know about Hitler is what you have been spoon-fed by the mainstream media and anti-German hate propaganda. By the way, Hitler was not against the churches, they had a good life under his rule, there was religious freedom. And his God was "Vorsehung" (or Karma), which saved his life twice from assassination.

Now let us examine God's judgements: Look at your "America to be judged" and direct it at this country's evil deeds against Germany in WW2. Look at the pictures enclosed! Are the Americans now being punished by God for this? Does HE send all kinds of plagues to them because of what they did to a decent and innocent country like Germany, and to others as well? Look at Great Britain. This country has really had to pay for its horrible deeds against Germany. Is the decline of this once great Empire God's punishment? What about the mad cow disaster, and other disasters? Look at Poland and the recent "Great Flood"! Look at her economical decline because the people who would have kept this country at its best, the Germans, the region's rightful owners for many millennia were driven out. The Germans knew how to manage their land in order to avoid flooding. God's punishment? Read what the Poles did to the Germans, and this is only a very tiny fraction of their sins.

In comparison to your remark about the Basilica of St. Francis in Assisi, Italy, and why God might have destroyed it, take for instance the world-famous House of God, the "Dome" in Cologne: this is exactly the opposite! See the rubble all around, and see the Dome's two steeples, reaching high into the sky like a sign of God's victory over the Evil? The same goes for the Freiburg Muenster, the second famous House of God in Germany. Freiburg was reduced to rubble but its Muenster was almost unharmed. The same goes for the Ulm Muenster. Ulm was totally destroyed on Dec.17, 1944, but its Muenster, a beautiful gothic Dome, survived. A friend of mine lived in Ulm and we met each other recently and spoke about this amazing incident.

Did HE save HIS temples under such mysterious circumstances in order to send a message to the world's evildoers? I could go on and on with signs of God's judgement but I will come to the end and leave it to your judgement.

Sincerely,

Herta Ruthard

Bruce & Diana Rogers 11300 Manvers Sengog Townline Road, RR 2 Blackstock, Ontario, Canada L0B 1B0 905-986-5951 barogers@sympatico.ca www3.sympatico.ca/barogers_____Fax: 905-986-1636

April 13, 2000-04-13

Mr. Walter Ruthard, RR1, Lisle, ON L0M 1M0

Dear Mr. Ruthard:

I'm sorry my response did not please you. It was a sincere attempt to be conciliatory and understanding. I'm sorry your life has included so much pain and disappointment. I am particularly saddened to learn that your experience in Canada has been so full of prejudice and has contributed so much to your unhappiness.

I have no quarrel to pick with Germans any more than with the French, the Irish, the Turks, the Chinese, or any other race. But, you are correct, I am critical of the Nazis, the Fascists, and the Stalinists, who took inhumanity to new depths. No question, the empires of Britain, France, Belgium, Italy, Germany, Spain, and other major world powers, visited undemocratic cruelties on innocent peoples. And – no question – their extraterritorial impositions are to be condemned. I am not, as you charge, hypocritical about this. But, let's face it, the Nazi war machine was not invited into France, Holland, Belgium, Poland, etc., even though each of those countries was home to some people who shared the extreme views of Hitler and Mussolini. It must be admitted – surely – that the Nazis were not doing the people of Spain a kindness with the bombings in 1936. Surely we must all condemn the concentration camps and the cruelties visited on the Jews, Romanis and others. Of course, you are right, we must make an effort to put the animosities of history behind us and find ways to relate to one another as human beings, not as one race to another. That said, it is important that we examine history so we don't repeat the worst of our often cruel mistakes.

I have not accused you of racism. If you got that impression, I'm sorry. Nor am I a racist. And it saddens me deeply that your lives have moved you to angry conclusions about Canadians in general and to condemn Canada as a result. Please accept my apologies for any hurt I may have caused. If I did it was inadvertent.

Yours sincerel

Bruce Rogers

Mr. Bruce Rogers R.R. 2 Blackstock, ON, L0B 1B0 Walter Ruthard R.R. 1 Lisle, ON, L0M 1M0

May 1, 2000

Dear Mr. Rogers,

thank you for your answer of April 13, 2000 to my letter. After reading and carefully rereading it I am willing to recognize you as an honest man, considering the fact that you can only go so far as you did. And it is precisely here where you fail. You seem to be unable to take into consideration the possibility that the history of Germany in the twentieth century was not exactly as you believe or are made to believe. You are not alone in this - most Canadians do not even think and use their own brains when they are confronted with distorted "facts" by the media, TVO included. However, I have to maintain my claim that Germans are still hated in this country. The press, TVO, CBC, CTV, you name it, bear witness to this.

You readily lump together Germany with the other empires when it comes to claim that they "visited undemocratic cruelties on innocent peoples." While Britain, France, Belgium, Italy, [Germany excepted!] Spain [you forgot to mention Holland and Russia] and other world powers in the course of their respective histories became larger and larger at the expense of their smaller and weaker neighbours and, of course, their colonies, it was Germany who became smaller and smaller and is today but a fraction of the size it once was. From this fact alone a thinking person could suspect that " undemocratic cruelties" were more often than not "visited" on Germany by other nations.

I should mention here that the original area inhabited by German speaking people was rather huge. Dozens of tribes, all related to each other existed in this area. The modern Slavs (Russians, Poles, Serbs and Czechs are the descendants of German tribes). The Scandinavians are also of Germanic stock.

As an aside and to give you a certainly novel idea to muse over, let me say that the Germans' struggle for survival already began around A.D.9 when the Romans invaded the territory of the Germanic tribes and were defeated in the Teutoburger Forest by Arminius (Hermann der Cherusker). It was <u>the</u> decisive battle which shaped the history of Europe for the last 2000 years. Without Arminius, you would not speak English. Europe as we know it would not exist. Neither would America, Australia, New Zealand, Canada and much of the rest of the world.

Holland, some 350 years ago, was a German province. Luxemburg was once German. Liechtenstein was German as well. Austria was part of Germany. Further two German provinces were stolen by the French: Alsace and Lorraine. Their German language is suppressed, their towns and cities have French names now. The Sudetenland, my wife's home country, was taken over by the Czechs. They were especially efficient in doing so and murdered some 480,000 of the Germans there and expelled the remaining 2.8 million after robbing them of all earthly possessions. The Poles behaved not better. Prussia, Posen, Pomerania, Silesia were taken from Germany since 1918, the inhabitants murdered, raped, robbed and finally, if they survived, expelled. Many literally arrived in Berlin stark naked. Even the oh so peace-loving Danes enriched themselves of Northern Schleswig. They also starved some 7000 German refugee children to death. After the war. The Belgians took Eupen-Malmedy. The Memel district is now under Latvia's rule. Switzerland was a German province. They even today speak German in 75% of their country. Here you have a prime example of what it means to be German: Tolerance. They have four official languages there. Besides German, there is Italian, French and a very old Celtic language (Rätoromanisch). The harmony with which the Swiss get along with each other could be a shining example to the Canadians who can't even exist with only two languages. The German speaking Swiss could easily have prevented all the rest of the population from speaking their own languages as was and is done in France, Belgium, Spain, Britain, Czechoslovakia, Poland, Hungary, Russia and other "democratic" countries. Since the end of WW1, Germany lost app. one third of her territory. As I mentioned, Holland, Switzerland and Alsace-Lorraine were lost even earlier. That was in 1648 when Germany's friendly neighbours finished a 30 years long rampage through the country. Even some Englishmen took part, Mr. Rogers! They helped to "ethnically cleanse" the German population by roughly 10 million. Today you can look back on an old tradition in this respect, Mr. Rogers! It's called a holocaust nowadays - if the victims are nonGerman of course. The name France comes from the German "Franken" and even today there is a tiny area still in Germany which is called Franken. The huge rest of it is now France, most of her also a former German province. We both have in our history a common emperor, Karl der Große or, as French call him, Charlemagne. So much for the Germans wanting to conquer the whole world, Mr. Rogers.

On the other hand, while Germany was reduced and again reduced to her present size, tiny Belgium acquired the Congo, Holland Indonesia, Britain accumulated the largest empire in all of human history, the French were not far behind, Spain and Portugal "earned" their empires and lost them again. Today, the United States practically rule almost all of the world. I myself consider Germany today as a colony of the United States. This also seems to be ok with "democrats" like you, Mr. Rogers. Germany is treated like an outcast and this also is ok, isn't it?

Now to "the Nazi war machine" that "was not invited into France, Holland, Belgium, Poland, etc." Let me begin with the last one, Poland. As I already pointed out, Poland, after WW1, took the German provinces of Posen, Danzig and part of Silesia. They were not invited into Germany, Mr. Rogers. Or is this also ok? It was long before there was a Hitler and a "Nazi war machine". The German population was treated deplorably. And I could go here into very graphic details just as in the case of the Czechs who also were not invited into the Sudetenland, an Austrian province. In the middle of the 1930s the Poles began to terrorize their ethnic Germans in earnest. Many were murdered, some 70,000 fled across the border. They were the first refugees before WW2. The bloodshed reached a climax in September 1939, when in the district of Bromberg some 30,000 Germans were massacred by the Poles. Today one calls this "ethnic cleansing", and as you can see, it's not a German invention. Preceded were these massacres by numerous attacks across the border into Germany by regular Polish troops who burned farms, killed the peasants and customs officials alike. This was the situation shortly before the "Nazi war machine" entered Poland. May I remind you that the U.S.A. under president Reagan reacted in a similar fashion, albeit far less justified, in Grenada when "American students were threatened" but none harmed in any way. Panama comes to mind here too. In light of the above, the German invasion of Poland was absolutely justified. And apart of all that, Poland partly mobilized her army already in March of 1939. This was well understood

by Hitler as a threat of further aggression if he continued to insist on negotiations over a land access across the Polish Corridor (former German territory) to Prussia, which were numerous times refused by Poland. On August 30, 1939 Poland mobilized her army fully. According to international and civilized customs this action by Poland amounted to nothing less than a declaration of war against Germany. The rest is history: everyone "knows" that Germany invaded poor little Poland. Nobody knows or wants to know the terrible facts which led to this invasion for the simple reason that the Germans or, to use your favorite word, the "Nazis" were involved. Here are but a very few quotations of influential persons of that time. They all point to an international design to make war on Germany again. I could expand greatly on this too.

Lord Halifax, the British Foreign Minister: "Finally we have forced Hitler into war, so that he can no longer reverse the Treaty of Versailles peaceably one section at a time." *Verschwörung*, Juan Maler, p. 110.

Wyndham Lewis: "What Herr Hitler is required to do is to merge Germany into the league of monopolist states. The peace-loving nations are more heavily armed than you are, Herr Hitler, and have unlimited resources at their disposal and they will unquestionably make war on you if you do not submit to their will and if you persist in going on with this Sovereign State Stuff". *Count Your Dead: They are Alive!* P. 318, as quoted in *The New World Order & The Throne of The antiChrist*, Robert O'Driscoll, p. 231.

Kazimierz Sosnkowski, Polish General and Minister in exile, on August 31, 1943, to Allied members of the press: "Polands decision of August 30, 1939, that formed the basis for general mobilization, marks a turning-point in the history of Europe. It forced Hitler to wage war at a time where he hoped to gain further bloodless victories...

Hans Kohn, Pan-Slavism. Its History and Ideology, Vienna 1965.

<u>"The American Hebrew"</u>: April 30, 1937: "The nations will come to the necessarv realization that Nazi Germany deserves to be eradicated from the family of nations." Georg Wiesholler, Die hehren Maximen des Herrn Bubis in: Nation, p.24, 8-9/93, Ottobrunn near Munich.

<u>Polish radio broadcast</u> in the night of August 31, 1939: "We are on a victorious march to Berlin, and will be in Berlin by the end of the week. The Germans are in a disorganized retreat along the entire front." Juan Maler, *Die Unvollendete*, p. 304, *154.

If I were to harass you continually for years, I could not blame you when, one day, you would retaliate. The fault would be entirely mine. Thus was the case when in 1939 war broke out between Poland and Germany. The real reasons were never told you and I have to blame you for not having enough interest in checking out by yourself what is told to you. Instead you just repeat and repeat, never even suspecting that not all might be true. On September 3, 1939 Britain and France declared war on Germany **but not on Stalin** who also attacked Poland. This fact alone is strong evidence that the Polish war was designed for the destruction of Germany.

Winston Spencer Churchill: "For four hundred years England's foreign policy has consisted of opposing and confronting the strongest, most aggressive, ruling power on the Continent... It doesn't matter whether it is Spain or the French monarchy or the French Empire or the German Empire or the Hitler regime. The issue is not dictators or nations, the issue is solely who is the

greatest or the potentially dominant tyrant... It seems to me that the same old conditions are again given and that our national salvation depends on whether we can unite all European powers once again to keep German supremacy in check, to prevent it, if necessary, to destroy it." Churchill, vol. 1, p. 257f., as quoted in Ulrich Stern, *Die wahren Schuldigen am Zweiten Weltkrieg*, p. 125.

<u>Prof. A. J. P. Taylor</u>, British historian in Oxford: "The German armaments status of 1939 provides the decisive proof that Hitler wanted no general war and was probably not intending war at all. Even in 1939 the German Wehrmacht was not equipped for a longer war, and in 1940 the German armed forces were inferior to the French in everything except leadership.

Peter Dehoust, Heuchler, Henker, Halunken, p. 270.

With this we are at the time in 1940 when Germany invaded France. Please keep in mind that it was France who declared war on us, not the other way around. Were we supposed to stay at home and throw with tomatoes when the French moved across the Rhine? As they did in at least a dozen of times (in peace time!) since in 1648 when they robbed us of Alsace and Lorraine. The Dutch had an understanding with France and Britain that when it came to war with Germany, the Allied troops would be allowed to cross into Dutch territory. Therefore they were not too neutral, were they? Perhaps you remember the series "War" or so that was seen either on CBC or TVO a few years back. There this very fact was stated in no uncertain language. Besides that, I think you have no reason to point the finger at us for violating "neutral" countries, since the Allies did the same numerous times themselves. I have given you two examples in my previous letter to you and you seem to have ignored it. This indeed leaves you open to criticism of being hypocritical which disappoints me deeply.

You mention Spain and allude to Guernica 1936. Here again you work with two different measurements. The Spanish war was a civil war. Fascist Franco against the Communist government. Hitler supported Franco. Nothing wrong with that since Stalin supported the Spanish Communists. I am certain that you do not like both Fascism and Communism. So, why do you take such a strong stand against Fascism, which is dead for over 50 years, and tolerate Communism which is very much alive today? Not only Stalin interfered in Spain but there was also a Canadian component involved, Mr. Rogers. And the Americans. Ernest Hemingway, the murderer-poet, was one of them. That bombs fell on Guernica may have happened or it may have not. In any case they were not intended to kill civilians. There is strong evidence to assume that Guernica was deliberately set ablaze by the retreating Communists themselves. And the involvement of the North Americans in this civil war shows clearly the double standard of accusing Germany of supporting Franco. Pablo Picasso, a Communist himself, lived comfortably in Paris during the German occupation of France. Nothing happened to him although the Germans were very aware of his infamous painting. Speaks rather well of the Germans, I would say. You blame the Germans for Guernica. But will you equally blame the Brits after you have read the following? I doubt it very much.

"The third air raid in the night of January 5, 1945 was carried out in two waves by 354 Lancaster bombers of the 4th Bomber Group of the RAF. 1,637 tons of high explosive bombs and 14 tons of incendiary bombs did not fall on military targets but into the centre of Royan. Neither accident nor a mistake in navigation were responsible. Royan was marked with a thick circle on the maps of the bomber pilots. Their order was: 'Drop the bombs on Royan!' After the attack 2000 of the 4000 Frenchmen lay buried under the rubble of their houses. The Germans immediately asked for a cease-fire in order to rescue the buried." (Dr. phil. Gert Sudholt, So war der Zweite Weltkrieg 1945, Druffel, Berg, p. 128.

Yes, the damn Nazis, isn't it so, Mr. Rogers?

You allude to "the extreme views of 'Hitler and Mussolini." Further up I gave you a quotation by Wyndham Lewis. Obviously he made this remark before the war. It is an example of what I would call an "extreme view". I will give you two more:

Sir Robert Vansittart: "If Hitler fails, his successor will be Bolshevism; if he is successful, we will present him with a European war within five years."

Even Now, London <u>1933</u>, p. 69 as quoted inRichtigstellungen zur Zeitgeschichte, Grabert, Tübingen 1999, # 12, p. 4.

Sir Robert Vansittart: "Hitler is no accident. He is the natural and continuous product of a breed which from the dawn of history has been predatory, and bellicose." Vansittart, *BlackRecord*, p. 16, as quoted in *Unconditional Hatred* by Captain Russell Grenfell, R.N., p. 27.

You write that you are "critical of the Nazis, the Fascists, and the Stalinists, who took inhumanity to new depths." Here again comes to the fore the callous attitude of a people that thinks they are better and peerless to others, conveniently forfeit their own misdeeds and therefore have the right to pass moral judgement on the rest of humanity. I mean, of course, the Anglo-Saxons. Well, Mr. Rogers, I think you recklessly poked into a hornet's nest here. Let me remind you that it was you, the British, who caused the potato famine in Ireland with millions of victims and who exterminated the natives of Newfoundland down to the last little child and kept the natives in the rest of the country in appalling conditions for centuries, causing many more needless deaths till today. You are responsible for the Inuit gasoline sniffers at Boises Bay. And now it is you who have the tasteless frivolity to name cars and towns after those who were exterminated by you, the Anglo-Saxons. You delivered smallpox infected blankets to the Indians of America who had no natural immunity against this disease. This was the first biological war ever pepetrated and you feel you have the right to go after Saddam Hussein! It was you who first had the inhumane idea of scalping the Indians for bounty. It was you who cut out female genitalia and stretched them over the horns of your cavalry saddles. It was you who exterminated some 10 million Indians over the centuries in North America and its you who are co-responsible for the deaths of at least another 10 to 60 million black slaves who suffered horrible deaths even before they reached the blessed shores of North America. It was you who tossed them alive into the sea when the law got too close. And it's you mankind can now blame for the abundance of crime in the U.S.A., because you neglected them after they were "liberated". They still live on the lower rungs of society. Anglo-Saxons were the ones who bound East Indians to the muzzles of cannons and blew them to pieces. It was you who are responsible for the deaths of millions of other human beings on the Indian subcontinent and you exterminated the Aborigines of Australia leaving only a few who today are the trash of the Australian society. Not only this, it was you who, just as in Newfoundland, exterminated the inhabitants of Tasmania by going on merry Sunday hunts, after attending holy mass, until the last one was killed. And you behaved only marginally better in New Zealand and other places you set foot on. It was you who invented concentration camps and had the good taste to name an Ontario

city Kitchener. You fed Kitchener's detainees flour laced with ground up glass and most of the humane misery that today rules in Africa is due to your crimes perpetrated there in colonial times. You, all over this miserable planet, killed hundreds of millions of humans - including Germans. It was you who starved one million of German women and children to death after WW1 and it was you who first complained about Hitler cleansing his country of Jews, and only some four years later you cleansed 15 million Germans off the face of the earth. And it was you Anglo-Saxons who caused WW2 and are the murderers of further millions in all countries involved. Nine million Germans were murdered by you after the war ended in 1945. And you have the audacity to say, you are "critical of the Nazis, the Fascists, and the Stalinists, who took inhumanity to new depths." It was your friend and associate, "Uncle Joe", who murdered 50 million of his own people plus countless others in his Gulag extermination camps. Mao Tse Tung, also your associate, murdered another 80 million of his own people. And so far I have yet to hear even of the tiniest "moral outrage", Anglo-Saxons are so capable of, about Mugabe's murder of your fellow Anglos in Zimbabwe. It was you and your friends, the French, who first applied poison gas against our soldiers in WWI and you planned the same in WW2 as clearly stated by Churchill. Only the fear that the "Nazis" this time might be capable of answering in kind kept you in check. And, as it turned out, this fear was well founded. You discovered that the "Nazis" had far better capabilities than you to use poison gas. They had Tabun, Sarin and some more nasty surprises for you in stock. And, Mr. Rogers, I am not going too far to put it to you that it takes an extraordinary human greatness to renounce this capability in the face of certain death. Because, even in 1945, Hitler could have won the war by destroying every living civilian and Allied soldier by using those weapons. You had no effective means to prevent this if he had chosen to go this way. Hitler, your "Obernazi and devil incarnate" personally ordered that poison gas should never be applied unless you start with it first. And he also ordered that Paris was to be kept out of battle actions in 1940 and in 1944 as well, in contrast to Mr. Churchill who was most eager to include this city. I mentioned this already in my previous letter to you. You "roasted" alive (Churchill's terminology) the citizens of Dresden to the tune of at least 350,000 to 500,000 and did the same to an insignificant lesser degree in most other German cities. Don't even think that we started this all - it was you who did, and there is now ample proof of it. And you atomized the inhabitants of Hiroshima and Nagasaki. You, the Anglo-Saxons, were the ones who bombed Monte Cassino to dust and you even bombed Pompeii, a



place, where since antiquity no human soul ever lived. As you did in Iraq, the cradle of civilization. For the sheer joy of destructing things. Have a good look at the two pictures here, Mr. Rogers. Over one million of these children already have died from malnutrition and exposure to "depleted uranium" left behind in Iraq **and** Kosovo/Serbia by your American friends. This is what your ethnic brothers are capable of, and Canadians have to shoulder a considerable portion of the shame because they tolerate it and therefore really do "take humanity to new depths"! Who are the monsters here? So, spare me the sob story of how bad we were. Instead study your own history which is far worse than the history of even Stalin or Mao Tse Tung. Your brothers to the South harvested the ears from fallen Japanese soldiers as souvenirs to be send home. They made ash trays from Japanese skulls for their sweethearts at home - and you say that the Germans "took humanity to new levels of depth"!

If you had not mentioned specifically "Hitler and Mussolini" I would have to conclude that you had the fate of the Jews in mind. In this case I could make you acquainted with quite another batch of quotations, as this side of history also has two sides. Yes, I also don't like concentration camps. I do not like **innocent** people to be held prisoners. But, Mr. Rogers, this applies not only to "Jews, Romanis and others." It also applies to Germans who were put into concentration camps. In Canada, the U.S.A., England, France, the Soviet Union, Czechoslovakia, Poland, Sweden and other countries. Again I have to remind you of this double standard of the Anglo-Saxons. No matter how often the premise of examining history in order to prevent the mistakes of the past is evoked, I, for the sake of it can't see how this can be accomplished. I see no progress at all. I am not as optimistic as you are concerning future improvements in human relations. The Germans always will be excluded. The world needs a villain to point the finger against and feel good about it, primitive as it is. Having said this, I will conclude with one more quotation of an Englishman who stands out like a sore thumb:

"The Anglo-Saxons are gifted with a limitless capacity, particularly in international relations, for applying moral canons to others which they have never applied to themselves. How many Englishmen remember that the British Empire was mainly the result of successful naval and military wars in all parts of the Globe?"

Harold Nicolson, in his study PEACE-MAKING 1919. Quoted in *The German Revolution*, H. Powys Greenwood, p. 14.

And even this is a self-adulating and hypocritical statement!

Sincerely,

Walter Ruthard

Doc. 96

The Führer's Speech of May 4, 1941 (Session of the Reichstag)

(Excerpt)

"...The people in England and France were assured time and again that in spring of 1940 Germany trembled in fear of the war, and that out of her fear of imminent destruction she would like to make peace. It was declared, however, that such a peace must not come about under any circumstances before the Third Reich had been crushed and the German people were so beaten and impoverished that they would finally line up at their enemies' field kitchens to beg for food...

...My warnings against night-bombing of the civilian population, as it is promoted by Mr. Churchill, were taken merely as signs of German impotence. This man, the bloodiest dilettante in all of history, believed in all seriousness that the months of restraint on the part of the German Luftwaffe was only a proof of their inability to fly at night.

And so this man had his paid writers lie to the English people for months, claiming that the British air force was the only one able to wage war in this way and that the means had thus been found to force the Reich to its knees through the English air force's ruthless war against the German civilian population together with the starvation blockade. I warned against this repeatedly, for more than three-and-a-half months. It doesn't surprise me that these warnings had no effect on Mr. Churchill. What does this man care for the lives of others? What does he care for culture, what does he care for architecture? After all, he already said plainly at the beginning of the war that he wanted and would have his war even if the cities of England were to be wiped out in the process..."

Doc. 102

The French Proclamation of April 4, 1943

"Paris, April 4. - This afternoon the Anglo-American air force continued its terrorist attacks against the occupied western territories. In the last few days the enemy repeatedly launched daytime bombing attacks on cities and towns in France, Belgium and Holland, shot at the same with aircraft armaments, and spread hardship among the civilian population. This time the American bomber planes again attacked Paris. The Parisians, who had spent their Sunday outdoors on sports fields and in various recreational areas, once again witnessed the brutality of their former allies."

The American bombers attacked the city which, given the perfectly clear skies, was visible in every detail. This time, too, as has so often been the case lately, they dropped their bombs on residential parts of the French capital.

According to reports received at this time, a great number of residential houses were destroyed in the course of this attack; there were more than 100 casualties.

To date it has been found that at least seven four-engined American bomber planes and a comparatively large number of enemy fighter planes have been shot down in the course of air battles over the northern French coastal regions."

That's why the French spat in the faces of the Canadian Soldiers (liberators)! Some of them even shot at their "buddies", the Americans.

According to press reports from Paris, even the well-known race track Longchamps, where races were being run at the time, was among the places attacked by the enemy bombers.

OPEN LETTER

Mr. John T. Saxon, Esq. Lake Worth Fla. Walter Ruthard HPS R.R. 1 Lisle, Ont. L0M 1M0 Canada

June 1, 1997

Re: Your letter in The Barnes Review No. 5, May 1997

Dear Mr. Saxon,

it was with great interest that I read your letter in which you so eloquently defend the honour of the Royal Air Force concerning the bombing of the Krauts.

Only a member of the British blue-blooded elite like you could possibly stand up against the many lies which the unconvinceable world conquerors, disseminate even today, against what is certainly the greatest nation the world has ever seen. I too cannot understand the attitude of The Barnes Review, which joins in this chorus of slander against Merry Old England.

As I said, it is a great pity that so few people seem to agree with us. I myself am doing everything in my power to educate the ignorant and stupid majority of mankind regarding the realities of recent history, and I would be very delighted if we could unite our efforts to make public knowledge what fellow-Britons, be they ordinary men or upper-class nobility like yourself, did to save mankind from being conquered and devoured by the barbarous Germ(an)s.

As Lord Curzon said so aptly: "The world has been entrusted to us Englishmen for some peculiar and unfathomable reason. The British Empire, in accordance with the will of Providence, is the greatest tool for the furtherance of the good which the world has ever seen."

Yet even in our motherland of democracy and all those moral achievements the rest of the world should be happy to acknowledge, there are a few dissenting voices which we, albeit grudgingly, can't overlook. Knowing what these people in important positions said enables us better to refute the horrible aspersions cast against our great nation.

Mr. J.M. Spaight, principal assistant to the British Air Ministry, was not ashamed to repeat what was obviously already then part of Nazi propaganda: "Top officials in London openly acknowledged years later that Britain had been planning an air offensive on Germany since 1936. At the outbreak of the war, Germany did not have the capacity to effectively engage in such an air offensive against Britain."

And Lord Robert G. Vansittart, Undersecretary in the Foreign Office, said in 1933: "If Hitler

fails, his successor will be Bolshevism; if he is successful, we will present him with a European war within five years."

Certainly he was a wise man, but he should never have come out and said this so publicly. It makes our position all the more difficult, as does the big mouth of Mr. Wyndham Louis - may curses be heaped upon his head forever: "What Herr Hitler is required to do is to merge Germany into the league of monopolist states. The peace-loving nations are more heavily armed than you are, Herr Hitler, and have unlimited resources at their disposal and they will unquestionably make war on you if you do not submit to their will and if you persist in going on with this Sovereign State Stuff."

However, I am reasonably certain that the British military historian Mr. Fuller was actually referring to dear Uncle Joe when he said: "And so it was Churchill who lit the fuse that set off a war of destruction and terror such as remains unparalleled since the invasion of the Mongols."

Of course, it is more difficult to explain the 1924 faux pas of Winston Churchill, who wrote in the *Pall Mall Gazette*: "I am in favour of dropping methodically prepared germs on humans and animals. Blight to destroy the crops, anthrax to exterminate horses and cattle, plague to kill not only whole armies but also the inhabitants of wide areas."

Maybe this was due to his ripe old age, as is the next quotation from him, because not even I can imagine that a man of his integrity would disseminate such an idea as candidly as he did. This is something one discusses privately and then discreetly puts in action. I am certain that you will agree with me on this, Mr. Saxon: "I do not want suggestions as to how we can disable the economy and the machinery of war. What I want are suggestions as to how we can roast the German refugees on their escape from Breslau."

However, he seemed to be somewhat matured when in 1938 he wrote an open letter to Adolf Hitler in which he shared with him his innermost thoughts: "If ever England should suffer a national disaster like that which was Germany's misfortune in 1918, I will pray to God to send us a man of your intellect and spirit." It turned my stomach when I came across this quotation, but I have to forgive him - after all, he was nevertheless the greatest thing that could happen to Great Britain, wasn't he?

The following quotation is really outrageous, and if the guy were still alive today, I would have him tarred and feathered. Mr. A.J.P. Taylor, a historian in Oxford, said: "*The British initiative* (for the air war) is perfectly dear. The German bombing of Warsaw and Rotterdam was part of a military campaign, an expansion of a previous shelling of defended cities. The Blitz (the German air raids on London) began only after the Brits had already bombed German cities for five months."

Can you imagine this? If I only knew how to do something effective to suppress such an imputation, I would gladly give an arm. And a leg on top of it, if that wouldn't be an Englishman, Mr. F.J.P. Veale, a publicist and lawyer, who spouted forth in his book "Advance to Barbarism": "... on the night of May 11, 1940 eighteen Whitley bombers attacked railway installations in Western Germany...Yet without realizing it they were turning a major page of history. Their flight marked the end of an epoch which had lasted for two and one-half centuries."

Yes, he was right: why should we British be chivalrous against the Huns, who certainly belong to the lowest form of life this planet of ours has ever seen? After all, where does the name German come from? From germ, that's where it comes from. That's why we bombed them before their underdeveloped brains could think of such a possibility first, as Mr. J.M. Spaight, who was the Undersecretary of State and the foremost authority on the legality of air warfare against civilians as early as 1944, wrote in his book "Bombing Vindicated": "We began to bomb targets in Germany before the Germans did the same in England. That is a historical fact which has also been publicly admitted. We sacrificed London because retaliation was certain. It is not absolutely certain, but very likely, that the Germans would not have attacked London and the industrial region. Germany strove for a standstill agreement in the bombing war whenever the slightest chance for it seemed to present itself."

Well, my little shield-bearer - middle-class or nobleman - I do not think that you will get a heart attack from reading this, because I do not think you have the requisite organ. Your heart must be some sort of a stone, at least 150 years old and very cold and very poisonous, corroded by hate. But you will certainly get an uplift when you read this - after all, it should confirm your proverbial British hypocrisy. Others call it cant, and how right they are. It was Churchill's favorite maxim:

"Give me the facts and I will twist them the way I want to suit my argument."

And just so you don't think that this fine gentleman was the only one to exhibit things better hidden - as David Irving has revealed - and thoughts predominating amongst the British, here is a truly elevating theme. You can find it in the Oxford Dictionary of Quotations, Third Edition, pp. 43/5. It is - how could it be otherwise - from another blue-blooded Englishman, Sir John Betjeman:

"Gracious Lord, oh bomb the Germans. Spare their women for Thy sake, And if that is not too easy We will pardon Thy mistake. But, gracious Lord, whate'er shall be, Don't let anyone bomb me."

Now there is an interesting thought I would like to share with you. You see, before 1939 Great Britain was just that: Great. She was at the peak of her power, ruling over the largest empire in world history. Six years later she had sunk to almost the same level as she was at when she began to torment the world, and the entire fall from grandeur took place under the ingenious leadership of your beloved Prime Minister Sir Winston Churchill.

Till today ossified warriors like you seem to be on the look-out for your lost Empire. It won't come back. Are you sure that you shouldn't be living in a home for the mentally handicapped?

Humbly yours,

Walter Ruthard HPS

OPEN Letter

Military Illustrated c/o Mr. Tim Newark, Editor 43 Museum Street London WC1A 1LY England

Walter Ruthard R. R. 1 Lisle Ontario Canada L0M 1M0

August 5, 1997

Dear Mr. Newark,

I have in front of me copies of the article "Why are the Germans Good at Fighting", which you wrote in "MILITARY ILLUSTRATED", March 1996, Number 94.

I am a German and I think what you wrote is wrong and faultier than most things I have ever read. Since you claim to be a "leading military historian", I can think of only two reasons why this intellectual effort of yours is so one-sided. Either you are caught in a web of hate against Germans, which is rather stupid, and you are by no means alone in this, or your knowledge of history is mostly limited to the history of your motherland, which excludes the history of the rest of Europe. Which one is it?

You start off with the first mistake right in your opening sentence: "In 1871, the German nation was born". In 1871, Germany became <u>united</u>. She was a nation long before that and as you only half-way correctly say, Germany before 1871 consisted of loosely grouped states as the "Holy Roman Empire". For reasons I think I know, you omitted the last three words of this name, namely "of German Nation". The correct name for Germany at that time was "The Holy Roman Empire of German Nation" and here you have the word "nation" clearly designating Germany as such.

The rest of your treatise is concocted in a similar fashion, betraying your astonishing lack of competence as far as German (military) history goes. It shows, however, a highly developed sense of prejudice, a bad companion to serious and honest historical research.

"In 125 years, the Germans have unleashed wars of unparalleled destruction on Europe ... "

For your benefit I will assume that you copied this rubbish from another "military historian". The first of these wars was unleashed in 1870 by the French Emperor Napoleon. This is a fact one can only ignore if one wants to have the laugh on the other's side. Napoleon followed up on a long series of French invasions and wars waged on the Germans in the preceding times. Perhaps he thought it easy to kill some more of them, as the French did in 1618 - 1648, when they massacred some thirteen million Germans out of an estimated total population of seventeen million. Of course, they had good company with the Swedes, the Danes, the Norwegians etc. and even a small gang of

blood-thirsty English footpads.

As a result of that war, in 1871, the French had to give back the German provinces of Alsace and Lorraine which they had stolen after 1648. Also the medieval city of Strassburg, which they stole during the piece after the treaty that followed the Thirty Year's War. All of them were ancestral German lands. I wonder if England's reaction would have been different if she had "been relieved of" Wales for instance.

Of course, the French, being what they are, lusted for revenge, which led directly to the First World War. This was a heck of an incentive for them to go on the war-path again. The British were not much better. They wanted to get rid of the damned Germans for economic reasons, as they posed to the British some serious competition for industrial goods on the world market. Instead of improving on the quality of wares made in England, it was much easier to make war. The British have always been better at that than at working hard. I don't think that it was possible for Germany to avoid the confrontation with the two powers who subjugated the rest of the world while Germany was carved up. So, the answer to the question who unleashed this war is quite clear.

The Holy Roman Empire of German Nation was a rather large empire. Holland belonged to it, as did Switzerland, both their languages are German dialects. Germany and France even shared a common emperor, Karl der Grosse or Charlemagne. Belgium, Austria, Czechoslovakia and parts of Italy were also part of the Holy Roman Empire of German Nation.

After the First World War we lost Alsace and Lorraine again in the west and, in addition to that, the areas of Eupen and Malmedy to the Belgians. The Dutch were no less shy and took their share of the loot, as did Denmark. In the east, parts of Silesia and the province of Posen went to the Poles. Even the tiny state of Lithuania enriched itself by taking the Memelland. The Sudetenland went to Czechslovakia and South Tyrol was stolen by the Italians. A nice bunch of peace-loving neighbours, indeed. And let us not forget the Treaty of Versailles, which was clearly engineered to inflict further horrendous damage on Germany. All parties to that treaty agreed to disarm, but it was only Germany which did so. The others were too "honourable" to follow the rules they themselves had established.

Serious historians, perhaps with the exception of "military experts" like you, Mr. Newark, agree today that the uncivilized treatment of Germany after 1918 led directly to the outbreak of the Second World War. While one can say with some justification that all parties in the First World War were guilty of starting it - some more than others (others meaning Germany) - it is quite obvious that in 1939 it was the doing of the British and French who ignited the powder keg. There is ample proof of this. Again it was you British who by various devious means forced the world into another slaughter, something you are quite skilled at. I concede that it is painful for an Englishman like you to face up to the facts. Instead you twist and distort the events to your liking. It was you who declared war on us Germans after goading Poland onto the war-path. That could have remained a local war and spared the lives of countless Englishmen, not to mention the lives of lesser beings like Germans or Russians. Why did you not declare war also on the Soviets who had also invaded

Poland? One cannot escape the conclusion that Poland was used by England to achieve again what had been her motive 25 years earlier.

After losing the Second World War, which became a world war only by the meddling of England again in Continental affairs, we lost more eastern provinces to Poland, 25% of the German total area, and the Sudetenland, which for more than 700 years had been German, was lost again. In the course of all of this some five million Germans were murdered - after the war - in peacetime. By you "gentlemen".

You say "Germany had become a global power and such was its confidence that it declared war on the United States of America..." What bosh. Germany declared war on the U.S.A. because she was bound to do so by a treaty with Japan. After a long period of the U.S.A. breaching neutrality by attacking German naval vessels, Roosevelt finally succeeded in getting the Japanese to attack Pearl Harbor. This was the back door for him through which to get the war with Germany which he wanted so much. No sane person disputes this today, unless he has a very calcified mind that prevents him from learning new facts.

Heck, you for example are not even capable of correctly copying such simple German words as "Materialschlacht"; <u>materielschlact</u> makes about as much sense as the remainder of your blabber.

Further on you write: "...And it justified the confident, arrogant, ruthless, violent behavior of many of its soldiers. This was particularly true on the Eastern Front when Germans considered the Russians, and Slavs in general, a barbarian race inferior to their own, against which the civilized rules of war applied to Western armies could be ignored. This terrible, racist war of annihilation fought against the Russians had its roots in a darker barbarian past in which German tribesmen had fought successive waves of invading Avars, Magyars, and Mongols, as well as Slavs..."

First of all, I think that those "German tribesmen" were absolutely justified in fighting invaders, wherever they came from. Only a fool would assume otherwise and you, perhaps, don't want to belong in that category, do you? Secondly, you are wrong again when you write such trash as that. Slowly, in the course of the last 50 years, the science of historiography has indeed advanced a bit. Yet somehow it seems to have passed you by without leaving any impression, otherwise you would know about Stalin's so-called "Torch-men Order". Here it is, for you to read and be ashamed of, if you are even capable of such a feeling:

"In other areas judged to be vulnerable to German occupation, NKVD forces, **frequently** in the uniform of German SS units, entered the towns and villages and, in a shocking display of barbarity, murdered, raped, burned, and pillaged the community, always mindful of the need to leave survivors who would endure as eyewitnesses to the fact that the Germans could not be seen as saviors. The same techniques were used in areas in which the Soviets were attempting to reestablish control. An NKVD-operated mock-German unit would wantonly destroy communities immediately prior to the arrival of the heroic Soviet partisan recruiters. Having just witnessed 'German' atrocities in their midst, the locals tended to be responsive to the Soviets who were attempting to organize anti-German resistance.

Once the NKVD authority was imposed, a partisan band was forced to engage the Germans without its customary tactics of surprise and mobility, the weapons that had enabled the groups to fight again. The predictable result was that partisans were killed by the thousands.

In the cases of relatively well-armed and disciplined anti-Soviet partisan groups whose leaders refused all Soviet attempts at neutralization, the favored NKVD tactic was to provide spurious intelligence to the Germans, identifying the group as Soviet-controlled, describing the unit's location and strength, and usually referring to an impending attack against a specified German target. The signal contained enough plausible information to provoke the Germans into a major effort against the partisans. Then the NKVD simply monitored the very efficient Germans as they destroyed the recalcitrant partisan group and served the Soviet interest."

"The New KGB: Engine of Soviet Power", p. 202, by William R. Corson and Robert T. Crowley.

Now, Mr. Newark, I think that says it all. I have provided you with the source where you can inform yourself some more and thus avoid the danger of making yourself again the village..., well, you know what I mean. So, the Germans had every reason to consider Stalin's barbaric hordes as what they were: Barbarians the like of which the world had not seen them before. And they were your comrades-in-arms.

Yes, Hitler considered the Soviets as sub-humans. In hindsight, and knowing how they conducted themselves one can only say that he was right. And these were your comrades, which doesn't reflect nicely on you. How anybody can claim that Germany was about to conquer the world - your friend Roosevelt did so - and be taken seriously is beyond the understanding of a person who is still able to think straight. The few years during the World Wars when large parts of Europe came under German rule prove nothing. It was militarily necessary, something you should understand. You, the Brits, were not too shy to occupy even friendly nations like Iceland, Greenland which was part of Denmark, Crete, Persia and others. You wanted to invade Norway, Denmark and Sweden. Not to mention the fact that you "acquired" the largest colonial empire ever.

You say "The roots of Germany's aggression and military success lie in its barbarian heritage". It may have escaped your attention, or maybe you missed this in your school days: the Angles and Saxons of England were Germanic tribes. My forefathers also belonged to Germanic tribes. How is it then that, according to your stunted logic, I am considered a barbarian and you a civilized man?

Later on you say "...the German tribes... inflicted one of the greatest defeats on the Roman army at the battle of the Teutoburger Wald in 9 A.D. when Arminius destroyed three Roman legions". That certainly was the case and I, as a German, am proud of it because the **Romans** were the invaders. But, again to use your special kind of logic, Arminius, the leader of the barbaric Teutons, then must have been the super-barbarian, must he not? Well, well, Mr. Newark, read what your fellow-Englishman Edward Shepherd Creasy writes in his book "Fifteen Decisive Battles of the World", on page 129:

"It may be added that an Englishman is entitled to claim a closer degree of relationship with Arminius than can be claimed by any German of modern Germany".

I am certain that you as a "military historian" are familiar with Mr. Creasy's book and that you know this passage. You just "forgot" it because it would not fit in your shriveled understanding of "military history". Or should you not know Mr. Creasy's book? Then you are for sure a rather odd historian. In either case, if one follows Mr. Creasy's argumentation, you are even more barbaric than "any German of modern Germany". Nice, eh? Ha, ha, ha!

Now, you barbarian, let's take a little closer look at the deeds modern English barbarians were committing, shall we? There is another fellow-countryman of yours, Mr. Wyndham Lewis. He is a special brand of barbarian, because he was aware of things long before they happened. He wrote the following (read on, it's military history):

"What Herr Hitler is required to do is to merge Germany into the league of monopolist states. The peace-loving nations are more heavily armed than you are, Herr Hitler, and have unlimited resources at their disposal, and they will unquestionably make war on you if you do not submit to their will and if you persist in going on with this Sovereign State Stuff". ("Count Your Dead: They are Alive", p. 318, by Wyndham Lewis, as quoted in "The New World Order & The Throne of The antiChrist" by Robert O'Driscoll, p. 231).

Or do you know what Lord Robert G. Vansittart, Undersecretary in the Foreign Office said in 1933? As a military historian you should:

"If Hitler fails, his successor will be Bolshevism; if he is successful, we will present him with a European war within five years."

Yes, yes, that's how precisely the Second World War was planned by you civilized barbarians.

One more quotation out of many I could present you, again from an Englishman:

"The Anglo-Saxons are gifted with a limitless capacity, particularly in international relations, for applying moral canons to others which they have never applied to themselves. How many Englishmen remember that the British Empire was mainly the result of successful naval and military wars in all parts of the Globe?"

This is from M. Harold Nicolson in his study "PEACE-MAKING 1919", as quoted in "The German Revolution" by H. Powys Greenwood, p. 14.

And it is known to the rest of the world as the special brand of British hypocrisy. It even has its own word: cant.

Oh yes, the British Empire: We Germans lost the last two wars. First having stood up for four years and then for another six years against almost all of the rest of the world and its "unlimited resources", as Mr. Wyndham Lewis puts it so nicely, I can say with justified pride: The Germans, without the shadow of a doubt, were the best. For you, the victors, this is not something to be so proud of, unless you have a very twisted mind; after all, it took you all you had, plus six years.

To answer the question contained in the title of your masterpiece: The Germans are good at fighting because they were always surrounded by neighbours eager to plunder like vultures that pounce on any weakness they detect, and this includes you also.

Yes, we lost the wars. But you could not win them either on your own, not even with the help of the French plus "your unlimited resources at your back", or even the Russians. The Americans were the decisive power that tipped fate in your favour. And **you** also lost very big: Your once so proud Empire is almost gone, something you can thank your great leader Churchill for. Only the Falkland Islands, Gibraltar, and maybe a few other barren rocks in a forgotten sea are left and even those you will lose someday just as you lost Hong Kong a few days ago. Maybe some day you will lose Scotland or Wales or both. Yes, it pleases me to see how you become smaller too. And it is of your own doing and it will not come back.

There is a lot more twaddle to be read in this production of your intellectually challenged mind. I will spare myself the trouble of analyzing the rest, but I will take care that others who will read this letter will also have a laugh at your expense - good and strong, as you Englishmen say. Grow a brain, Mr. Newark.

Have a nice day,

Walter Ruthard

Open letter

Mr. Carl Schoettler c/o The Toronto Star One Younge Street Toronto, Ont M5E 1E6 Walter Ruthard R. R. 1 Lisle, Ont. L0M 1M0

Sept. 12, 1997

Re: "Do surgeons use images of Nazis' victims?" The Toronto Star, August 9, 1997.

Dear Mr. Schoettler,

since I am not a regular reader of The Toronto Star, it took me a while to come across your article "Do surgeons...Famous anatomy text raises question of whether bad people can do good works", in the August 9, 1999 edition of your paper.

For the first time in a pretty long time, I had a hearty laugh when I read your article. As I thought about it more closely, the possible scenarios seemed almost limitless. Those old and long-decayed Nazis are still good for surprises of all sorts.

If I understand you correctly, Dr. Howard Spiro is in a real bind for using the *Pernkopf Anatomy* because its author was a "virulent Nazi" and created the seven-volume *Pernkopf Anatomy*, which seems to be the standard reference work for surgeons around the world.

Of course, Dr. Spiro is right when he demands that the *Pernkopf Anatomy* not be used. But surgeons do need help, unless their patients are to run the risk of losing, or receiving, the wrong parts - something Dr. Spiro certainly would not want.

So, the remedy would be that someone with the right (correct) credentials will have to reresearch what Pernkopf already did, and come up with the same but right (correct) results which perhaps this time could be named the Seven-Volume Dr. Spiro Anatomy. Sensitive surgeons can then use the right (correct) images of the human body to do their work for the benefit of their patients who, I presume, could not care less about who was the creator of the right (correct) reference work as long as they recover from their ordeal. Dr. Spiro must be in a real quandary, though. What if the surgeon working on him, because he might be stingy, still uses the wrong (correct) images created by Mr. Pernkopf? The result would be that Dr. Spiro would be wrongly cured, a horrible thought. Would he then be so courageous (and politically correct) to demand that his surgery be re-done, this time by a more sensitive surgeon using the right (correct) Seven-Volume Dr. Spiro Anatomy?

Now this is interesting: every time I try to be politically correct in this letter, I come up with this darned word "right", when I really want to say "left". The Nazis were "right(ist)", but wrong and Dr. Spiro is presumably "left(ist)", but right. Can you help me out, Mr. Schoettler? Pleeease?

As I stated above, Dr. Spiro is right (correct?) to denounce so-called works done by former Nazis. Where would mankind end up if everyone did them the honour of using their works?

And here it becomes downright frightful. There is a fertile field for right re-research, that must be done in order to clear the conscience of countless millions of people all over the world. You see, besides the *Pernkopf Anatomy*, there are other things the Nazis have perpetrated.

For instance, there was (yes, he is dead) Dr. Rudolph, the manager of NASA's Saturn V project that took Neil Armstrong and others to the moon, something that can't be un-done but certainly can be re-researched. Dr. Rudolph was shanghaied from his former Nazi homeland to the U.S.A. for the benefit of giving up his knowledge about rockets. Later, when they (the U.S.A.) did not need him anymore, they booted him out of the country - U.S. citizenship or no.

Certainly this was the honorable thing to do by the Americans, but using his knowledge even to-day, without re-research and coming up with something right is - pardon me - like being a schnorrer.

And, for heaven's sake, nobody but the Germans should fly to distant places in our Global Village. The Nazis (again!) were the ones who invented the jet engine. Don't use your fax machine, Mr. Schoettler: the Nazis invented it too and, horror above horror, they used it first during their military operations during the war. Also, don't forget to give your personal computer a kick worthy of a Canadian football player, because it was another Nazi who invented it, namely Herr Zuse who, lucky devil he is, is also dead now and therefore out of reach of the right people.

Or, consider what could already be read in 1946 in *Harper's Magazine* where Mr. Lester Walker reported that the capture of Nazi research on jet engines alone was measured by the ton-fifty tons to be exact. And this, according to Mr. Walker was only the tip of the iceberg. The Americans alone are now the "owners" of some fifteen hundred tons of Nazi science. Not to mention other beneficiaries like Brits, Canadians, Australians, New Zealanders and others. Mr. Walker goes on to state that the looting (my word) of German (Nazi) science after W W 2 is the "greatest single source of this type of material in the world, the first orderly exploitation of an entire country's brainpower". Call it pillaging, thievery or whatever you like, to me it looks like "orderly looting", something no-one can impute to the Nazis, and nobody can ask them to re-invent it because they are dead.

So, Mr. Schoettler (and to use your own words), the Nazis not only "rewarded Pernkopf" - they are still rewarding people like you and Dr. Spiro who are not ashamed to use Nazi technology in their daily lives. And, Mr. Schoettler, distance yourself from you family name - it sounds so, hm, hm, German.

It's a corrupt world, isn't it? And so hypocritical.

Thank you for the fun I had,

Walter Ruthard

OPEN LETTER

Mr. Brian Loring Villa c/o The Globe and Mail 444 Front Street West Toronto, Ont. M5V 2S9 Walter Ruthard R.R. 1 Lisle, Ont. L0M 1M0

November 4, 1997

Re: "Crimes and rumours of crimes", The Globe and Mail, Saturday, Oct. 18, 1997.

Dear Mr. Villa,

I have read your review of Mr. James Bacque's book "Crimes and Mercies". Though I did not have the time to read the book itself yet, since it is essentially the same as the German version "Verschwiegene Schuld" I am taking the liberty to add my comments on your critique in the *Globe and Mail*. I do not agree with everything Mr. Bacque wrote and I told him so, just as I am telling you now that you are even more wrong than be is. But I would think that the points we disagree with are cause for cheers on your part.

I would have expected a historian like you to come up with at least a few facts and numbers, backed up with incontestable proof that Mr. Bacque is wrong in the points you question. Instead, all you provide your readers are allegations that Mr. Bacque falsified statistics to suit his aims. Polemics are not enough for intelligent readers who want facts, Mr. Villa! Where are these facts?

As a "historian" - can't you do better? Isn't this attitude of yours the proverbial arrogance of the "professional" versus the autodidact who isn't as stupid as you would like him to be and the gullible public be damned?

Indeed, Mr. Villa, there is "the most serious of questions: Did the right side win"?, as you put it. Millions of Germans were killed by the Allies in the East and the West after the war. Mr. Bacque gives you the numbers and it is you who has to prove him wrong by providing us with better numbers than Mr. Bacque and not just with a smoke screen of cheap words. The fact alone that the victors rigged the Nuremberg Trials by looking only at one side and being the accuser and the judge at the same time, using 'laws' that did not exist at the time the 'crimes' were 'committed', is cause enough to believe that 'something is rotten in the state of Denmark'.

You say that "... it is impossible - completely impossible - for this scale of unreported disease, death and secret burials in postwar Germany to have taken place unnoticed".

It has been noticed, Mr. Villa, it has indeed. Read up on it, for instance, in "Gruesome Harvest" by Ralph Franklin Keeling, ISBN 0-939484-40-4. It provides you with a wealth of documents from the time in question - something you "historians of any note" are usually so eager to uncover or leave "unnoticed", to use your expression, and which should prompt you to rush and buy the book. There are more sources of information of interest to you than just Mr. Keeling's book, but I will stick here to "Gruesome Harvest" and provide you with some examples - just so that you can't plead ignorance.

Dr. Lawrence Meyer, Executive Secretary of the Lutheran Church, Missouri Synod, after returning from Germany, said on January 13, 1946:

"Germany literally swarms with children. Eight children per family is nothing extraordinary. Millions of these children must die before there is enough food. In Frankfort [sic] at a children's hospital there have been set aside 25 out of 100 children. These will be fed and kept alive. It is better to feed 25 enough to keep them alive and let 75 starve than to feed 100 for a short while and let them all starve". Page 67.

The Bishop of Chichester:

"Thousands of bodies are hanging in the trees in the woods around Berlin and nobody bothers to cut them down. Thousands of corpses are carried into the sea by the Oder and Elbe rivers - one doesn't notice it any longer. Thousands and thousands are starving in the highways...Children roam the highways alone, their parents shot, dead, lost". Page 62.

The New York Times, April 1946:

"Like Russia's half-wild vagabonds after World War I, Germany's youth is on the road... because there was not enough to eat at home. Homeless, without papers or ration cards... these groups rob Germans and displaced persons. They are... wandering aimlessly, disillusioned, dissolute, diseased, and without guidance". Page 62.

Dorothy Thompson:

"In Berlin, in August, 1945, out of 2,866 children born, 1,148 died, and it was summer, and the food more plentiful than now.... From Vienna a reliable source reports that... infant mortality is approaching 100 per cent". Page 67.

Edd Johnson of P.M., on October 3, 1945, wrote from Germany:

"The infant mortality rate is 16 times as high today as in 1943... There is going to be a definite age group elimination. Most children under 10 and people over 60 cannot survive the coming winter". Page 68.

United Press from Berlin, February 8, 1946:

"Official announcement that two German women had been murdered and their flesh sold on a food black market aroused fear today that organized gangs of human butchers were at work here. Spokesmen for the criminal investigation department of the German police said only two cases of murder-for-flesh had been established but that it was possible the butchers were operating on a much larger scale, killing their victims and peddling their flesh in local black markets". Page 68.

I myself lived in the French Occupation Zone, where the daily food ration amounted to less than 900 calories. *Try to live on this for a while, Mr. Villa. It might do wonders for your figure.* I saw countless people from the cities peddling their possessions for a few scraps of food in the countryside. They were luckier than others who had nothing left to peddle because of the murderous terror bombings of the Allies. I saw some of my teachers collapse in front of their classes from hunger. I lost a sister to starvation and I spent many backbreaking hours searching the empty stubble fields for a few grains of wheat, only to see French soldiers then bursting into our home and plundering, besides other things, what was to be the meager meal for the next day. In the City of Freiburg, in 1946, not one newborn child survived. And I know that it wasn't rosier in all the rest of the country, occupied by the "Christian Soldiers", to use the phrase coined by Winston Churchill.

"Christian Soldiers"? Didn't they hail from the good ole U.S. of A., Canada, Australia, New Zealand and, not to forget, the motherland of all these countries, Great Britain? Yes, from your history alone one can surmise with quite some justification that you as the victors were really capable of doing what you did in Germany after WW 2. Think of the Australians, hunting the aborigines of Tasmania into complete extinction, for sport. The New Zealanders were only marginally more humane. Think of the black slaves who died to the tune of some twelve million even before they reached the shores of America. Think of the millions of Red Indians who were hunted, starved, and exterminated in other ingenious ways. Have you ever read how the Yankees treated their own countrymen in the South? What happened to the natives of Newfoundland, Mr.

[the ending of this letter is not found]

Dear Janet, Maurice and Penny!

Happy New Year to all of you and thanks for the Christmas wishes. The following would be a contribution for the next Dialogue which I hope is okay with you. Could you please confirm that you received this? I don't know how this e-mail address will work. Warmest regards, Herta and family.

"Heaven and Hell", submitted by McCullough and Bruce: what a great parable! Heaven where people happily feed each other, that was Germany's National Socialism: "One for all and all for one" and "Common good before self-interest". And the people indeed were happy. Sefton Delmer, British Chief of Black Propaganda during WW2, in his book "Die Deutschen und ich" (The Germans and I), Hamburg 1961, p. 288, wrote: "one can say what one wants about it today: in 1936 Germany was a flourishing, happy nation. Her face had the glow of a woman in love. And the Germans were in love – in love with Hitler... And they had every reason to be grateful. Hitler had vanquished unemployment and brought them new economic prosperity. He had given the Germans a new consciousness of their national strength and their national mission."

Hitler had stated that "National Socialism is not for export". There is a big difference between National Socialism and International Socialism, which is Communism.

Shall we take another look into "Hell" where those poor souls are starving in front of the full trough: this must be International Socialism or Global Capitalism (which are actually two sides of the same coin). But: wasn't Hell under Hitler? For 60 years we are told that EVERYTHING in connection with the Third Reich is evil. Now I am really confused as to what is Heaven and what is Hell? Can somebody enlighten me?

Mr. Harvey, in his article on page 43, accuses me of re-writing history, although it has to be done for the sake of truth because history written by the victors is one-sided and therefore ALWAYS false.

"Immer noch schreibt der Sieger die Geschichte der Besiegten. Dem Erschlagenen entstellt der Schläger die Züge. Aus der Welt geht der Schwächere Und zurück bleibt die Lüge."

(The victor still writes the history of the vanquished. The slayer disfigures the face of the slain. The weaker leaves the world, And what remains is the lie.) Bertolt Brecht, 1898-1956, world famous German poet.

Exactly this is what happened with Germany: she was slain, her face disfigured, and the lie stayed behind.

I am only trying to set the record straight to the best of my knowledge and ability, and certainly not "through a Goebbels-like perspective". I am not a historian but I escaped the brainwashing of the German nation and I started to think for myself. Too bad you couldn't also do the latter, that's why you are still munching that pablum of lies and misinformation which has been spoon-fed to you all of your life. I am really sorry if I hurt your feelings, but is this the world you want for your grandchildren? Where every lie will give birth to a bigger one? I will reach into the huge reservoir of lies and fish out just one: the lie of the "Malmedy Massacre", where the commander Jochen Peiper found himself in a situation in which the smart Allies would have decided to make no prisoners. But those Germans, evil as they were, left hundreds of disarmed (but not searched) POWs in the care of only one guard. He was killed by them, the POWs re-armed themselves and went into battle again where they were shot by Germans in a legal fight. This is in a nutshell how it happened, but who knows about it? Certainly not Mr. Harvey.

I grew up right in the middle of the greatest tragedy that ever befell a nation. I was expelled in 1946 from my country of birth, the Sudetenland, at the age of 14. From a country that had been ours for more than 2,000 years. That's right, two thousand years! This is not history written by me, this is a fact and available for anybody to find out. Smashed Germany took us in and together with us refugees Germany experienced its "Wirtschaftswunder" (economic miracle). When I left my second homeland in 1974 for Canada, Germany did not need me anymore. But today? It pains me, being without roots. From 1946 til 1974, I grew new roots, strong and healthy, in the German soil. When my husband decided to go to Canada, this time I uprooted myself to go with him. Canada opened her border for us but not her heart. Not for a German who has to forget his pride and honour and has to accept living under the lie and being a third-class citizen. I could cry when I see what this country did to my husband in the course of 30 years. Canada failed her Germans miserably. All of them. Every criminal, fraud or welfare bum finds a more friendly environment than a German does. Some might disagree with me but they sure don't give a damn about pride and honour. Are these the better German-Canadians?

"Germany armed to the teeth", Mr. Smith? Her enemies saw it differently; see the picture from the Evening Post. The armament data at that time are all available in black and white, one has only to look for them. Germany just had the better soldiers. And she was forced to prepare herself very quickly in order to keep her hostile neighbours in check. The Poles were so eager to make war with Germany, egged on by British propaganda claims that it would be a cake-walk for them (does that sound familiar?) that common sense was totally blacked out and the blindly incensed soldiers even fell victim to their own government's propaganda which told them that the Germans only had tanks made of cardboard. That rumor stemmed from a sighting where the Germans indeed used tank mock-ups made from cardboard in maneuvers. "The brave Polish soldiers that had believed their own propaganda had to pay for this with their blood and the lives of their horses. The tanks, against which they rode armed with lances, were real." Source: "Reporter der Hölle" by Georg Schmidt-Scheeder, p. 93. And they were so sure of a quick victory that when the Germans took Warsaw, they found in the government building an oil painting, not even quite dry yet, that showed the Polish Marshal and dictator Rydz-Smigly riding on horseback through the Brandenburg Gate in Berlin.

Sincerely

Herta Ruthard

Mr. Les Allison Box 129 Roland, Manitoba, R0G 1T0 Herta Ruthard 7638 Conc. Rd. 2, R. R. 1 Lisle, Ontario L0M 1M0

March 8, 2007

Dear Mr. Allison,

please do not take some of what I am writing here personally; I always will remember what you said to me during our first telephone conversation: "I am pro-German" and I thank you for that but I feel that the other side also has to be told. This will be a long letter and in it I will try to set the record straight a little bit.

1

After reading the story of a Canadian air-man, "Bail Out", many thoughts crossed my mind. One always has to take into consideration that Germany was the victim of a criminal, illegal offensive war which was instigated by lies, misinformation and the like by the unscrupulous governments of Great Britain, France and America. Exactly the same situation exists today in Iraq and Afghanistan, to name only two of the countries recently invaded by criminal governments on the flimsiest of pretexts. The reason why this became to be known so quickly are the modern means of communication like Television and the Internet. Imagine, if the bombing raids over German cities had been shown to the public, for example the slaughter of a million inhabitants of Dresden, that war would have drawn heavy protest from the citizenry all over the world. Then, maybe not!

When we talked together on the telephone you mentioned the execution of Canadians by the Germans. I told you that it was an act of retaliation, and you will understand why, when you read the enclosed letter by Roger Lagassé from B.C. and also what Kurt Meyer had to say regarding this matter.

From Wikipedia, the on-line encyclopedia: Kurt Meyer reports as follows on the treatment of German prisoners of war by Canadian troops:

"On June 7th I was given the notepad of a Canadian Captain. In addition to handwritten orders, the notes instructed: 'no prisoners were to be taken'. Several Canadian prisoners were asked whether these instructions were correct [...] and they said that they were under orders to take no prisoners if prisoners hindered progress."

Consequently, Meyer is said to have ordered: "What are we to do with these prisoners? They only eat our rations. In future we shall take no more prisoners."

178

The Canadian company commander Major Jaques D. Dextraze said, in circumstantial confirmation of Meyer's accusation:

"We passed the river – the bridge had been blasted. [...] We took 85 prisoners. I chose an officer and told him, 'take them back to the POW cage.' He walked back and ordered them to run [...] to the bridge. These men had already run several miles. They arrived at the bridge exhausted, [but the officer said:] No no, you're not taking the bridge, you're swimming. Now the men fell into the water. Most of them drowned. [...] After that they were fished out of the water by the engineers repairing the bridge. I felt very bad when I saw them all stacked up beside the bridge [...]

"Penny" – what a story life has written! Thank you for sharing it with me! – when I came to "... We saw the odd individual who couldn't do any more flyings ... the fear of being classified as LMF ...", I was reminded of the story of Mr. Frank Clark, "I ask forgiveness". The tragedy in it is that many of these young men who were so terribly misused by their own governments, became themselves victims of their own consciences, because maybe deep down in their inner being they sensed that what they were doing was wrong.

Then there were the soldiers from the other side. The German soldiers or even the Hitler Youth, still children, didn't have problems like that, because they knew what was at stake and what they were fighting for, namely for the survival of not only of Germany (they learned of the extermination plan – but more of that later) but the whole of Europe; that's why so many volunteers of these countries joined the Waffen-SS.

Yes, they all knew what was at stake and none of them, if they survived was a basked-case for the psychiatrist or suffered from post-traumatic stress disorder. When I think of the German pilots who threw themselves onto the Oder bridges in order to stem the Soviet advance on Berlin, or the German pilots who rammed the British and American bombers after spending their last rounds (heroism of the highest order – without that special religious belief that they would enter paradise right away after death) then tears come to my eyes because all these acts of supreme heroism were in vain, the descendants of those heroes are now spitting on their father's graves like the son of General Manfred Rommel, the "Desert Fox", and many others as well.

But back to "Bail Out": here we have again the result of governmental brainwashing. How many of these "terrorfliegers" who were shot down over German cities which they just had bombed, and complained about German civilians who wanted to "murder" them, were convinced that they had a strong case and the other side deserved what they were dishing out to them? Mr. John T. Saxon answers this question: "The Germans asked for it, and we gave it to them, good and strong." Sadly, this belief exists in many of North America's veterans of WW II and especially in the post-war generations. Their erroneous beliefs are fueled and confirmed by the German post-war generations who went through such a powerful re-education or brainwashing that their raped minds insist that they are guilty of deeds their forefathers never did, and throw into jail everyone who holds a different opinion. The Austrians, by the way, are not better. This is totally insane but is exactly what their conquerors planned for them and eventually executed successfully.
The following are a few example that demonstrate just how sick the Germans have been made: "Bomber Harris do it again!" "Danger to the state through jacket buttons?" And if your birthday happens to be January 30th you may be taken into police custody. January 30, 1996 was the 63rd anniversary of Hitler's coming to power. In order to prevent 20-year-old B. and birthday guests possibly taking advantage of this date in order to celebrate, B. was taken into preventive custody at 12:45 a.m. on January 29th, until 6 o'clock a.m. on 31 January. After the young man was released, he asked, "Am I supposed to hold it against my mother that she gave birth to me on January 30th?" His advice: "Parents should be careful when they plan to have children. Certain days beg imprisonment." See enclosures. The irony is that these Germans are carrying out their self-punishment with German thoroughness.

Now to that plan for genocide on the Germans. There were actually three different such plans! Mr. Theodore Kaufman, in March of 1941, published his book "Germany Must Perish" in which he described in great detail how this would be accomplished. By means of sterilization of the entire German population, employing some 20,000 physicians, he calculated that this endeavour would take about half a year! It was enthusiastically received by President Roosevelt and the Americans when it was published. This plan was never carried out but the fact that a human brain, even a very sick one, could even think of such an atrocity convinced the Germans that they would not receive any humane consideration once they lost the war. Hence their fight to the bitter end and hence the loss of millions of lives, and not just German ones, in addition to the ones already lost. The Kaufman Plan had been abandoned after the war because it was decided it was better to collect billions of golden eggs and multi-trillions of litres of milk from German laying hens and milch cows. Then there was the so-called Morgenthau Plan to starve the Germans and reduce them to a mere 30 million, down from the 75 before the war. This was to be accomplished by destroying their industrial base and throwing them back to pre-industrial times. This plan was carried out until the Cold War started between the Allies and Soviet Russia. Then the Germans were again deemed good enough to help out with their soldiers. Because of the Morgenthau Plan some 9 million Germans starved to death after the war amidst of plenty of surplus food in the surrounding countries. The third plan is still carried out today, some 61 years after Germany's defeat. It is the Hooton Plan, named for Prof. Ernest Albert Hooton, professor of anthropology at Harvard University. He suggested that "the German's lust for militarism ought to be bred out of them by immigration of men from Third World countries". This plan is now in full swing and it is my estimation that in a few hundred years there will be no more Germans left. But not only the Germans will disappear from the face of the earth the white populations of the rest of Europe, including Great Britain, will as well, and like it or not, from Canada, the USA, Australia and New Zealand, in fact from everywhere were Whites are living in larger numbers. By the way, all three authors of these genocidal plans belonged to the Jewish faith.

Now you know why the Hitler Youth were "fanatics", everyone of them a hero with their sacred duty to protect their mothers, their fatherland, their ALL. By the way, "what for Americans was 'heroic' was for the Germans called 'stubborn' or 'fanatical'", "Hell in the Huertgen", TBR enclosed. I wish you would tell Ray Rudd (War Time Memories) about this, what those "fanatics" knew but Ray Rudd and all the others did not.

I often wonder how different the outcome of WW II would have been had the Germans used the same defensive "weapons" against the invaders on the ground, as the Muslims in Iraq, Afghanistan etc. are doing, namely sacrificing their bodies in suicide attacks against the aggressors. Maybe the Allies also would have had to "cut and run" as they soon will be forced to do from their illegal battle grounds just like they had to "cut and run" from Vietnam.

Maybe Germany could be a free country now and no American, British, Dutch, Belgian and French military bases would exist there. Not that I am in favour of such religious fanatical means of defense, but it just comes to my mind that this could have been the outcome of WW II at the end with a defense like this.

There is so much similarity between Germany and Iraq. Both have been bombed into the stone age; the one being the center of cultural art and architecture the other the cradle of civilization. All lost forever by the deeds of inhuman barbarians. Did you know that the Allied even bombed Pompeii and Herculaneum (Italy) in August and September 1944, two ancient cities that had not been populated since 79 A.D. because they were buried under the ashes of Mount Vesuvius?

War experiences of the civilian populations differ. Of the Americans, for example, it was said that they were "Russians in creased pants" because their uniforms were well cared-for but they too raped the German women. Instead of using brute force they offered chocolate and candies to the hungry women who often were at the brink of starvation. And the Canadians? Philippe Gautier, a Frenchman who saw his "liberators" in action in 1944, wrote in his book "Deutschenangst - Deutschenhass" (Fear of Germans - Hate of Germans) on page 11 "When the first Canadians marched into Caen,... the French spat in their faces, and in the port city LeHavre, which had been deliberately reduced to ashes with phosphorus bombs, the British saw flags, some with black ribbons, being flown at half-mast from the windows of houses that were still standing."

During the infamous "Raid on Dieppe" the local French were helping the German defenders by erecting barricades, bringing ammunition to the front and in general telling the Germans where they could find the Canadians! As a measure of appreciation, Hitler ordered 10,000 French prisoners of war released to their loved ones in that area!

During the German occupation of France, if a French woman claimed that she had been raped by a German soldier, he faced court-martial and almost certain death by firing squad. One case is well known, when the accused was proven innocent but executed anyway because the strict discipline of the German army needed to be proved to the French. As in any real civil society – and these days are long gone – a gentleman stepped aside when approached by a lady on a narrow sidewalk, and this was also the case in occupied France. French women were treated like ladies and our women were treated like disposables, raped and murdered by a soldiery without any morals!

So many tragedies, so may fates!

And very few German girls were fortunate enough to come across a gentleman in uniform like you

have been, Mr. Allison, and I am sure you were not alone. This too must be said for the sake of justice.

But after reading war stories from different sources, something becomes very clear and it is sad for us former enemies: with a few exceptions, these veterans are still fighting WW II and they will see in us the enemy until they die, and will never have realized that they were so very wrong. To make matters worse, their memories become intertwined with a mix of real experiences and hearsay; for example, "The Long Ride to Buchenwald:, 'Clark said thousands came and thousands disappeared via the crematoria." Here you have it! Has anybody thought for a moment how ridiculous this is, just in light of how long it takes to cremate one single body? Ask your local crematorium.

On page 76 in the book "The Case for Germany" which I have sent to you, Dr. Laurie said: "Looking back on those years before the war (WW I, H.R.) I realize that an insidious propaganda against Germany had been carried on for a long time, – why or by whom or with what intend I do not know, – which was gradually poisoning our minds."

Mr. Allison, you must know by now what Dr. Laurie didn't in his time, don't you? For over half a century no day has gone by on which the minds of the peoples, their lives, their souls, their characters have not been poisoned, all over the world!

What has changed for the Germans since? Well, for as long as they are paying the pensions for those they allegedly killed, and for their descendants too of course, and for as long as they are supplying Israel with everything it wants (the whole infrastructure there was paid for by Germany), and as long as they are paying reparations until the year 2016 (originating from the First World War!!!), and for as long as they agree to serve as pay-master for all the EU countries (also the brilliant idea of the global do-gooders), and for as long as their "military men" let themselves be used as "pawns in foreign policies", and as long as (repeat infinitum) ... they are left in "peace", so it seems. They are not in danger of a military action. But the extermination of a white people is progressing nonetheless, as I said before.

"Military men are just dumb animals to be used as pawns in foreign policy." Henry Kissinger, quoted in "Kiss the Boys Goodbye: How the United States Betrayed Its Own POWs in Vietnam".

Remember the American soldier who sought refugee in Canada? Only ONE who realized that he was used for a crime, amongst so many who were willing to participate! A quotation comes to my mind from a German: "Stell dir vor, es ist Krieg und keiner geht hin!" (Imagine if they had a war, and nobody came!) Yes, this would be the solution.

Every soldier in the German Wehrmacht had the "Ten Commandments" written in his pay book: instructions on how to behave towards their enemy, and these were strictly enforced. Harold Martin is one example: the enemy saved his life, he lay helpless on the ground and the SS-tank could have easily rolled over him, instead they stopped and took him to safety.

Now I will go with you into the "Hürtgenwald". Do you hear the desperate voice of an American soldier, "Help me ...

It was the voice of a wounded American crying for help in the middle of the German minefield - a no-man's-land separating the warring sides. Both sides had already sustained heavy casualties. As Ernest Hemingway put it: "Whoever survived in the Hürtgenwald must have had a guardian angel on each shoulder."

Lieutenant Lengfeld ordered his men not to fire if Americans came to rescue the man. But nobody came. The soldier's weak voice could be heard for hours. "Help me," he called over and over again. At 10:30 that morning Lieutenant Lengfeld could not bear the cries any longer. He put together a rescue troop, complete with Red Cross vests and flags, and led his men towards the wounded American - but he did not make it. As he approached the wounded soldier he stepped on a mine, and the shrapnel tore deep holes in his body. Eight hours later Friedrich Lengfeld was dead. The fate of the wounded American is not known. For the young lieutenant the voice crying for help was not the enemy's voice that day. Neither was it the voice of an American, nor even that of a stranger. It was the voice of a human being in distress. Something inside Lengfeld forced him to act! A deep sense of compassion, so strong and compelling that not even the insanity of war could block and impede it.

This excerpt is from the book "Menschlichkeit im Krieg" (Humanity in War). See also the article in TBR, "Hell in the Huertgen".

Next I will take you to the battlefield of Arnheim.

In September 1944 the Allied forces in the Netherlands launched a major campaign code-named "Market Garden". It was to hasten the war's end, but it ended in a fiasco. After just a few days, after some initial successes, the British got into a very difficult situation near Arnheim and were under attack from all sides. As of September 21st the numerous wounded in the battle zone around Oosterbeek could no longer be cared for. At this point the British General Urquhart informed his Supreme Command in London of the desperate situation. Since the Germans had long been in possession of the British ciphers they learned of the dire situation of the British wounded.

The leader of the 9th SS-Division "Hohenstaufen", Lieutenant Colonel Walter Harzer, suggested to his division physician Dr. Egon Salka to offer the British their help. On September 24, equipped with all powers-of-attorney, Dr. Salka crossed the main battle line into the encircled area of Oosterbeek in a captured jeep filled with first-aid materials. On the jeep's hood sat a captured British soldier holding a Red Cross flag.

To make a long dramatic story short, Dr. Salka provided medication and supplies to the former British field hospital in the Elizabeth Hospital, which had fallen into German hands and which he now put at the disposal of the British doctors for the accommodation and care of the severely wounded British soldiers. In this way, the Medical Corps of the Waffen-SS under Dr. Salka saved the lives of more than 2,200 English in the course of the battle. As thanks, Harzer and Dr. Salka got to spend several years after the war in Allied POW and internment camps.

(Excerpt from the same book.)

I could go on and on, but why do we read or hear so very little, if anything at all, of humanity shown by the other side, by the people who set out to exterminate the Germans? Be it the Russians, the French, the Canadians or the Americans? I think it was because they were indoctrinated to such a degree by their governments with a handful of power brokers at the top that all they could still see in the Germans was evil incarnate, and nothing human deserving humane treatment anymore. Just look at Mr. Churchill: "I do not want suggestions as to how we can disable the economy and the machinery of war; what I want are suggestions as to how we can roast the German refugees on their escape from Breslau."

And look at Hemingway, who killed an "SS-Kraut" because he refused to betray his escape route signs. The "Kraut" wouldn't believe Hemingway's threats because they would have violate the Geneva Convention. "Brother, you're wrong, I said, and shot him three times in the stomach and then, when he dropped to his knees, once more in the head so that his brains came out his mouth or nose." ("Selected Letters.")

The cruelty is breathtaking! Such ideas were alien to the German leadership and to the German people, that's why humanity in war could prevail on their side.

It is unbelievable that even sixty years after the war there are still people around who are going into the schools and poisoning the impressionable minds of young children by telling horror stories of what they allegedly went through at the hands of the Nazis. And this activity is allowed, if not downright encouraged, by the various governments: inciting hatred against the Germans. We are living in a very, very sick world.

Now I will end my long epistle with the hope that terrorized mankind may finally realize who the real terrorists are, and will put an end to it so that peace and freedom can prevail. The alternative would be ARMAGEDDON or nuclear winter; Israel's and America's huge nuclear stockpiles are of great concern. Israel has threatened to use her nuclear weapons; the other country already used them in 1945, and is threatening to use them again. Let us all work for peace and freedom with the help of knowledge of world history; prayers alone will not be enough.

With best regards,

Herta Ruthard

Open Letter

Mr. Bruce Rodgers c/o TV Ontario P.O. Box 200 2180 Young St. Toronto, On M4T 2T1 Herta Ruthard R.R. 1 Lisle, ON, L0M 1M0

February 25, 2000

Re: "Monsignor Renard", TVO, February 24, 2000.

Dear Mr. Rodgers,

This film is one of the most revolting, offensive and mendacious anti-German hate films I have ever seen - and THAT right on the heels of your call for donations in support of TVO!

It is a clear message to all German-Canadians: TVO doesn't want or need YOUR assistance, you bloody, murderous German bastards!

So be it.

I just hope that all my fellow German-Canadians who watched this piece of supreme filth come to the same conclusion. But let me tell you what the reality looked like. In the words of the Frenchman Philippe Gautier:

"They behave properly: They stand at attention before the Grave of the Unknown Soldier.

They behave properly: They offer their places to the women in the Metro.

They behave properly: They assist the elderly and the children.

They behave properly: They do not accost girls in the street.

They behave properly: They respect orders and decorations.

They behave properly: They speak of the French soldiers' bravery.

They behave properly ...

This is what perhaps surprises the vanquished the most: They had expected to be invaded by a horde that strikes quickly, rapes, loots and murders. Instead they are faced with disciplined soldiers who display a somewhat mechanical politeness, a somewhat vain courtesy, a somewhat condescending friendliness, and they can only describe their demeanor as 'proper'. Some of them would speak of 'chivalry', 'sense of honor' or even of 'gallantry'... But the refrain that fills these first days - the chorus that can be heard in the line-ups, offices and cafés and would soon even make its appearance on the front pages of newspapers in the occupied zone, is this 'they behave properly', the expression of relief and astonishment of a people that had been expecting the apocalypse." (Thanks to the anti-German propaganda which TVO continues to perpetuate to this day. H.R.)

These are the words of a Frenchman: Mr. Philippe Gautier, writing in his book La

Germanophobie. And Gautier ought to know!

But how differently the French behaved when Germans fell into the hands of their Resistance:

"A transport of prisoners arrives at a square in Poitiers. ... Suddenly a women in the crowd yells: 'Dirty boches! Murderers!'. An empty bottle comes flying through the air. It hits a German right in the face. The crowd roars with joy. On the terrace of the café 'Le Jet d'eau' people snatch up whatever is lying around to continue their game of marksmanship.

The maquisards of the guard decide to join in. They order ten prisoners to get out and begin to take shots at them with guns and pistols. Soon ten dead bodies are lying in the street. One of them still moves, and an FFI walks up and plants a bullet right in his face."

(This reminds me vividly of the massacre engaged in by those lousy German marksmen and the final bullet of mercy for the poor "kid" Etienne, whose blood paints the house wall as though someone had butchered a hog. H.R.)

"The crowd claps. All this takes place before dinner. Poitiers is a peaceful city." Quoted from the same book.

These are the actions of incited people exposed day in, day out to the Allied muzak of anti-German hate propaganda - à la TVO, 60 years after the fact. But once they realized the difference between the Allied "liberators" and the German occupation forces, the situation began to look somewhat different:

"When the first Canadians marched into Caen, ... the French spat in their faces, and in the port city Le Havre, which had been deliberately reduced to ashes with phosphorus bombs, the British saw flags, some with black ribbons, being flown at half-mast from the windows of houses that were still standing....

"And in five years we had managed not to meet so much as one single cruel, brutal, barbaric German - a remarkable feat indeed, when one listens to the people today who never lived through those much-cursed times ..." Philippe Gautier's words as well.

TVO does Canada a great disservice with its relentless anti-German activities. Shame on you!

In utmost outrage

Herta Ruthard

Open Letter

Mr. Bruce Rodgers c/o TV Ontario P.O. Box 200 2180 Young St. Toronto, On M4t 2T1 Walter Ruthard R.R. 1 Lisle, ON, L0M 1M0

March 1, 2000

My remarks to your series "Monsignor Renard".

Dear Mr. Rodgers,

having grown up in the French Occupation Zone after 1945, I am well qualified to weigh the conduct of the Germans as you picture them in France from 1940 till 1944 versus the French behaviour in Germany after 1945.

I can assure you that the Germans' behaviour, even as distorted as it is portrayed in "Monsignor Renard", was incomparably better and a lot more humane than the French I remember. I was there, I saw it, I know.

We Germans had no rights whatsoever. A crowd of more than three Germans was considered as an insurrection against the French military, and severely punished. We were pushed off the sidewalks when a French soldier approached. French soldiers kidnaped my younger brother, who was only 10 years old at the time, severely beat him up and later threw him out of their moving truck 10 kilometers from home. Certainly German police had no pistols, unlike the French policemen during the German occupation of France, who, according to the French author Philippe Gautier (La Germanophobie, 1997 by Editions de l'Æncre, Paris), were even allowed to carry 20 (twenty) rounds of ammunition together with their pistols. You can see them in "Monsignor Renard". The French looted our houses and stole everything valuable in sight, jewelry, cutlery, you name it. They even stole our food - if there was any at all - leaving us with a daily allowance of little more than 900 calories to "live" on. Try this for a week and see how it feels. We certainly had no rabbits to skin, let alone to eat like "Monsignor Renard". In the city of Freiburg, for a whole year, no newborn baby survived, thanks to the lack of food. I saw teachers of mine collapse in front of us from hunger. We had no radios or record players, they were impounded by the representatives of the Grande Nation (ha!). So there was no need for us to listen to any radio broadcast, let alone to "look forward to our liberation" (by whom?). Under the French occupation there also was no incentive for us to dance, because they stole even our musical instruments. All we could listen to were our empty stomachs growling. We went barefoot in the summer because we had to save our shoes for winter. After the soles were worn off, we replaced them with wooden ones. We had no cameras. We had no motorcycles like "Monsignor Renard", not even bicycles, and there was no coal to heat our houses. There was little incentive to go to the nearest "bistro", let alone talk about the French. No firecrackers to scare them. There were no fur collars for German ladies: they had been stolen by the French. I could go on a lot longer.

What infuriated me most in your last installment was the rape scene of the daughter of the local bistro owner. It was deliberately shown in such a way that your average TVO viewer had to imagine that the rapist was the German soldier. This was a repugnant and dirty lie so typical of this anglo-saxon filth. Later, after the girl had the abortion and her father assumed also that its cause must have been the German, he vowed to take revenge and kill him, which proves that my assessment of the rape scene is correct. Finally, after realizing that the real rapist was Etienne, a Frenchman, he oddly enough did not utter one syllable. My conclusion: Frenchmen (Englishmen, Americans, Canadians too?) are morally superior to Germans, even if they are rapists. And this is the lesson your slanted miniseries communicates to the gullible Canadian viewer.

And now I will give you a few examples of how the Germans actually behaved in occupied France. First of all, they did not conquer all of it, although they could have if they wanted. Southern France remained free and even retained her naval fleet as long as the relations between Germany and Vichy-France remained amicable. The following is from Philippe Gautier (*La Germanophobie*, 1997 by Editions de l'Æncre, Paris) whom I mentioned above. Much of what he writes about his experiences with the German occupiers is reflected by another Frenchman, Pierre de Pringet, in *La collaboration*, 1981.

To reflect the scene in "Monsignor Renard", where the German officer offers a truckload of bread to the "starving" Frenchmen: this happened not only there but in other places as well:

"What a wonderful surprise: the little people of Le Havre who had remained in their home town because material, financial or other reasons prevented them from leaving discovered an enemy army that virtually jumped through hoops to solve the primarily humanitarian problems. The Wehrmacht did not stop at words - they followed up with action. When the refugees of Le Havre returned in July and August 1940 from their pointless, exhausting flight, coming back to their city which was still plagued by starvation, they were received by women and girls from the National Socialist People's Welfare Association (an organization similar to the Catholic Salvation Army or the Workers' Welfare Association). With the help of some French women volunteers, they passed out basic staple foods to the pitiable homecomers."

And when the Germans finally left, the British came. They were, of course, the perfect gentlemen:

"After the Germans moved out, ... the English took over. But unlike the soldiers of the Wehrmacht, they fed their food surplus not to the villagers, but to the pigs."

A remarkable difference, isn't it? There is more:

"This is what perhaps surprises the vanquished the most: They had expected to be invaded by a horde that strikes quickly, rapes, loots and murders. Instead they are faced with disciplined soldiers who display a somewhat mechanical politeness, a somewhat vain courtesy, a somewhat condescending friendliness, and they can only describe their demeanor as 'proper'. Some of them would speak of 'chivalry', 'sense of honor' or even of 'gallantry'... But the refrain that fills these first days - the chorus that can be heard in the line-ups, offices and cafés and would soon even make its appearance on the front pages of newspapers in the occupied zone, is this 'they behave properly', the expression of relief and astonishment of a people that had been expecting the apocalypse."

Jean-Marc de Foville, L'Entrée des Allemands à Paris, 14 juin 1944, Calmann-Lévy, Paris 1975, p. 228. Quoted in: Philippe Gautier, La Germanophobie, Paris: Editions de l'Æncre, 1997.

And there is still more:

"The alleged cruelty of the victors [the Germans] may be judged by their first orders:

'The absent or overtaxed mayors must be replaced at once.

The French laws remain in effect as long as they do not counter German interests.

The French authorities retain their rights.

The French justice system retains its jurisdiction.

The police may retain their uniforms and their weapons of pistol and dagger; every pistol is supplied with twenty cartridges, etc. etc."

And more still:

"This clemency of the victors was also a fact on-site, namely towards the French soldiers who had fallen into captivity. To document this, we [Gautier] would like to recount the testimony of Lieutenant C. W. from Straßburg:

'In late June 1940 the II°/168°RAP[??], which I belonged to, had to go into captivity. I stay close to Commander Sabatier, who has a very hard time with the German language. A German Staff Sergeant comes to pick us up. At a distance of six paces he stands at attention, greets the Commander, shakes his hand and has some kind words for the soldiers of the conquered army.

A private heads the 500-man prisoner column, I walk at his side and can set the number and duration of the breaks to be taken... The private always addresses me as 'Herr Oberleutnant'. When we arrive in Tholly (Departement Vosges), his duty is over, he stands at attention before me and says to me: 'Herr Oberleutnant, I request permission to leave!'

In Tholly the NCOs and common soldiers spend the night in the church; the officers, about twenty of them, sleep in the local bistro, but until 2200 hours we are allowed to walk around the town and its environs.

Commander Sabatier - he's already been a soldier since the First World War - can hardly believe it; he calls all the officers of the group to him and tells us: 'Gentlemen, we were bastards to them in 1918.'"

Now to the other, the French side. After you have read it, it should not be too difficult for you to imagine how the Germans were treated after they fell into the hands of the depraved Frenchmen cooling off their courage. To illustrate to which depths Frenchmen can fall, M. Gautier says:

"Nonetheless, in 1944 [in 'liberated' France] in Montluçon eighteen-year-old girls, alleged collaborators, were ordered ... raped by wolfhounds....

"Conditions were so bad that one M. Alexander from the 'Cleansing Police' handed in his resignation on September 7, 1944 after he had observed, in a bathroom of the Hotel Colombie, how a naked young girl, with a ruler shoved up her anus, was being whipped with a belt...."

On the 11th or 12th of June 1940, Sir Winston Spencer Churchill had a conversation with the French General Weygand in which he told the General:

"Paris is a giant city. One can fight at the city outskirts as well as in the city center; there is room to fight on the great squares, in the alleys, in front of every residence and at every intersection! The city can be defended district by district, street by street, house by house! You can't imagine how many enemy forces a large city like Paris can tie up and devour! Entire armies could find their grave there."

Yes, this was Churchill fighting his war at the expense of France. A few days later, on the 23rd of June 1940, a great statesman, this time a German, said to his attendants:

"I am grateful to Providence that I have been able to see this city, whose nimbus has ever fascinated me. At the start of hostilities I ordered the troops to avoid Paris, and also to avoid armed clashes in its vicinity; for the important thing was to preserve this many-faceted miracle of Western culture undamaged for posterity. We were successful."

This, however, was Adolf Hitler. Both quotations are to be found in Philippe Gautier's book and I don't give a damn if you now think I am a Nazi. Monsieur Gautier describes another interesting delicacy I would like to share with you, in retaliation for "Monsignor Renard" so to speak. Enjoy:

"When the first Canadians marched into Caen, ... the French spat in their faces, ..."

Now, Mr. Rodgers, this is only a tiny fraction of the difference between Germans and Frenchmen, and for that matter Englishmen, Americans and Canadians. The French behaved only marginally better than the Russians in East Germany, looting, burning and raping on a grand scale, while German soldiers in France were court-marshaled and executed for molesting a French woman. Philippe Gautier confirms this in his book. The then French General and later French President Charles de Gaulle ordered the Königstrasse in the city of Karlsruhe set ablaze so that he, standing in a jeep, could be filmed as the great conqueror. They behaved so deplorably that the Americans threw them out of Stuttgart because they did not want to be lumped together with the hoodlums - and this does not imply that the Americans were gentlemen, let alone saints. They were your buddies.

If you tried to attract me to become a TVO supporter, you have failed. Although I will continue to watch future broadcasts of TVO which appeal to me, it is out of the question that I will ever become a TVO member. I will not waste one cent financing you as long as you continue in this manner. I am sure that you will reach your goal of financial independence without my help. In case I should be wrong in this assessment, I have some sound advice for you, Mr. Rodgers: cut the salaries of TVO's staff, let's say by 25 to 50% (they are too high anyway!), and TVO will be well on the road to financial prosperity! As a German I feel deeply offended by your selection of skewed and insulting films like "Monsignor Renard" because, in effect, I am treated as a second class citizen. You would not dare do likewise to "visible minorities" or Jews. It seems to be TVO's twisted understanding of this so-called multicultural society to continuously calumniate one section of it, and thus you prove what I already learned a long time ago: there is no real ethical progress among mankind. At least not here in North America. People love the pecking order now just as they loved it a millennium ago. It's not the kind of Canada I was promised.

Sincerely,

Walter Ruthard



Bruce Rogers 11300 Manvers/Scugog Townline Road, RR 2 Blackstock, Ontario, Canada LOB 1B0 905-986-5954 barogers@sympatico.ca www.3 sympatico.ca/barogers Fax: 905-986-4636

March 9, 2000

Mr. and Mrs. Herta and Walter Ruthard, RR1, Lisle, Ontario, L0M 1M0

Dear Mr. and Mrs. Ruthard:

I hope the above form of address is appropriate. I've assumed that you simply chose to write two separate letters. In your obviously angry letters of February 25 and March 1, 2000 you condemned the French, the British, the Americans, and the Canadians who liberated France. You also condemned TVO for running the British-made Monsignor Renard. I have sent your letters to the appropriate TVO management desk and you may receive an official response.

In war, even while many combatants still try to behave with a modicum of civility, atrocities are committed. It is true, not all Germans in the army that occupied France (and overran much of Europe) were guilty of criminal savagery. And it must be conceded that some allied soldiers acted without compassion toward Germans. However, it is also true that the excesses of Nazism provoked a very angry and unforgiving response toward Germans in general, however unfairly. The mini series Monsignor Renard recalled a trying time; difficult for the French, the British, and for many of the occupying Germans. I thought it showed clearly that the German troops were well disciplined and that most of them did not subscribe to the hateful views and methods of the Nazis, Gestapo and SS.

I'm sorry that your disappointment with the drama led you to refuse to support TVO. With a broad range of programs, not every one will be enjoyed by all. Our individual tastes, interests, and views differ widely. As to cutting salaries of TVO's staff, that is a too simple solution. They are not generously paid. Their commitment to the ideals and mandate of TVO transcends the issue of payment. Ontarians are well served by TVO and its personnel. What makes me saddest on receipt of your letters, is that, more than half a century after the horrors of the war in Europe, racism persists, old hates simmer, and ethnic animosities still echo. So, while I am sorry you found a program hurtful and offensive, I hope you will reconsider and renew your confidence in both TVO and Canada.

Yours sincerely

Bruce Rogers

Mr. Bruce Rogers R.R. 2 Blackstock, ON, L0B 1B0 Herta Ruthard R.R. 1 Lisle, ON, L0M 1M0

March 30, 2000

Dear Mr. Rogers,

thank you for your letter of March 9, 2000. It is very difficult to argue with people who have a totally one-sided and biased understanding of history. Therefore I will only comment on two of your remarks. If it weren't so tragic, one could laugh about it. Isn't it precisely your side, the side of the victors who are unable to lay to rest "old hates" and who pick relentlessly at wounds which are unable to heal exactly because of that? Or do you think Germans have no wounds from this terrible time, kept open for now more than half a century by the victors, who happily rub salt and acid into them day in, day out, and then wonder when the victims react? It is not true that Germans behaved the way you, brainwashed as you are, see us.

If you want financial support, can you afford to upset even one section of your audience? In doing so, you show that you obviously do not care about this specific one, aren't you? Or should it be that you are so used to the German enemy image that you think this is right and normal? Constant exposure to this propaganda must have worn down your sense for nuances! How else could one explain your statement:

"I thought it showed clearly that the German troops were well disciplined and that most of them did not subscribe to the hateful views and methods of the Nazis, Gestapo and SS"?

Well, in your film they behaved like a bunch of obscene, malicious imbeciles who had to learn manners from the French, and at the end, their "barbarous nature" took over when one of their weaklings got a broken nose from a French hero. If these had indeed been our German soldiers and their superiors, we would be ashamed to be of German descent. Indeed we would, but as things truly are, we can be proud of our heritage.

Let me tell you something: when we came to Canada in 1974, on the invitation of the **Canadian government**, we intended to become 150% Canadians. Our hearts were as open to people and country as they could be. But soon we had to watch in helpless disbelief as we saw what the reality was. This says nothing against our neighbours whom we became acquainted with in our first Canadian years and who brightened up our life in our self-chosen semi-wilderness. They were the most lovable people we ever met. But once we became connected to the larger-scale social life, the picture changed. And here I will show you how we got our first scars, how life turned against us newcomers and, sadly enough, against our little daughter. Please read what I wrote to our local newspaper in Alliston. This was the time when our hearts

first felt that hateful hostility and over the years, in this permanently frosty environment against all things German, these hearts became very chilled. Thanks to your colleagues in the media! And in the entertainment industry! The anti-German hate-film industry! And you have the nerve to speak of "old hates"? And "ethnic animosities"? Coming from <u>our</u> side? You hypocrites! When we came to Canada we didn't know what hate was. We have learned it here! You see, this hate is not so very "old"! But will this country ever try to remedy it?

And now I will tell you another story. My own: When I was 14 years of age, I, together with my parents, was expelled from our homeland. 3.5 million of us and 460.000 sadistically butchered. I lost my country of birth, the Sudetenland; I lost my second homeland, Germany, because I had to follow my husband (much against my will) to the land of his dreams, Canada; and now I am without any homeland at all because the climate here is so full of "old hates" against Germans that it is impossible to put down roots. Many of our friends also do not feel at home here, but just because you won't hear much lamenting from them, don't think the problem doesn't exist.

Canada opened our eyes by forcing us to do our research into our history to see if all the evil being reported about us is really true; and the result? We have found that we can be proud - very proud! - of our German heritage.

Sincerely,

Baggage placed at child's door

Congratulations to J.J. Kasanda for an excellent letter; "Still awaiting Spicer's reply..." from April 10. We are wondering if Mr. Spicer has yet replied! This article mentions that "newcomers/immigrants should be prepared to adapt to the Canadian way of life". We agree absolutely. And when the turban problem came up for discussion, we could hardly believe that Canadian tolerance went that far.

The article went further: "All 'political baggage' of

step may turn into a boomerang

their country of origin should be left behind". How true! But - what if one isn't allowed to?

What if the baggage that one has tried sincerely to leave behind is ever placed at one's doorstep, wherever one goes? Brought along by malicious or even simply ignorant people (and furthered by short-sighted government impetus) who can't find it in their hearts or intellect to share Kasanda's clean-slate view?

We will never forget the day when our child came home from school where society had confronted and saddled her with the political baggage of her country of origin - a political baggage designed for adults and much too heavy for a child to carry home, much less to understand. There we had our baggage back again, doubled in weight.

A child who has such incomprehensible political baggage forced on her every step of her way will examine that baggage when he or she grows up. And all of a sudden... the baggage placed at the doorstep just may turn into a boomerang.

> Herta Ruthard Alliston

The exact date of this letter, published in The Herald, Alliston, is not known. It may have been in the mid-1980s, but the time when the political baggage was forced on our child was when the film "Holocaust" was shown on TV.

She couldn't understand why all of a sudden her friends had turned into her enemies overnight, and didn't want to go to school anymore. We had the difficult task of explaining to her what a Nazi is and why her friends were calling her this name.



March 21, 2000

Paul Bonwick, M.P. Simcoe-Grey Toll-free 1-888-324-3070

Ms. Herta Ruthard RR 1 Lisle, Ontario L0M 1M0

Dear Ms. Ruthard:

Thank you for your letter of March 3, 2000.

Let me begin by saying that I do not accept, nor condone, any form of racism, hatred, discrimination, intolerance or bias directed towards any group of people based on their ethnicity, religion, gender, age or colour. Canada has long been a haven and shelter for refugees and citizens of other countries who wish to live in an environment of acceptance of differences and tolerance of diversity. This is what Canada has stood for and what it will always promote.

In regards to your comments regarding the program *Monsignor Renard*, it is my understanding that this a BBC (British Broadcasting Corporation) production, based on a fictitious story about a Roman Catholic Monsignor in France under Nazi occupation during the Second World War. It is not a factual documentary, nor does it promote a particular point of view. The series is simply a story told from the perspective of this priest's experiences during the war. The story line also relates the experiences of other characters in the program.

That being said, as the Member of Parliament for Simcoe-Grey, and as a representative of this government, I most certainly recognize the enormous contributions to Canada's culture, commerce, science and way of life German immigrants have made. From annual festivals in Kitchener-Waterloo celebrating German culture to the many artists, musicians and academics from Germany who have made Canada their home, we have benefited from their accomplishments and their gifts to Canadian quality of life.

Thank you for writing to me. I trust that I have answered your concerns. Please do not hesitate to contact me again when you have concerns regarding any federal policy, department or agency.

Sincerely. Bonurck

Paul Bonwick, M.P. Simcoe-Grey

Ottawa 549 Confederation Bldg. House of Commons Ottawa ON K1A 0A6 Ph: (613) 992-4224 Fax: (613) 992-2164 *Collingwood* 106 - 115 Hurontario St. Collingwood, ON L9Y 2L9 Ph: (705) 444-6566 Fax: (705) 444-4787



Alliston 519 Victoria St. East Alliston,ON L9R 1T9 Ph: (705) 435-9788 Fax: (705) 435-0635 Markdale 17 Toronto St. North Markdale, ON NOC 1H0 Ph: (519) 986-9966 Paul Bonwick, M.P. 549 Confederation Bldg. House of Commons Ottawa ON K1A 0A6 Herta Ruthard R.R. 1 Lisle, ON, LOM 1M0

April 6, 2000

Dear Mr. Bonwick,

thank you for your letter of March 21, 2000. All honour to your good intentions and attitude, but if you want to apply them to us German-Canadians as well, you'll be very busy! So far, no-one to whom we have written for help has managed it. I am enclosing some correspondence from earlier years in this regard. We were especially thankful to Mrs. Edna Anderson for her compassion and care.

A particularly bad hit below the belt came in the Toronto Sun of October 5, 1996, where Public Relations Officer R.T. Walsh announced that

"The war which destroyed Nazi Germany was the only just war of this century..."

which we couldn't stomach. I am enclosing a few pictures about this "just war", and you be the judge. I wrote to our Premier, Mr. Harris; to the Minister of Justice, Allan Rock; and to the Prime Minister. Mr. Harris' answer is enclosed. A very long while later, when Mrs. McLellan took the job as Minister of Justice, she advised us of legal possibilities, but this was not in our interest because we are not litigious people; all we wanted was an apology. And our Prime Minister, Mr. Chretien, didn't respond at all, although 44 of our friends had their signatures on my letter, which closed as follows:

"I ask you, Mr. Prime Minister, is the German-Canadian sector protected under the law which is known as the "anti-hate-law"? In this case, an apology by Mr. Walsh would be in order, I think. Otherwise it is one more proof that German-Canadians are second-class citizens who do not have the same rights as all others have; and that every German-hater can shoot his mouth off with impunity about us. Fighting the longest war in human history: exactly 57 years to date; and, of course, the pre-war-years which, strictly speaking, also count. It is about time to stop it.

We German-Canadians want so badly to be of equal value in the Canadian mosaic, but the powers which hate us so very much will always be able to prevent this. This is a sad statement, and the policies of the various governments have done nothing to help.

In the following are the signatures of a handful of our acquaintances (I do not intend to

engage in an extended action) who are also interested in learning which rights German-Canadians have, i.e. do not have in this country they call home."

In this case, the lack of an answer was a very clear answer: he couldn't care less about us. Yes, "Monsignor Renard" is a BBC production, which is known for being virulently anti-German; as most British seem to be anyway. See the letter of Mr. Saxon from The Barnes Review. I complained to Mr. Rogers because we felt insulted by certain passages in "Monsignor Renard" in which Germans were clearly targeted, and the practice of broadcasting anti-German hate films for entertainment is only proof that it is ok when entertainment is being made at the expense of an entire people. We German-Canadians were once, and in some respects still are, part of this people. I call this anti-Germanism of the worst kind, because the constant suggestion that the Germans are evil and of inferior character instils hostility towards this ethnic group. My intention is to make the distributors of hate against Germans aware of what they are doing and to let government officials know that they participate in the wrongs against the third-largest piece of their Canadian mosaic, the German-Canadians, when they refuse to provide protection for them.

Promoting hate against citizens with German heritage has a long history in Canada. It already began in the middle of the 19th century. To show you just how deep the roots of hatred against Germans run in this country I will give you a quotation from the book "Little Paradise", by Dr. Gottlieb Leibbrand:

"Insult to our Honour, and Testimonial to same.

In response to Father von Pirch's advocacy of the German language as teaching subject in public schools, the <u>Evening News</u> of Toronto brought a defamatory article so full of crude and obscene invective against the Germans that, as the <u>Weekly Telegram</u> of Brantford rightly says, it would hardly be possible to crowd more of the like into such a small space. According to the article, it is exactly the German language that should be banned before all others in this country, because the Germans are strangers, law-breakers and desecrators of the Sabbath that strive to force their customs and practices on the country of their choice, and their literature is definitely not of any great worth..." This is a translation from p. 130 of the aforementioned book, where it is quoted from the <u>Canadisches Volksblatt</u> of February 1, 1882, an ethnic German paper.

And hate and incitement against German-Canadians have never stopped since. There is no end, ever, to anti-Germanism in the English-speaking world, and Canada is part of it. Our people were rounded up and put into concentration camps during WW2 just like the Japanese were. No apology to <u>us</u> ever. My husband's uncle escaped this treatment only because he was an outstanding personality and had friends in high places. And when you believe that Germany started WW2 - as you must have learned in school - you are wrong. The same goes for WW1. There is irrefutable proof all over the world, and lots of! One remarkable quotation you can find in my published letter in D&D, of September/October 1999. Another one is this:

"If Hitler fails, his successor will be Bolshevism; if he is successful, we will present him with a European war within five years." Lord Vansittart, *Even Now*, London 1933, p. 69.

I will show you what I have to say to Mr. Rogers and what he was telling us in his shocking letter. I am not such a saint to offer the other cheek - I fight back. He might call it racism and hatemongering (the common labels with which to shut us up), I call it my right, and my right for justice and "equality". Canada has a "Human Rights Commission"; I am sure they would respect my demands. Or would they? German-Canadians are humans too! One should think.

We are very selective in watching TV, (good for us) and don't subscribe to Canadian newspapers, but we cannot escape the many anti-German clippings from them which friends send us in disgust. And so it comes that once in a while we have to say "enough is enough", and enough it was recently when we made the mistake of watching TVO's "Monsignor Renard", right on the heels of Mr. Roger's plea for support.

Yes, German-Canadians were and are contributing to this country. Like Helmut Oberlander, who devoted almost his entire life to the good of Canadian society, gave it the most precious gift, his children and grand children, has been cleared of any criminal activity of war crimes, and despite of his innocence is now supposed to be kicked out of the country. Just because he was on the wrong side in WW2, which was <u>forced</u> on Germany by the Allies. Justice???

Food for thought!

Sincerely,

Herta Ruthard

6 Discourse & Disclosure Sept./Oct. 1999 About Milosevic's 'war crimes'

The Editor:

When I saw the disturbing pictures of the Balkan misery on the one hand and Canadian loving care for the victims on the other, there came to my mind the time after the Second World War when 18 million Germans were expelled from their ancestral soil. That too was ethnic cleansing and genocide of the worst kind.

Where was Canada at that time, in the hour of our greatest need? It was on the side of the expellers and gave them support. For us expellees, there was no roof, no bread, no kind words, no pity; 2.5 million of us underwent unimaginable horrors and ultimately ended our lives in the ditch, in death camps, in concentration camps or elsewhere. The rest were taken in by Germany, which had been bombed to rubble.

And now there is the Kosovo conflict. NATO calls what Milosevic did "a crime against humanity". And what is it that NATO did? It waged an illegal, undeclared war against a sovereign country! What kind of "peace" keepers are they who bomb the heck out of everything? This reminds me painfully of the terrorbombing in Germany. It too wanted to stay sovereign but was not allowed to. Lewis Wyndham wrote in *Count Your Dead: They are Alive!*:

What Herr Hitler is required to do is to merge Germany into the league of monopolist states. The peaceloving nations are more heavily armed than you are, Herr Hitler, and have unlimited resources at their disposal, and they will unquestionably make war on you if you do not submit to their will and if you persist in going on with this sovereign state stuff.

And all the "peace-loving nations" participated in the murder of that little country which today fits into Ontario three times over!

By its involvement in the conflict on the Balkans, Canada is an accessory to criminal acts. Those people who say, "I am ashamed to be a Canadian," know exactly why! By the way, Kosovo is ago-old Serbian soil.

But now the Serbs got a tiny return from NATO for what they did to the Danube Swabians. These latter were no invaders. They had been brought into the country by the ruling nobility, the Habsburgs. They settled in the swamps and cultivated them at a time when Canada wasn't even on the map. Their land was Serbia's bread basket; grain was exported.

These were peaceful people. Why did the Serbs have to throw them into concentration camps, rape and exterminate them, in 1944-45? The survivors were driven out of the country with only what they had on their backs. They had to eat rats and grass to stay alive.

If the Serbs' conduct against the Kosovars today is a crime against humanity, then the conduct of the Poles, Czechs, and Yugoslavs, with the approval of the victors of the Second World War (including Canada) was also a crime against humanity. Fifty years ago, the ethnic Germans of Poland, Czechoslovakia and Yugoslavia were also driven out of their homes, raped and murdered and on the far greater scale of 18 million people.

Is Canada willing to make amends for *that* crime against humanity, perpetrated in Canada's name 50 years ago? I wouldn't stake much on it, although the law states that the crime of genocide has no statute of limitations.

It's a hypocritical world, isn't it? The government brings 5,000 refugees (which it helped to produce in the first place by helping to bomb, not only Serbia, but also Kosovo) to Canada, the second largest country in the world, and thinks this is a magnanimous act -- conveniently overlooking that Germany has already taken in at least 20,000 within her smaller borders (and they are considering doubling this offer to Kosovars.

In light of all these facts, I too feel uncomfortable to be a Canadian.

Herta Ruthard Lisle, Ont. Mr. Bruce Rogers R.R. 2 Blackstock, ON, L0B 1B0 Walter Ruthard R.R. 1 Lisle, ON, L0M 1M0

April 7, 2000

Dear Mr. Rogers,

I received your letter from March 9, 2000 which you wrote me in response to my letter of complaint of March 1st, and I thank you for it.

However, I am not at all satisfied with your reaction. In fact, after having read you letter I am even more outraged than I was when I first saw Monsignor Renard on TVO. Your letter is so full of errors and misconceptions that I don't even know where to begin. Have you ever tried - as I have - to find out what the period in question was really like? I know you did not. Instead, you rely on what is and has been presented to you by the never-ending Allied propaganda machinery that began in 1939 and even earlier. That is the easy way out, it does not strain one's brain, especially since the reasons for that war are blamed entirely on the Germans und thus fit nicely into your prejudiced conception of what is true and what is false. I will not even try to convince you that you are wrong, because I know that is impossible.

You say that "that not all Germans in the army that occupied France (and overran much of Europe) were guilty of criminal savagery." How kind of you! Very, very few were guilty of savagery at all. The ones who were did not survive long. They were shot - executed by the German army. And there was no difference whether the guy was a member of the regular army or whether he was an SS-soldier. I don't believe that any army of the Second World War has a record as clean as the Germans. To come to a sound and honest judgement about the German occupation of France, one has to look at both sides, and as far as human experience goes, the one who screams the loudest usually has the most to hide.

I gave you two easily accessible sources, French sources at that, which you can consult. Of course, you will not learn from them that the British, the Canadians and even the French themselves behaved like gentlemen, painful as this discovery may be for you. Unless you look at the other side as well, I would say that you are not qualified to pass judgement on us Germans. We did not "overrun much of Europe" just for the fun of it. It was you - the Canadians, the British and the French, the American and the Russians - who declared war on us and not the other way around, Mr. Rogers. And when it comes to "overrunning much of Europe", why not look at your own record for a change? Surely you won't tell me that the British Empire voluntarily gathered around the Throne, will you? The same goes for France. Maybe it is news to you that in the course of WW2 first the British and then the Americans "overran" Iceland. Without so much as an invitation from the Icelanders. Greenland was "overrun" by the Americans and at that time it was Danish territory! Nice democrats that they were, they didn't give a hoot for the Danish government's protests. And you get excited over the fact that we occupied Denmark! Your masters, the British, "overran" what is today Iran. They also, farther back in history, exterminated the natives of Newfoundland, down to the last man. As they did in Tasmania. They tried unsuccessfully to "overrun" Norway and gave up only when they could not beat the Germans there. So, give me a break, Mr. Rogers, with this hypocritical talk of how bad we were. It was you, the Allies, who were the guilty ones, not we.

And spare me the silly talk that you are "... saddest on receipt of your letters... that, more than half a century after the horrors of the war in Europe, racism persists, old hates simmer, and ethnic animosities still echo." If the term racism has to be used, use it on yourself. It is you who constantly point the finger at us, not the other way around, Mr. Rogers! We don't make the likes of Monignor Renard - you do. I know that Canada expects her immigrants to forget ethnic animosities the instant they arrive here. We had to learn the hard way that this expectation is a barefaced lie and a one-way street. I had to cope with the fact that I was "hailed" with the Hitler salute my very first day at work here. My daughter, at the age of 9, had a swastika painted on her desk in school. It wasn't her who did this. And you say *we* are the racists! You do not like that we fight back with what means we have and call it racism.

Well, Mr. Rogers, you did not convince me to reconsider and support TVO. You will have to do better. For as long as we are treated as second-class citizens, there will be no support from us for TVO; and no more blood donations, no organ donations, and no donations for any charitable organizations either. Only our taxes we will pay - squandered though they will be.

And, finally, to give you another little taste of how it would feel to be constantly reminded of the misdeeds of your soldiers, have a good long look at this facsimile. These are the sons of those who "liberated" France and Germany 55 years ago. Progeny to be proud of!



TROPHY SHOT ... Six Canadian soldiers pose with a body part of an Iraqi killed by a land mine shortly after the Gulf War in one of two photos published yesterday.

Sincerely,

Walter Ruthard

Stephen Harper, M.P. Office of the Leader of the Opposition 145, 180 Wellington Street House of Commons Ottawa, ON K1A 0A6

Herta Ruthard R.R. 1, Lisle, ON LOM 1M0

March 10, 2003

Dear Mr. Harper,

Why does Canada have a Minister of Defense? Canada does not need one because never in the past century has she been threatened by any other country. And no matter how good her military might be, she does not stand a chance anyway against her ever-peace-loving friends south of the border, the USA. Therefore, a more honest description would be Minister of War.

The whole world is against this impending war on Iraq, only Stephen Harper seems to favour it. Is it just because he is the leader of the opposition, and has to oppose no matter what the issue might be? No matter if this war will cost the lives of millions of innocents? Shame on you, Mr. Harper. You will lose our votes and those of our friends in the next election. Everyone with whom we speak says the same thing, that they are so disappointed in the Canadian Alliance. And all of them were so excited about your civilized manners and seemingly common sense when you were first seen on TV. But what a shock when it came to the most important decision of all, for the good or bad of not only Iraq but the whole world. You are for death, war, destruction and injustice. And it shows us that you know only what you have been allowed to know, what has been told you by your "masters", namely that we have to run with them for world domination. To

hell with all the people around us and them; the less of us there are, the better for later control, right?

You are blinded by blinkers and therefore you do not sense how disgusted people are when they discover the marching orders that have been given to the Canadian Alliance. Well, I think our Prime Minister may not be sooo bad.

Disappointedly,

manuel@valenzuelas.net

Re: Rise of the Amerikan [sic] Nazis Amerikan [sic] Terrorists, American Tragedy, Part III

American Mutation 12/22/04 "ICH"

Dear Mr. Valenzuela,

A very good friend of mine sent me the link to your abovementioned article and I would like to express my dismay at your repeated (ab)use of the word "Nazi".

While I agree with most of what you wrote, your understanding of the history of Germany from 1933 through 1945 is deficient at best! More likely it is the product of six decades of brainwashing not even Americans could escape. As a result of this, the ability to analyze historical facts has been severely compromised in most Americans, and you are no exception.

The "Nazis" are branded as an especially virulent type of being who were aggressively following a policy of "conquering the world". Nothing could be further from the truth. It is likely this that you had in mind when you wrote this piece. Here are some facts that "escaped" you:

1) WW 2 was not started by the "Nazis". It was Poland who declared war on Germany on August 30th, 1939 by fully mobilizing her armed forces after months of violating the German borders. And it was Britain and France who declared war on Germany on September 3rd, 1939 after Germany entered Poland on September 1st, 1939 in response to this Polish action. So, no aggression on the German side, but lots of it on the side of the so-called "democracies". Just like today.

2) Even after commencement of hostilities, Germany made many efforts to end them and to come to a peaceful resolution of the differences between Poland and Germany. All were rejected by Britain and France. These attempts lasted well into 1941. It was Hitler himself who, as the first Chancellor of Germany, had enough authority to undertake the attempt to waive any German rights to the German provinces that were stolen by Poland after WW 1 in order to reach a peaceful settlement between the two countries. Not one of his predecessors would have dared to even think of doing this. One of his such attempts was letting the British escape at Dunkirk. He just as easily could have crushed them.

3) Read Martin Allen's book "The Hitler/Hess Deception - British Intelligence's Best Kept Secret of the Second World War", Harper Collins Publishers, London 2003. Most of the "Nazi's" peace offers are listed there, although in a somewhat biased manner.

4) "Nazi" is a contemptuous expression that is offensive to good and still patriotic Germans like myself. It comes from the correct word "Nationalsozialist", or National Socialist in English. It means exactly what it says, namely socialism that was national(!) and not international like Communism. Many high-ranking German leaders of that time, Goebbels the devil incarnate himself for instance, said that "National Socialism is not for export and other countries can choose between any political system they prefer". Today, Americans "export" their political system to countries who do not care to become "democratic" at all! And if they have the gall to object, they soon have a war on their hands.

5) And finally this: In the list of European countries at war during the last couple of centuries, Germany is always ranked at the very bottom, meaning that she was one of the most peaceful states in Europe. And this

includes WW 2! Most of the wars that she fought were wars between the German states themselves. Or wars to defend herself, like in WW 1 and WW 2, believe it or not. Read Captain Russell Grenfell's book "Unconditional Hatred", The Devin-Adair Company, Old Greenwich, Conn. 06870, 1971. Read Dr. Michael F. Connors' booklet "Dealing in Hate - The Development of Anti-German Propaganda", Britons Publishing Company, Beamish House, 111A Westbourne Grove, London - W2.

A peaceful disposition cannot be conceded where the United States of America are concerned. Since her birth and even before that, she fought so many wars that the total sum of them almost equals or exceeds her age in years. America is the number one aggressor state of the world, not "Nazi"-Germany. If there were such a thing as universal justice, the Americans would have to pay ad infinitum for damages they inflicted on others and by now they would be even poorer than church mice.

I leave it to you, Mr. Valenzuela, to discover it for yourself. You will have a hard time disproving me. I know, however, that you will not change your spots, like the proverbial leopard, and discontinue a habit that you have grown so fond of. After all, this would take some honest effort, wouldn't it? It is plainly hypocritical to point the finger at the Germans and yet, as you do, conveniently "forget" the very aggressive history of your own European ancestors, the Spaniards.

I have a few suggestions for you to choose from, Mr. Valenzuela, that are by far more honest than the defamation you are heaping on us when you say "Nazis" even when you really mean the "American Nazis". How about using the affront "Amishermans"? In memory of your general William Tecumseh Sherman who formulated his contempt for the American Indians thus:

"The more I get to know these redskins (the Indians) the more I become convinced that they should all be killed off." Source: Joachim Fernau, *Halleluja*, *Die Geschichte der U.S.A.*, p.189/190, Goldmann 1982.

Another one could be "Amilincolns" in memory of Abraham Lincoln who once said:

"We find ourselves in the peaceful possession of the fairest portion of the earth, as regards fertility of soil, extend of territory, and salubrity of climate... We find ourselves the legal inheritors of these fundamental blessings. We toiled not in the aquirement or in the establishment of them." Source: "The Fourth Reich of the Rich", Des Griffin, p. 6.

And one more for good measure: "Amerirooses" after Theodor Roosevelt's realization that

"To leave the Indians their hunting grounds would have meant putting our continent at the disposal of shaggy savages; the only alternative was to exterminate them." Source: Theodore Roosevelt, Nobel Peace Laureate, 1906, quoted in Joachim Fernau, *Halleluja, Die Geschichte der U.S.A.*, p. 187, Goldmann 1982.

Feel free to help yourself to any of my neologisms if by doing so you will introduce a breath of honesty into your future writings.

Sincerely,

Walter Ruthard

Dialogue Magazine 6227 Groveland Drive Nanaimo, BC, V9V 1B1 Herta Ruthard 7638 Conc. Rd. 2, R.R.1, Lisle, ON LOM 1M0

August 13, 2007

Confidential

Dear Janet,

on the telephone you asked me about Europe. This was hard to explain in a few words. Since 2004 a book has been on the market, "The Pentagon's New Map: War and Peace in the Twenty-first Century" by Thomas P. M. Barnett; Publisher Berkeley Books, New York. In my opinion it is the most important book ever written. I have ordered both the English and the German editions.

Richard Melisch

"Der letzte Akt - die Kriegserklärung der Globalisierer an die Völker der Welt"

In spring of 2007 the publisher Grabert-Hohenrain published a book titled "Der letzte Akt - die Kriegserklärung der Globalisierer an die Völker der Welt" (The Last Act. The Globalists' Declaration of War on the Peoples of the World). In this book about the globalists' war of aggression and their final offensive, Melisch makes his point without leaving open questions, without groping around blindly behind the stage sets of world politics, and without dubious references to all sorts of likely and unlikely conspiracy theories, because this declaration of war is now in fact available in print - the movers and shakers behind the world-conquest-by-globalization have unmasked themselves: they are the alliance of Pentagon and Wall Street, and they are already so certain of their victory that they have allowed their chief strategist to publish not their plans for world conquest but also the strategy leading up to it. In doing so they have also identified their "terrorist" opponents - they are known as "nationalists"/"patriots" - and the globalists' plans for dealing with them is disclosed in their bald-faced demand: "kill them!"

There are CORE-countries and GAP-countries. North America, Europe, etc. belong to the CORE. The GAP-countries, or in other words the rogue nations which are against globalization, rejecting "connectedness" or the "New Rule Set" are Saudi Arabia, North Korea, Kazakhstan, Azerbaijan, and others. These countries must be brought into the CORE. "If necessary we will trigger a new 9/11", "... to sell the American people on the long haul ahead in this global war on terrorism"... "We will never leave the GAP-countries, never bring our boys home" (Bush). Look to Afghanistan and Iraq!

To make himself more clear, Barnett wrote a second book, "Blue Print for Action, a Future Worth Creating" on the same topic; 2005, Putnams Sons, New York. This future is only worth living for the then ruling class. Had Germany not been destroyed, the world today could have been a paradise; instead it is evolving into hell.

I hope you have no objections to my response.

All the best to you and Maurice,

Herta

For Publication

Thank you all for confirming what I thought would happen after my remembrance article, and for educating me so cordially about what I still didn't know of my "murderous Fatherland". But you all did a lousy job in smashing it for good, didn't you? Helping to end the war of all wars! Strangely, since the end of WW II the world has seen hundreds more wars; how come? The Nazis were dead, weren't they? And now they are even threatening the "free world" again by unleashing WW III, wow! Not enough that they started WW I and II! This is really a bitter lesson, Mr. Smith! But I think you are barking up the wrong tree, because the building of the European Union started right after the end of WW II. Now I leave it to your imagination or curiosity to find out what's going on. But I will give a few hints to all of you:

published

There are CORE-countries and GAP-countries.

The European Union belongs to the so-called CORE, North America too, of course - according to "The Pentagon's New Map: War and Peace in the Twenty-first Century" by Thomas P.M. Barnett; Publisher Berkeley Books, New York. And those countries which oppose globalization are called GAP-countries. That's why Canada is now at war in Afghanistan, to bring it into the CORE.

The planned fusion of Canada, the USA and Mexico is necessary for successful globalization. And so is the EU. In the end both blocks will become one unit, under one government, one jurisdiction, one religion, one currency and so on. Wouldn't that be wonderful? It's so much easier! Everything under one roof! But this idea is not so new, in fact it has been in the making since 1870. Both world wars ruined everything already accomplished.

But not all that glitters is gold. As Bertrand Russell, the eminent British philosopher, said in "The Impact of Science on Society", London 1952: "... The working class will have to work so many hours and have so little to eat that their desires will hardly be more than for sleep and food. ... Over time, the chosen race, which distinguishes between the rulers and the ruled, will grow until they become a different species."

This is the future! Well, that's not so nice, is it, Mr. Smith, the Russians got a taste of it from 1917 to 1987. Don't blame Germany, blame yourself because this is what you fought for, for six long years! Germany today has no more than a satellite government, like the ones in Afghanistan and Iraq, taking orders and carrying them out, you know. Germany is not a so-called "rogue nation", oh no, you all saw to it that she became what she is now: a role model for the New World Order.

You had a hard time, I know, to fight against the best of Europe's youth who joined Germany, even 2000 Sikhs were among them. They all knew what was at stake, they were not stupid.

You should stop fighting WW II and finally start being vigilant, as Mr. Mc Cullough suggests. God cannot do everything alone. The hour is late, very late. Maybe too late. And the punishment will be harsh.

A thank-you to Mr. Tellis, and the request to all others too: don't believe everything you hear or read about German atrocities. They were often committed by the enemy in German SS uniforms. This is not a new tactic, and it is very effective. I will only mention one incident in Iraq where two British soldiers of the SAS branch (special forces) were caught and arrested after firing their automatic weapons into a group of Iraqi policemen. In their car there had been found Arab clothing and wigs for disguise. The reason for such attacks is to foment sectarian and religious hatred, resulting in the wished-for civil war. To normal people it doesn't make sense, does it? But what is still normal today?

World War I was not started by Germany. The assassination of the Austrian Archduke Franz Ferdinand by Gavrilo Princip, a Serbian killer paid by the British, was the trigger event that caused WW I. Germany was bound by a treaty with Austria, and backed that country accordingly.

Daily Express, Friday, March 24, 1933: "Judea Declares War On Germany".

In 1941, eight years after they declared war on Germany, Germany puts her Jews into concentration camps as enemy aliens. The USA and Canada did the same with their German citizens - but right away, not eight years later; and in fact the USA had done it even earlier, namely 4 days before war was declared.

World War II was started by Poland. Backed by a unilateral British guarantee in March of 1939, Poland partly mobilized her army. On August 30, 1939 Poland declared a total mobilization, which is the equivalent of a declaration of war. Only on September 1, 1939, after hundreds of acts of cross-border aggression had already been committed by Poland - murdering German farmers and burning their houses on German soil, killing by the thousands their German ethnic population in Poland - some 30.000 in the city of Bromberg alone - , shooting at commercial aircraft flying over international waters etc., Germany finally invaded Poland.

"Poland wants the war with Germany and Germany will not be able to avoid it, even if she wanted to."

Rydz-Smigly, Marshal of Poland, in an address to Polish officers, near Cracow in

June/July 1939; quoted in: v. Richthofen, "Kriegsschuld 1939 - 1941", p. 52. Arndt: Kiel.

"We are ready to make a pact with the devil if he will help us in the battle against Germany. Hear - against Germany, not just against Hitler. In an upcoming war, German blood will be spilled in rivers such as all of world history has never seen before."

Source: Depsza, Polish newspaper in Warsaw, August 20, 1939.

Between May and October 1940, the RAF flew multiple raids against Bremen, Hannover, Paderborn, Kassel, Bielefeld, Cuxhaven and Berlin.. Dortmund had already weathered 24 British bombing raids prior to the Luftwaffe's attack on Coventry, on November 15, 1940.

Yes, Mr. Wood, why did we come to Canada in the first place? This is a good question; the answer is: out of admiration for this North American country and its people. We came on the invitation of your government, sacrificing our German citizenship, our good life, and my husband a very well-paid job as a customer engineer with IBM. We left overcrowded Germany for the silence and beauty of a great country!

Although the war against Germany seemed over in 1974, my husband was greeted with the Hitler salute on his very first day at his new workplace; and our little daughter lost her school friends overnight after the film "Holocaust" was shown on TV.

We still didn't give up our faith in a civilized country and the freedom of everything it promised. It turned out to be a pipe dream, because the war against Germany will never be over. You all proved it, and so does the media every day anew.

We often ask ourselves a similar question, Mr. Wood: why on earth are the Jews so drawn to Germany? To that murderous country? They are fleeing Israel by the thousands now. Well, it's nice to get a welcome cheque of 130,000 Euros per person. I wish I were a Jew, I would claim refugee status right away, give up my Canadian citizenship and head off to the murderous Fatherland. Not for the money but for respect and acceptance.

Herta Ruthard

The following is an excerpt from mu letter of January 22, 1992 which had been published in our local newspaper. Has anything changed for the better since then? I don't think so.

Thoughts on property and other rights in Canada

When Trudeau brought home the Constitution in 1981, he wanted a unanimous vote in Parliament. In return for their assent, the NDP demanded that the right to own real estate in Canada, be removed from this document. This was done. And for that reason, there now exists only the right "to enjoy property" – not "to own".

So we have no right to own land, we may only "enjoy" what we have earned through much hard work and many years of pinching pennies. Now, when our children inherit our properties, they will learn that no rights exist to own property.

If we are not allowed to own our property, then <u>who</u> does own our property? The state? So then why do we have to pay "property tax" on something we don't own? Shouldn't it rather be called "rent"? And why do we have to pay rent on something we bought outright? .Also, rent is tax-deductible, is it not? But I bet you that "property" tax isn't! Or is it? Or: if we are only allowed to "enjoy" our property, then shouldn't property tax in fact be called "enjoyment" tax?

It seems that we Canadians are living under the rule of a dictatorship that only cloaks itself as a democracy. This becomes apparent in many other ways as well. Take, for example, the practices of the medical establishment, which uses law to force a certain mode of treatment on us, which makes a mockery of democracy. I am speaking of chemotherapy. Do you realize that if you have children who are minors, and they get cancer, you are forced by law to submit them to chemotherapy if that's what your doctor prescribes? If you don't like that idea and have better things in mind for your child, the government can thwart your plans and legally take your child away from you and place it in a foster home, And not only your sick child - any underaged ones you may have, as well! You become guilty of negligence if you refuse chemotherapy - probably the most toxic substances ever allowed to be used in the human body. You can expect little justice from a court system that tends to recognize only the expertise of the medical/pharmaceutical monopoly and regard all other health modalities as quackery. Should your child die from alternative medicine, you will be charged with criminal negligence. But it's all right for your child to die from the chemotherapy! No-one will bring charges against the medical establishment.

This is the most frightening thing I've ever heard, and if the government is able to take your children away from you in such a way and under such circumstances - your children, the most valuable "property" you have, then they will most certainly also find a way to take your material possessions from you if they please. All it takes is the passing of a law. And who is expert in that? It shouldn't be very difficult, anyhow, because it's very easy to take away from you what you don't own in the first place. Logical? Now it's your turn to ask questions! And please, ask the politicians who are, after all, responsible, and <u>demand</u> what should be one of your fundamental rights! Or we may just also end up behind an Iron Curtain. Or...is it the "New World Order"?

Dear Janet and Maurice,

please confirm receipt and also if you got my article regarding "Life after Death". Thanks and warmest regards, Herta

Herta

not Anblished

Dialogue Magazine 6227 Groveland Drive Nanaimo, BC, V9V 1B1

, 1

Herta Ruthard 7638 Conc. Rd. 2, R.R.1 Lisle, ON, L0M 1M0

November 10, 2006

Dear Maurice and Janet,

regarding Mr. Clark's column in Dialogue October/November 2006, page 34, where he writes:

"...Canada is a single state made up of multilingual people ... Has he (Mr. Ignatieff) not heard of nations like Yugoslavia, India or the old USSR?"

I would add to that Switzerland. There, French-, Italian-, German- and Raethoromano- people, with four different languages, live together peacefully since hundreds of years, where every citizen has its say in referenda and the politicians are doing what they have been ordered by the citizenry. The most successful democracy ever! Why isn't this possible between the French and English speaking people of Canada with only two languages?

Some time ago we had a conversation with a Canadian friend of ours regarding times of hardship which could happen to us all at any time in the future and he said that he told his children to invest in land as it always keeps its value. This raised a red flag and rang a bell in my mind, because: in 1992 I wrote a letter to our local newspaper, the Herald, Alliston, regarding just this property problem and where I posed its readers a few questions. My letter was published in its entirety but the response of the readers was - zero. What did I expect after all? An outcry? Canadians love to pay ever increasing taxes and don't mind when they do not own their property, as long as they can "enjoy" it. Not having the right to own property reminds one of Communist Russia where the property owning classes were eliminated by either being shot or forced into kolkhozes. Here is the letter that I wrote in 1992:

"Dear Editor

I would like to pose your readers a few questions: When Trudeau brought home the Constitution in 1981, he wanted a unanimous vote in Parliament. In return for their assent, the NDP demanded that the right to own real estate in Canada be removed from this document. This was done. And for that reason, there now exists only the right 'to enjoy property' – not 'to own'.

This is exactly the same situation as it was in the Eastern Bloc countries and in the former Soviet Union – with the exception that, there, people at least <u>know</u> that they do not own their land. Here, we still believe that we do. But they have begun to reinstate the right to own land.

So we have no right to own land, we may only 'enjoy' what we have earned through much hard work and many years of pinching pennies. Now, when our children inherit our properties, they will learn that no rights exist to own property.

If we are not allowed to own our property, then who <u>does</u> own our property? The state? So then why do we have to pay 'property tax' on something we don't own? Shouldn't it rather be called 'rent'? And why do we have to pay rent on something we bought outright? Also, rent is tax-deductible, is it not? Or: if we are only allowed to 'enjoy' our property, then shouldn't property tax in fact be called 'enjoyment ' tax?

It seems that we Canadians are living under the rule of a dictatorship that only cloaks itself as a democracy. This becomes apparent in many other ways as well. Take, for example, the practices of the medical establishment, which uses law to force a certain mode of treatment on us, which makes a mockery of democracy. I am speaking of chemotherapy. Do you realize that if you have children who are minors, and they get cancer, you are forced by law to submit them to chemotherapy if that is what your doctor prescribes? If you don't like that idea and have better things in mind for your child, the government can thwart your plans and legally take your child away from you and place it in a foster home. And not only your sick child - any other underaged ones you may have, as well! You become guilty of negligence if you refuse chemotherapy - '... probably the most toxic substances ever allowed to be used in the human body' (Ralph Moss, MD, 'Cancer Treatment', Common Ground, Winter 91, p. 55), even if instead you sacrifice all your savings to give your child the best possible alternative care, outside the country if need be! None of this matters. As the most revealing Editorial in the magazine ALIVE, # 114, November 1991, explains, '(you) can expect little justice from a court system that tends to recognize only the expertise of the medical/pharmaceutical monopoly and regards all other health modalities as quackery. Should your child die from alternative medicine, you will be charged with criminal negligence.' But it is all right for your child to die from the chemotherapy! No-one will bring charges against the medical establishment. If you defy the law and go into hiding, then you will even face charges of kidnapping.

This is the most frightening thing I have ever heard, and if the government is able to take your children away from you in such a way and under such circumstances – your children! The most valuable 'property' you have! – Then they will most certainly also find a way to take your material possessions from you if they please. All it takes is the passing of a law. And who is expert in that? It shouldn't be very difficult, anyhow, because it is very easy to take away from you what you don't own in the first place. Logical?

Now it is your turn to ask questions! And please, ask the politicians who are, after all, responsible, and <u>demand</u> what should be one of your fundamental rights! Or we may just also end up behind an Iron Curtain. Or ... is it the 'New World Order''?

Some time ago my husband sent an e-mail to Mr. Jack Layton, the leader of the NDP asking for an answer as to why Canadians have no constitutional right to own property. He is still waiting for Mr. Layton's response and so is our friend. Does this silence not say everything? We think this is a pretty clear answer, so when election time comes, which party deserves our vote?

Also, when my husband was in his last decade of his employment the then NDP government of Ontario under Mr. Bob Ray, now a Liberal, legislated that private contributions to pension funds be limited to 4% of the earnings. My husband, like many of his co-workers, voluntarily agreed to his company's proposal to set aside 6% of his earnings as contributions to his future pension. I might add that my husband's wages in Canada always were on the modest side, so the loss of 2% makes a marked difference now that he is retired.

Sincerely,

Herta Ruthard



Dear Mr. Stang,

I am a German living in Canada (and a citizen here too) and am a subscriber to *The First Freedom* which I like because of the variety of topics in each issue. However, that does not mean that I am in agreement with of each one of them.

Don't you think that the coming showdown with the "District of Criminals", as you put it so nicely, necessitates the close cooperation of the various ethnic groups of White people in your country and elsewhere and ought to be of prime concern for everybody involved? After all, you Americans will need all the help you can get in your struggle to regain the freedoms that once were yours.

Some time ago I contemplated joining the National Alliance, who, with just one single word in the literature they sent me, put me off. They lost a supporter with no hope of changing my mind, even if they tried very hard. I have the distinct impression that your mind-set is exactly the same as the National Alliance's. It is shortsighted, to put it in a friendly way.

In part 1 of your "Why does the government fear armed veterans?", February 2008, The First Freedom, you repeatedly refer to "Nazis", "Heinrich Himmler" and even "Hitler". I think that of the two of us, I am the one who knows the history of Germany much better than you. What you wrote is insulting to Germans and German-Americans alike, whether they are aware of it or not. Most of them are dumbed down just as you "real" Americans are. No need to feel superior just because there were no "Nazis" in the "Good old USA".

The "Nazis" are long dead and, believe it or not, were not nearly as bad as you may believe or have been made to believe. The so-called holocaust has been subjected to many revisions, all of them downward in numbers and all within your lifetime. The law here in Canada prohibits me from elaborating further on this. But you could be aware of this if you had used your power of reasoning, and then you would be aware of what you are doing here to a considerable portion of the American population.

It amazes me every time when an American – others too – opens his mouth to proclaim one way or another that he stands morally so far above us Germans that he can offend us in any way he pleases, all the time "forgetting" how he and his ancestors behaved towards the indigenous Indians and Blacks not too long ago or, as a matter of fact, today in Iraq and Afghanistan. I have the impression that this sort of behavior is the legacy of the infamous British "cant" if you know what I mean. It is hypocrisy double-distilled to trash the Germans and never mention your friend "Uncle Joe" who massacred some 40 million people of our own race or Mao Tse Tung who was even more murderous.

So, as an embittered and disappointed German, I am tempted to start watching you Americans from the side-lines as you rush head-long into the coming disaster and sometimes I might even feel good because of it. Your "militias", I bet, will do little to avert it; they are not the heroes you think they are. They have little ground for complaining about the treatment they get from your government when they return from Iraq or Afghanistan because they all went there of their own free will, they all were volunteers and were eager to join the army and slaughter innocents. They all deserve what they get. And so do you.

So, Mr. Stang, cheer up, the fate that is in store for you will not be nice. Sincerely, Walter Ruthard

Mr. Jean H. Broeckx

Canadians in Search of Justice Box 449, Robson DC

Why do Canadians accept less? [EXTRACT] Dear Jean Broeckx, Your essay in Dialogue, Aug.-Sep. 06 [Politically-imposed class discrimination in Canada, p.43] was read with great interest! In all my 33 Dear Jean Bi years of living in Canada, I have not seen a better-written piece on this topic than the one you wrote.

your essay in Canada I have

I myself have wondered many times why it is that Canadians, a supposedly free people enjoying the many benefits of a society of their own choosing, seem to be quite satisfied with the many unnecessary drawbacks and failures that this same system imposes on them. You addressed some of

unnecessary dra Is it because Canadians do not know that there are other op-of them in your of them in your e tions for arranging the affairs of a state? Or do they know so little about the world and history outside of Canada that Is it because Cana it escapes them that other societies were much more suc-Or do the st

Or do they know s centration of life to such an extent that these citizens were other societ. other societies were of life to such a extent that these citizens were of life to such an extent the barricades in order to defend of life to such an experimentation of their country? If this is so, then it would indicate to me that their country? If this is so proud of is, in realare so proud of is in r ity, one more failure amongst those you list – that it is inca-the young about the the young about the w pable of educating the young about the intentionally misin-them? ada, or, perhaps, that it is designed to intentionally misinform them? (...) * DIALOGUE , VOL, 20, 2006

Be that as it may, you and I will not be able to change the course Canada will take in the future. From your essay I gather that you are an optimist and this is never a bad thing. I, however, am a pessimist. Not by choice but by painful experiences in this country. I am 74 years old now and I see the difference between how Canadians are living today compared to how we Germans lived in an entirely different but equally democratic system over half a century ago. I am hopeful enough that you will not be spooked to the extent of discarding this letter without having read it. I do not write it in order to contradict some of your statements. Nor do I intend to convert you to something you do not want. Even unknowingly, your philosophy as expressed in your essay looks quite similar to that of the National Socialists of Germany in the first half of the twentieth century. Your ideas are well-founded. I just want to point out to you that your statements and observations became a reality some 73 years ago in quite a different world. Maybe you will be interested to learn what others could do. And I also know that what you are about to read is never ever taught in Canadian schools and universities, nor it is reported in the press or television, not to mention the books in Canadian libraries. What I will tell you is easy enough to verify if you so wish, so I encourage you to do just that.

"Plain and simply, it is because adequate jobs are not being created by government(s) or . the corporate sector". This is what you wrote and without a doubt you are right. But Germany in the 1920s and early 1930s was burdened by at least 6 million people without

Walter Ruthard 7638 Conc. Rd. 2, R.R.1 Lisle, ON, LOM 1M0

13 September 2006

n all my 33 years of living in ne you wrote.

sedly free people enjoying te satisfied with the many hem. You addressed some

ing the affairs of a state? that it escapes them that jobs. When the National Socialists formed the government in 1933 this changed rather quickly and by 1937 there was practically not a single unemployed person left. I want to emphasize here that this was not achieved by creating an armament industry as is commonly alleged and believed by people whose trust in the press is naive and boundless. It was achieved by building the world's first super highways, among other measures, in order to get the unemployed off the streets. This was the initial fuse which sparked a whole host of other economic activities. Adequate housing had to be provided nearby for the many hundreds of thousands of construction workers who built these highways. They had to be fed and in their off-hours they had to be entertained. Construction bureaus, blast-furnaces, the forest industry, ship building etc. Which meant that additional millions of people were now newly employed and became wage earners who could contribute with their taxes to the tide of progress that swept across the country. It also meant that these workers were satisfied workers again. Enough of this here, although there was much, much more.

"... everyone would have a job and so, wages would naturally rise". Quite right again. People once more had money to spend. Which again stepped up the production of household goods. The economy began to boom. And despite of this there was no inflation! By 1937 everyone was with a job. Every youth had the opportunity to go on to university, even if he came from a working family, but only if he was deserving of it by being intelligent enough and willing to study hard. All expenses were paid by the state. There were no students that wasted time and money by hanging about for years in these institutions. The principle was not 'everybody has the right to work' but 'everybody has the duty to work'.

•

"We should have learned by now, that (by denouncing the profit motive) abundant food and . shelter, as well as, a clean environment are totally achievable and sustainable". The profit motive then was a different one from the one we know now. The manufacturers and the workers were united in the so-called labour front, where the working conditions were regulated by the government and by mutual agreement. The workplaces had to be well lit and ventilated. The German workers were the first in the whole world who enjoyed eighthour workdays. Sufficient break times were set, and larger companies had to establish canteens and provide nourishing meals for the workers at affordable prices. They were frequented not only by the workers but also by the owners of the factories. There were no strikes because all differences were resolved before the need for striking arose. At least this aspect could be copied in Canada without any effort or expense. But no! Young workers enjoyed longer paid vacations than older ones because it was recognized that they were still growing up. Older workers above 40 years of age also got longer vacation times because they were rewarded for the many years they had contributed to the well-being of the nation. German workers enjoyed other benefits as well. Do you know that the modern mass tourism industry has its roots in Germany? Millions of German workers could take holidays in the most beautiful places in Germany or on specially built ocean liners that had only one class and outside cabins only? The cruises were cheap but luxurious. Even the railway trips from the farthest corners of the country to the ports of Hamburg and Bremen cost just one Reichsmark and this included the return fare as well. They visited foreign countries but never Great Britain. They were not allowed by Britain to land, for instance in London,
because the British workers might have seen how much better off their German counterparts were.

There also was a clean environment. Farm animals were raised according to government guidelines that prohibited cruelty and proscribed that they be kept under natural conditions. Inhumane treatment of animals was severely punished by penal servitude. Germany at that time was a very clean country. There was no litter lying around. None at the road sides and in the ditches. We had the first ever recycling program for paper, glass, old clothes, iron scraps etc. Only in recent years I have seen such a program introduced in Canada.

- Show me a minimum wage or part-time worker who can afford to buy a home and/or raise a family on his or her inadequate income. Clearly it is not possible". Absolutely correct nowadays. But in the Germany of those days, housing was provided to deserving families and couples about to start out in life. The government built houses for young families. There was, of course, a mortgage on each of them. The interest to be payed was just 1%! But after the first child was born, the government reduced it by 25%. After the fourth child was born, there was no mortgage at all anymore. I lived in such a house with my parents and siblings. It was big enough to house all six of us plus a subtenant. There was a small garden in front and a larger one in the back where my mother grew her vegetables. As far as I know there is no country on earth that did this for its people, Canada included. Not even today, some 69 years later. There was another program, this one on a voluntary basis. People who did not qualify for government assistance for housing could join a so-called savings club. You had to save a certain amount of money in order to get a cheap mortgage of 3% annually that had to be repaid within 11 years. Even after Germany's defeat in 1945 this program remained in effect and my wife and I built our house this way.
- Show me how such workers are supposed to keep themselves and their children housed, fed . and healthy. Clearly it is not possible". As I showed just above, it was possible in Germany to house everybody, even the poorest. And in a very short time. I even saw housing for the Gypsies, who are not Germans. The houses were standing empty most of the time, certainly during the warmer weather since Gypsies are a nomadic people and were sticking to their traditions even under Hitler's rule. Unhindered in peace-time! They were put into concentration camps only if they disregarded the law, just as were ethnic Germans if they did the same. The health care system we enjoyed is unsurpassed even today. Participating in all kinds of sports was mandatory for students - almost. I myself was able to avoid it most of the time since I just did not like to exercise. Which shows that there was much leeway in a highly regulated society like Germany. There was the nationwide fight against tuberculosis, cancer, smoking and alcoholism etc., all paid for by the government. In the Germany of that time nobody went without food, shelter and heat in the winter. Unlike Canada, where heating oil is primarily for Albertans ("let the eastern bastards freeze", 1973), Germany's prime heating source, coal, belonged to every German wherever he lived. This basic right was never in doubt, it was simply the German way, after all, in the event of a war all able men are required to defend the country, not just the rich ones. Being homeless and being forced to live under bridges is a national disgrace, all the more so when it happens in a rich country like Canada. It is also happening again in the now so "democratic" Germany that is supposed to be so much better than National Socialism. I am ashamed of this kind of

Germany.

Speaking of the National Socialists, let me digress for a moment. It simply means Socialism on a **national** level, exactly the same kind of Socialism that you visualize. It does not mean **International Socialism** which is **Communism**. Not even our worst enemies can claim that we were Communists. National Socialism was never intended for export and Hitler and his men said so numerous times themselves. The political party that carried National Socialism was the NSDAP, the National Socialist German Workers Party. Again, this proves one more time what I just have explained: National Socialism was for Germans only. This also puts the claim that we intended to conquer the rest of the world to shame. It is balderdash and a very stupid kind at that, intended for the gullible. It is not even new; it was also used in the First World War and if you need more proof, look at the pie chart at the end of this letter. And all the wars raging in the oh-so-peaceful world since 1945 top it off nicely. No bellicose National Socialists have been around since then. The warmongers are the former Allies.

- They [the Canadians] must end their selfish individualism and become a patriotic, . accountable unit. They must call the capitalist conservative tyranny to account and purge it from political power. They must (at the earliest opportunity) bring into being, a genuine democratic state and democratic people's government. Only then will the abuse of the weak and poor be ended. Only then, will Canada actually be truly worthy of calling itself "the best place in the world to live". That would be nice but here is where you and I have different expectations. It will never happen in Canada, for several reasons. The power of the "elites" today is very much stronger than in 1933 in Germany. They have learned their lessons well. They have perfected the influence they exercise over the masses. They are not in danger of losing their stranglehold. They have dumbed down almost every man and woman in this country to virtually the level of sleep-walkers. While in Germany then the arts and other intellectual interests of individuals were promoted, in Canada now we see just the opposite: mass entertainment with the accompanying ear-splitting shrieking, and the crowds with their arms high up in the air wagging in unison to the jungle rhythms of what nowadays is called "music", mindlessly like dogs wag their tails. Pavlov comes to mind. They will have to evolve an awfully long time until they again reach the level of a Beethoven or Mozart or Händel. And don't forget TV. Although we Germans invented it and were the first ones to enjoy it, it was others who perverted it to what we see today, namely violence and primitivism on a level that is purely inhuman. Is there a single student in Canada who can do without a calculator? So arithmetic is out, as is spelling, except for a very few. The same goes for reading skills. It is a disgrace that there are high school graduates who cannot read and write but are able to attend rave parties and consume all kinds of drugs. How can a nation like this pull itself out of the mess? It is clearly not possible. Because this nation is drugged and on the path of self-destruction. I will come back to this in a moment.
- "... Those with underpaid jobs, part-time jobs, the jobless, the homeless and the poor, (even though they are a majority in the nation) do not have actual security; and so live from hand to mouth in a sham democracy, under purely imperial rule". Exactly! And this is how it will stay. In Germany during the first 6 years of peace we had four referenda, Hitler asking his people whether they approved of his decisions. The voting in these referenda was just as

secret as today's elections in Canada are. He always got an approval rate in the high 90% range. In my 33 years in this country we have had just one referendum and the outcome was much, much lower. So much for democracy. You are right to call this a sham democracy. It is, however, not entirely the fault of the politicians. Every Canadian of voting age has a share in the blame. The politicians promise new or better projects, but these have to be paid for by the very people who expect to receive them. This is not a gift, it is buying votes, it is corruption. And the voters expect those promises. It is also corruption on an equal footing. In other words we live, as you say, in a sham democracy that is corrupt to the core.

The Germany of 1933-1945 was a true democracy and not a dictatorship. A dictator dictates his will to the people. Hitler did not. He was the first who abolished corporal punishment because he was of the opinion that this is not consistent with the honour of Germans. Because he honoured his people, they loved him and this went so far that he could visit his troops in 1945 at the Eastern front during the last fierce battles without fear of being assassinated. No German soldier was ever disarmed before he could see his Commander in Chief. They could easily have killed him. On the other hand, when Presidents Clinton and Bush visit their soldiers, you will never see an armed one. They have been disarmed and their Commanders in Chief make very sure that no bullets are flying around where they are, namely far removed from the danger zones. - The German police was forbidden to use rubber truncheons for the same reason I mentioned above. Crime was at a all-time low despite the fact that every German of good moral standing had the right to own firearms. My father owned three rifles plus two pistols that were confiscated in 1945 by the Allies. In the area where I lived there were just two policemen for an estimated 12,000 citizens! Even today, a country exists in which every household possesses a firearm. It is Switzerland, where every soldier takes his weapon home. Of course, the Swiss are 75% German and they also live in a functioning democracy that deserves this name. Every important national and regional decision has to be voted upon. They also call them referenda. And they speak 4 (four!) official languages and have lived together without any problem for many centuries now.

Now a few words about what I indicated above. Yes, Canada is on its way to self-destruction. And Canadians indulge in this with a vengeance. Have you read the silly piece by Ms. Marie Gaudet "What's Your Story?" beginning on page 39 in this issue of Dialogue? She gushes about multiculturalism, not realizing that she, as a White woman, is preparing the way on which her grandchildren and great-grandchildren will eventually marry partners of different races. Therefore her family line will change from white to coloured in a flash. This is the inevitable end of White Canadians since the trend is in this direction and it is also directed by the same forces you accuse of oppressing ordinary Canadians. It cannot be reversed. We see the same forces working towards the same goal everywhere in the Western World, in the white countries. Never in Japan for instance, or in Africa and Asia. To say it bluntly, this is gradual race extermination and it is obviously and exclusively directed against us Whites. It is a crime against mankind on a staggering level. Today, in the high time of so-called democracy. And we Whites are too stupid to realize it and too cowardly to actively oppose it.

How on earth can Canada succeed in what you write about, when it becomes ever more "diverse" as Canadians so proudly proclaim? Canada's largest city, Toronto, is already so "diverse" that more than 50% of its inhabitants are non-white! "Diverse" also means that Canadians will never unite

behind a common goal because every minority thinks of itself first. Only recently one was able to see the real face of multiculturalism in France, in England, in Holland. It just does not work. Not for us anyway. And if there is no common will, there will be no common success, just a herd of individuals or lemmings that are equally at the mercy of those who want to destroy them. That is the future of every white country. The white race will vanish, will be wiped out, will be exterminated. Hitler and his National Socialists are dead. They can't be blamed for the mess we are in. This mess is the consequence of the deeds of the victors of WW II that also was not started by Germany. Prove me wrong if you can and I will change my views!



As I said at the beginning of this rather long letter to you, you seem to be someone who can think independently. I admire you and a few others for this. But it is not enough to make a real difference. We are far beyond the point of no return. To convince enough other people to join your vision would take a hundred years or more, something our "elites" have certainly taken into account. They are also not stupid. And as a last thought about what a united nation really can achieve, look at the chart above. It shows the almost impossible feat of a united Germany fighting for six long years against almost the entire world, Canada included.

6

Sincerely,

Walter Ruthard

TBR Newsletter Editor P. O. Box 15877 Washington, D.C. 20003 U.S.A.

Herta Ruthard 7638 Conc. Rd. 2 R.R. 1 Lisle, ON, LOM 1M0 Canada

31 July 2006

Our turning point

Actually it was not a point, it was more like a long road back and forth, back to shame and forth to hope, shame - hope.

When we came to Canada in 1974 we didn't know anything about the Holocaust, we learned it here the hard way. From time to time we got anonymous letters containing material on this topic, very much in favour of Germany. This was the time when we started to think about it and came to the conclusion that all must be a big lie. But then, when watching the news on TV, which were always liberally sprinkled with poisonous needles against all things German, we again became very discouraged. Had we done it? NO. Yes, we did it. NO, we didn't - and then came the big PROOF, the made-for-TV film "Holocaust"!

Even today I can still see how my husband sat tense and embittered and seemingly shrunken in his chair in front of the TV: "so we dit it after all." The next day our 9-year-old daughter came home from school, tormented and branded as Nazi by her classmates, who had been her friends only the day before!

Ever heard of "incitement to hatred"? That was one example, double-distilled! Today the situation is reversed and patriots are put in prison for "inciting to hatred" when they question the Holocaust. What was once okay for the goose is obviously not now okay for the gander!

After we had digested the film "Holocaust" I had to go to the hospital, and of course the woman in the bed next to mine just HAD to be a Holocaust survivor.... How embarrassing that was! When I spoke one could hear that I was a German a mile away, and she looked at me so accusingly, as though I had personally killed the 6 million! Quickly I put on my hairshirt and ashes and told her how sorry we are, and how ashamed!

Then came the 40th anniversary of the end of WW 2, which launched the media and the people full steam ahead into anti-Germanism of the worst kind in our new homeland, Canada! Every time we received one of those anonymous mailings it was salve to our wounds, and eventually we began to research the subject ourselves, until one day we had reached the point where we could say: NO, we did NOT do it - but we could say it only to ourselves, there was nobody who would have understood.

But this changed, and we started to defend our homeland and ourselves. There is a German saying: wer seine Ehre nicht verteidigt, von dem glauben die Leute, er habe keine.

If you do not defend your honour, the people believe you don't have any. In 1967 there was a campaign in Germany to financially assist Israel. Sure, we had to help that much admired, brave little country and donated 300 marks which was a lot of money for us. I cannot even begin to say how many times we have regretted that bit of stupidity.

Herta Ruthard



tbreditor@yahoo.com

Daer Mr. Carto,

I have just finished reading TBR's "Charles de Gaulle" by John Nugent. General de Gaulle had every right to be incensed by the unnecessary destruction of French cities, the rape of French women, and the looting by the Allies during the "liberation" of France. All this, by the way, did not happen a few years earlier when the Germans had defeated France.

However, General de Gaulle was not the true gentleman one is led to believe by this story. Nobody forced him to follow the example given by the Allies when his troops entered Germany. I happened to live in the south-west of Germany in 1945 when we were "liberated" by his soldiers. The Black Forest town of Freudenstadt was looted for two days, burnt to the ground and its womenfolk mass-raped by the French troops under the command of General Lattre de Tassigny, whose Supreme Commander was General de Gaulle. In Karlsruhe, General de Gaulle behaved like the Roman demigod Craracalla, standing in a jeep and enjoying his ride through the Kaiserstrasse. Those buildings that were still standing were set ablaze so that he could be filmed in his triumphal entry. His troops terrorized the civilian population, kidnaped my younger brother, then 11 years old, threw him into the back of a truck, beat him up and then threw him out of the moving vehicle some 8 or 10 miles later. Civilians had to step off the sidewalk when a French soldier came along - or else. The French troops lived off the land just like their predecessors did during their previous at-least-30 major invasions of Germany since the end of the Thirty Years' War 360 years ago; they stole everything that was not nailed down. They deforested huge areas of the Black Forest, they even dismantled one track of the railway line to Basel/Switzerland. And they gave us the generous allowance of 750 calories (yes!) of food per day whilst their relatives and children spent their holidays in Germany living in luxury. In Freiburg not one new-born baby lived to see its first birthday in 1946/47. And finally, when de Gaulle's heroes left this part of Germany for good, the border town of Kehl (near Strassburg) witnessed the largest mess one can imagine. The houses that had been occupied by his soldiers looked like pigsties that housed the scum of the earth.

For all of this there is only one person to blame: General Charles de Gaulle, the Supreme Commander and President in those times. This is another side of this great son of the so-called *La Grande Nation* that considers itself the cradle of civilization.

Sincerely, Walter Ruthard Lisle, Ontario, Canada source for self-instruction in world history would be The Barnes Review, a history magazine you have surely heard of and which I highly recommend to you. It is an American magazine and therefore cannot be linked to a patriotic German like me who tries to defend his country of birth against baseless accusations like yours.

Poland partly mobilized her army on March 23^{rd,} 1939. As an American, experienced in this matters, you undoubtedly will understand what this means: a severe warning to the other country, in this case Germany.
Poland fully mobilized her army on August 30th, 1939. This was nothing else but a declaration of war on Germany by Poland. President Bush sen. as well as his son showed the world how this is done, didn't they?
On September 1st, 1939 Germany "invaded Poland" as you say. You may not like Hitler but this is what he said on that day: "As of 4.15 a.m. we are shooting back". Which was exactly what happened.

Prior to the outbreak of the war Polish troops violated the German border numerous times, killing Germans on their own native soil, kidnaping them, burning farms to the ground, shooting at German airplanes over international waters, shooting at customs officers, and the list goes on. On the single day of August 31st alone, there were 14 incidents. I think you will agree with me that the United States would not have been more patient than the German government had been.

Now a few quotations that underscore what I have just told you:

"... One hour ago general mobilization was ordered in Poland by public posting. The first day of mobilization is August 31[1939]; all persons who have a white draft card are ordered to report..."

Source: The German Representative in Warsaw was the one who informed the Foreign Ministry in Berlin by telephone. It is documented in *Deutsches Weißbuch* 2/1939, "Dokumente zur Vorgeschichte des Krieges", Document No. 465, p. 302.

Kazimierz Sosnkowski, Polish general and minister in exile on August 31, 1943, at a press conference: "Poland's decision of August 30, 1939, which formed the basis for general mobilization, marked a turning point in European history. It forced Hitler to go to war, at a time when he hoped to gain further, bloodless victories."

Source: Hans Kohn, Pan-Slavism. Its History and Ideology", Vienna 1965. Quoted in Bolko Freiherr von Richthofen, Kriegsschuld 1939 - 1941, Kiel, Arndt, 1986, p. 80.

Illustrowany Kurjer Codzienny: "Away with the Germans behind their natural border! Let's get rid of them behind the Oder!" "Silesian Oppeln is Polish to the core; just as all of Silesia and all of Pomerania were Polish before the German onslaught!"

Source: the major Polish newspaper "Illustrowany Kurjer Codzienny" of Cracow, on April 20, 1929 [not 1939!], as quoted in: Bolko Frhr. von Richthofen, Kriegsschuld 1939 - 1941, Kiel: Arndt, p. 75.

Dziennik Bydgoski: "(The Germans in Poland) are intelligent enough to realize that in the event of war no enemy on Polish soil will get away alive... The Führer is far away, but the Polish soldiers are close, and in the woods there is no shortage of branches."

Source: Dziennik Bydgoski (Bromberg daily newspaper) on May 11, 1939. Quoted in: Der Tod sprach polnisch, Dokumente polnischer Grausamkeiten an Deutschen 1919-1945, Kiel: Arndt, p. 18.

Depsza: "We are ready to make a pact with the devil if he will help us in the battle against Germany. Hear - against Germany, not just against Hitler. In an upcoming war, German blood will be spilled in rivers such as all of world history has never seen before."

Source: *Depsza*, Polish newspaper in Warsaw, August 20, 1939, as quoted in Dr. Conrad Rooster, Der Lügenkreis um die deutsche Kriegsschuld, 1976.

We are aware that war between Poland and Germany cannot be avoided. We must systematically and energetically prepare ourselves for this war. The present generation will see that a new victory at Grunwald will be inscribed in the pages of history. But we shall fight this Grunwald in the suburbs of Berlin. Our ideal is to round Poland off with frontiers on the Oder in the West and the Neisse in Lausatia, and to reincorporate Prussia, from the Pregel to the Spree. In this war no prisoners will be taken, there will be no room for humanitarian feelings. We shall surprise the whole world in our war with Germany.

Source: "Mocarstwowiec", Polish periodical, issue 3 from 1930, i.e. **before** Hitler became Chancellor! "Mocarstwowiec" was the voice of the Polish "League for Great Power". Quoted in: Bertram de Colonna, "Poland from the inside", p. 90.



The World in 1939-1945

These are just a few of the quotations in a long list that I have, Mr. Reese. It was not at all like you believe and I am angry that a man like you who writes in American Free Press seems to be unable to think for himself and joins in churning out the nonsense of an aggressive Germany that enjoyed conquering the world, a feeble-minded and stupid lie that also was created by one of your great presidents (Roosevelt, see chart) and believed by gullible and naïve Americans. I am disappointed in you, to put it mildly.

Therefore, to quote your great Mark Twain: "It is better to keep your mouth closed and let people think you are a fool than to open it and remove all doubt."

Sincerely,

Walter Ruthard

American Free Press c.o. Mr. Charley Reese 645 Pennsylvania Ave. SE, Suite 100 Washington, D.C. 20003 U.S.A.

Walter Ruthard 7638 Conc. Rd. 2 R.R. 1 Lisle, ON, LOM 1M0 Canada

April 28, 2006

Re: "The War State" by Charley Reese, AFP, April 10, 2006. p. 14.

Dear Mr. Reese,

I am a long-time reader of American Free Press and therefore you are not unknown to me. I am not always in agreement with what you write, but this is called "freedom of expression" and it goes both ways and means that you have the freedom to write what you like and I am now taking the freedom to tell you what I like. At the end of your abovementioned article it is claimed that you "relish your free-wheeling, unabashed love of truth, no matter whose ox is gored." Well, now it is time for me to gore your own ox, since you seem to be able to take a bit of criticism.

You write that "the United States has fought only one justified war in this and the past century. That was World War II."

I was always under the impression that World War II ended in 1945, well within the first half of the "past century", namely the 20th. Sixty-one years later we are now living in the 21st century! Am I to understand that Americans are still living in a sort of post-traumatic shock that has not ended sixty-one years later and are in dire need of all the shrinks there are in "God's own country"? Well, it is high time you came out of it.

So far I had a lot of fun reading your column. Now to the more serious matter. You also write that "Only God and George Bush know why we attacked Iraq. That was clearly a war of aggression, no different from the German invasion of Poland in the 1930s."

If I understand this correctly, it would mean that "we" (the American people) are conducting an illegal war by not stopping your war-crazy president! It would also mean that they are responsible for all the casualties (hypocritically called "collateral damage") and all the destruction that the Iraqis, not to forget the Afghans, have to endure by these wars you inflicted on them. And it means that you, the American people, are liable for reparations to the Iraqis and Afghans, to the last penny, plus interest. And since genocide has no statute of limitations, this liability of yours also applies to the Germans who were butchered in both world wars by Americans. Well, the Americans' tender conscience will not allow them to venture into that costly territory, I bet. It could encourage the whole world to try to get (real) money from Uncle Sam for a change. Until now, this pattern of payment was only reserved for the Germans, although the reasons were rather different then, as it was not us who caused WW II but have to pay anyway. This is what *I* call goring of the ox, Mr. Reese.

"The German invasion of Poland in the 1930s" was no invasion (in the sense of a surprise assault, Americanstyle) at all! It was simply a defensive action. I will give you some pertinent facts which no doubt you have never heard of in your school years or maybe university studies. But you also slept through an important time of your own life, namely the critical examination of the "facts" given to you when you, as a youngster, were most susceptible to the wisdom of your elders who themselves may not have been so learned as you believed. Here now is the lesson that you should have learned a long time ago - but better late than never, no? A good 5 Fead Street Orangeville, ON L9W 1A6

Herta Ruthard 7638 Conc. Rd. 2, R.R. 1 Lisle, ON LOM 1M0

Dec. 13, 2006

Dear Ms. Ruthard,

Thank you for taking the time to write and send information about the terrible bombing of Dresden. I am well aware of this tragedy of war.

I am sorry that my article brought forward painful wartime memories, however, I hope you would agree that civilians on all sides suffer horrendously in war. Many of my clients survived the war as you did in places like Danzig, Bad Salzuflen, Abtissendorf, Beuren and Jauernig. Please rest assured that their stories are being told.

Sincerely,

RAardahu

Bernadette Hardaker

Ms. Bernadette Hardaker 5 Fead St. Orangeville, ON, L9W 1A6 Herta Ruthard 7638 Conc. Rd. 2, R.R.1 Lisle, ON, L0M 1M0

29 November 2006

Dear Ms. Hardaker,

I read the article "Excerpt from FAITHFUL SERVANT Joan Kathleen Davison's Memoir" in "In The Hills", number 4, 2006 and I feel the urge to comment on it.

"...Of course it disturbed the household, me getting up first and the siren going. My father said one time, 'Who does this man (Hitler) think he is, disturbing our rest like this?' It was funny."

I had to take a deep breath to get my emotions under control when I thought of the 600.000 innocent civilians who perished in the Dresden Holocaust alone in two hellish days the world had never seen before. For the German people it was not "funny".

The bombing of civilians was an Allied-invented atrocity, and as its climax Britain's "great Sir Winston Churchill" decided to turn Dresden into a huge barbecue. Churchill: "Ido not want suggestions as to how we can disable the economy and the machinery of war; what I want are suggestions as to how we can roast the German refugees on their escape from Breslau." You can read about it in the enclosures. And the Americans came as brothers in arms like hyenas to finish up and feed on a dying people.

"Everyone has a story" - indeed, but evidently some must never be told.

Sincerely,

Herta Ruthard

Essa Times P.O. Box 2026 Angus, ON L0M 1B0 Walter Ruthard R.R. 1 Lisk ON LOM 1M0

5 November 2006

Dear Editor,

this letter is in response to "Remember", page 10, in the Essa Times of October 30, 2006.

I have been living in Canada for 34 years now and have been a citizen for 30 years. And my country of birth is Germany. When I came here, I was supposed to leave all the baggage that is connected to my home country behind and start a new life. That was precisely my intention even before I set foot here. It was also what your immigration official who admitted me to Canada promised me would be possible.

The rosy picture he painted was somewhat corrected right on my very first work day in this country: I was greeted by a co-worker with the so-called "Nazi salute"! And during my 24 years with the company in question, there were only too many occurrences which confirmed to me that my first impression was not an isolated one or that I imaged things. Needless to say, my awareness of an otherwise well-camouflaged hate against Germans was not limited to my workplace.

And now, after reading your piece, I again see this subtle hate surfacing, this time in your paper. You write:

"In the First World War, the Canadians' first major battle occurred at Ypres, Belgium, on April 22, 1915, where the Germans used poison gas."

Of course they used poison gas! But not mentioning the fact that the French - your comrades in arms! - were the ones who used it first against the Germans is what I consider a very one-sided statement that gives your readers the impression that the Germans were tho ones who started the gas war. Since Germans are always considered to be morally inferior to the victors in both world wars, this is a perfect example of how to solidify this impression in the minds of unthinking people.

Another instance in your piece that I would like to point out is:

"They [the Canadians] were prepared to face any ordeal for the sake of freedom."

This refers to WW2, in which Canadians again fought against the Germans. In my studies regarding the events that led to the outbreak of WW2, I could not find a single honest account of the Germans threatening Canada or her Allies and wanting to conquer them and take away their freedom. And I am confident that you will not be able to point one out to me unless you refer to the Allied war propaganda which in itself was anything but honest, to say the least.

Let me put it bluntly: it was Canada who declared war on Germany in both instances. How stupid this Allied war propaganda was, and how it still reverberates in the minds of Canadians, was illustrated to me a few years back when a reader of another local paper advised me that Canadian soldiers in WW2 also fought for me. Yes, for me! I remember it quite differently, and my memories are not very pretty, I can assure you. These memories are the reason why I will never buy a poppy.

There are two more examples in your piece which I consider hateful. In both of them you refer to the German soldiers as "Nazis" in a contemptuous and spiteful manner. The vast majority of the German soldiers were not members of the National Socialist Workers Party. They were just very brave men who defended their country for six years and had ample reason to do so. Much more than Canadians had, like it or not. As I see it, much to my regret, the minds of Canadians are still so poisoned by their own war propaganda that it is almost impossible to reason with them. Once in a while one can find one who now realizes that he fought

on the wrong side, because since 1945 there have been no more "Nazis" but all the more wars which could not have been started by them - some 300 wars, to be precise! With countless millions of innocent victims. Now, who are and were the real war-mongers?

So, at least I understand that not everything here in Canada is what Canadians themselves believe. There is no equality as far as German-Canadians are concerned. In fact, as the treatment of them shows, they are second-class citizens of whom it is expected that they keep quiet and endure what others dish out to them. How on earth do you expect someone like me to develop patriotic feelings towards Canada? The only thing I can see is the abysmal contempt by people who like to point fingers at those who have no means to defend themselves.

Once again Canada is on the war path, this time in Afghanistan. No Afghan ever threatened any Canadian, not even the "evil Taliban", let alone wanted to "take their freedom away". We have been told that Canadians and their friends, the Americans, are fighting there for the freedom of the Afghans and especially for the rights of their women to go about their business without having to wear the infamous "Burka". Yet in England, here in Canada, and even in Germany, the very same Afghan women insist on wearing the "burka"! In "democratic" countries where they can do what they allegedly can't do in their own country. Also, remember that the Taliban effectively wiped out the growing of poppies there? No opium was coming out of that country then. But now, under the very eyes of the democratic warriors, Afghan again is producing 90% of the world's opium! So, tell me, what is it the Canadians are fighting for there?

I realize that it is your right to honour your veterans and I would be the last one to oppose it. But, for once, can you not admit that others also have the right to defend themselves? Why is it that they are not left alone and in peace, like we Germans were not left in peace before 1939? What has Canada to gain from this newest, totally unnecessary war? The Taliban is far away and hardly a threat to Canada. I could have told you: never ever has a conquering army been able to defeat "terrorists", as they are misleadingly called. The Americans "cut and ran" in Vietnam, as everybody knows. They will "cut and run" in Iraq as well. And the

Canadians could have learned a lesson from it. But they will also have to "cut and run" eventually, because all the bravery of their soldiers will not be enough to defeat the newly emerged Taliban. Not even if they continue to use their superior weaponry against very brave Taliban fighters who only have their kalaschnikovs, a few stones, no body armor and no army hospital in Landstuhl/Germany to bind their wounds. And already the Canadians are calling for more help from their NATO friends!

Once again, new Canadian veterans will be honoured for fighting against an "enemy" that is not their enemy. Again they will and do die for the wrong reasons and again the bravery and motivation of their "enemies" will be swept under the rug. Too bad you can't call the Taliban "Nazis".

Sincerely,

Walter Ruthard



Mr. Hank Floyd 39 Tamarack Dr. Hawkstone ON L3V 6H3 Walter Ruthard R.R. 1, Lisle ON, L0M 1M0

28 September 2006

Dear Mr. Floyd,

in the weekend edition of "Orillia Today" of September 22, 2006 I read your letter to the editor "Layton off base on Taliban" with great interest and even greater amazement. Please enlighten me on the facts stated in your letter. I do not understand how NDP leader Jack Layton could in any way be implicated in the newly discovered (by you) crime of the Germans, during the Second World War, wanting to force Canadians to speak German.

"Layton's solution would have been to cut and run..."

I am not a friend of Mr. Layton, nor am I a friend of the NDP, but to "cut and run", as you put it, seems to be a time-honoured tradition amongst the Champions of Democracy.

You see - and you can read up on this - some 150 years or so ago the almighty Brits, despite their famous stiff upper lips, were the first ones to abandon their imperial aspirations in Afghanistan. They left that country with their tails between their legs and never tried again.

Some time ago - and your personal memory should suffice for this - the Americans indeed did "cut and run" from Vietnam. After wasting vast sums of money for nothing and killing millions of civilians.

Then, in 1989, it was the Soviets' turn to "cut and run". They were literally chased out of Afghanistan by the superior Taliban. They were even glad to escape!

Then once again – are the Americans too stupid to learn a lesson, or what? – they "cut and ran" out of Somalia away from a bunch of tribal warriors.

Remember Lebanon and how quickly the Americans "cut and ran" there after some 250 of their heroes bit the dust at Hezbollah's hands? For all I know, some of the survivors are still "cutting and running" to their shrinks on a weekly basis.

Iraq. Again the Americans would only be too glad to "cut and run" if it only wouldn't cost them too much face. But this decision, I am afraid, is out of their hands now.

And now they are casting their eyes on Iran. Some will never learn and I hope that this will also give them some black eyes. The odds are in favour of it.

In Afghanistan, another ill-fated adventure, they now wisely "concentrate" in the less dangerous

parts of the country, leaving the fighting to the brave Canadians who will, in time, also have to "cut and run" because they foolishly did not study the failures of their friends south of the border and those of their murderous former friends, the Soviets. I know this hurts your national pride, Mr. Floyd, but it is an inescapable fact that the Afghans have never been conquered in recorded history. And it would be stupid to assume that Canadians are better equipped to do it than others who have tried in vain.

"If NDP leader Jack Layton had been around during the Second World War, we might now be speaking German."

I don't believe that this was a bad joke of yours. You mean it. But tell me how the Germans could have conquered Canada when they were not even able to cross the English Channel? Assuming that the Germans wanted to teach Canadians to speak German is, well, stupidity. Now, for what purpose are Canadian soldiers in Afghanistan? Tell me, please. Perhaps to prevent the Afghans from teaching Canadians how to speak Pashtun? And what makes you think that Canadians will be more successful in Afghanistan than their predecessors?

"Negotiating with thugs like Hitler or the Taliban is a waste of time."

Hitler is ancient history and according to my experiences, it would have been very wise to have negotiated with him when he made his numerous peace offers. Well, you did not and what you see today can't be blamed on him. Since 1945 there have been some 300 wars, with many millions of "collaterally damaged" that far exceed even his supposed record, and even the bloody record of 65 million set by your beloved "Uncle Joe" in the Kremlin, are all the doing of those who defeated Hitler. In light of this it seems to me pretty two-faced to talk of Hitler and the Taliban as thugs. Today the democratic thugs are very much alive, Mr. Floyd.

Have fun,

Walter Ruthard

Layton off base on Taliban

If NDP leader Jack Layton had been around during the Second World War, we might now be speaking German.

The allies were losing on all fronts and suffering severe losses. Based on what he has said about Afghanistan, Layton's solution would have been to cut and run, and negotiate some kind of peace. You may recall that this was tried without success by Britain's Chamberlain.

Negotiating with thugs like Hitler or the Taliban is a waste of time. They are on a different wavelength. Folk like Layton and Chamberlain are viewed by these people as cowards and fools. They only respect a strong opponent. Their answer to appeasement is death to the infidels, as in the destruction of the World Trade Center, the bombings in London and Madrid, and the failed attempt to destroy dozens of aircraft over the Atlantic Ocean.

Our choice is to either fight and defeat the terrorists in Afghanistan now, or we will fight them sooner or later here in Canada. Layton's misguided and naive remarks are insulting and demeaning to the fine young men and women proudly wearing a Canadian uniform in the defence of freedom and democracy. Shame on Jack Layton and the NDP for using this as a political football.

Hank Floyd Hawkestone

"Negotiating with thugs like Hitler or the Taliban is a waste of time." Prof. Catherine A. Epstein 103A Converse Hall PO Box AC# 2209 Amherst, MA 01002-5000 USA <u>epstein@amherst.edu</u>

Dear Professor Epstein,

in my mail I recently found a photocopy that describes you as the Dean of the Faculty at Amherst College and Professor of History and the author of several books on Nazi Germany, your newest being "Nazi Germany: Confronting the Myths". I found this interesting enough to do my own bit of research on the Internet since Amherst College and yourself were previously unknown to me.

There are four myths mentioned in this photocopy. All of them seem to be authored by you and what you have to say is very interesting to me as a German. Of course, I am not a historian and my knowledge about the Holocaust might be a bit limited compared to yours, being an expert on this subject. Good literature on the Holocaust is not really abundant for a lay person like myself. Therefore I beg your patience while I offer some thoughts of my own, and I hope to get some feedback from you.

In Myth #1 you say: ... "But roughly 40 percent of all individuals who died in the Holocaust did not die that way [meaning by gassing and cremating]. They died by being lined up against pits and shot point-blank, or any number of other brutal ways..."

This is the very first time that someone has told me that 2,400,000 (two million four hundred thousand = 40% of 6,000,000!) Jews were killed outside the gas chambers. Are you sure you did not mix up this event with the massacre that was done to the Polish elite by the Soviets in the Katyn forest in Poland in 1940? I very much would like to see proof of this number, Professor Epstein. This number you gave begs to be investigated further. Now, I am not a mathematician either, but since you did not give an exact number of Jews shot into their grave, I will also give you some approximate figures based on your claim.

Assuming that the average victim measured 20 inches across the shoulders, this grave would have had to be a total of 48,000,000 (48 million!) inches in length. This translates into 121,920,000 centimeters or 1,219,200 meters or 1,219 kilometers or 757.5 British nautical miles or – hold on to your hat – the distance from the northern tip of Guatemala all the way down to the southern end of Costa Rica! I am certain that this number would be no challenge at all for archaeologists to verify since the victims were not gassed and cremated and therefore their skeletons should easily be found even after more than 70 years. After all they dug up brontosaurus rex 60 million years later! Finding the exact location of this mega grave should also be no problem for them; it has to be in the vicinity of Auschwitz.

Myth #2: "It's common believe that the Treaty of Versailles is responsible for Hitler's rise to power. In fact, during the 1920s the Germans were pretty good at overturning the treaty. You can imagine that process continuing if the Great Depression hadn't intervened. It was the Great Depression that allowed the Nazis to come to power, not the Treaty of Versailles."

As I remember, Hitler came to power in 1933. Before him, in the 1920s as you write, there were quite a number of so-called democratic governments in Germany and none of them succeeded in

persuading the victors of WW I to even think of reviewing the Treaty of Versailles which was in effect not a treaty at all but a dictated "treaty" in which the Germans had no say. All efforts of the Germans to revise this treaty were rejected by the victors. No good will on their side. The British blockade of the German sea ports continued long after the war ended and cost 1 million German civilians their lives. No compassion there either. The Great Depression was already in full swing when Hitler came to power. Despite this he succeeded in overcoming the Great Depression long before President Roosevelt couldn't do it without his Great War. The Nazis came to power because of the Treaty of Versailles, not the Great Depression! Remember the French general Foche, one of the "victors" of WW I? He said in 1919: "This is no peace. This is an armistice for 20 years". And exactly twenty years later, in 1939, the Second World War commenced. Of course you knew this, Professor Epstein; it belongs to your field of expertise, you just "forgot" to mention it.

Myth #3: "The Myth of over-policing. Another misconception is that the Gestapo was around every corner. Nazi Germany was actually under-policed, and relied heavily on regular citizens to turn in 'undesirable' neighbors".

Yes, Germany was indeed "under-policed". This included not just the Gestapo but also the regular police. In the little town of some 3000 inhabitants in which I grew up, there were just two policemen and they were responsible for another 12,000 people in the surrounding villages. They were the only policemen I ever saw. And saying that "Nazi Germany was actually under-policed, and relied heavily on regular citizens to turn in 'undesirable neighbors' is somewhat showing your anti-German Jewish sentiments, isn't it? The Germans did not have to snitch on their neighbors. 'Undesirable neighbors' were all known to the authorities considering the well-known fact that all Germans had to be registered, even a hundred years before Hitler. There is however a country today that is indeed over-policed and it is your own United States of America, the land with by far the most incarcerated (over two million).

Myth #4: "It's hard to imagine how the Nazis could have defeated Russia even if everything had gone their way," "It's remarkable how much of the German campaign revolved around horses: they sent 600,000 [?] horses into Russia."

Maybe. But the Soviets also used horses. Even the French did. But the real remarkable fact is that the Germans reached Moscow within four months while the Soviets needed four years to reach Berlin. Despite tanks from Britain, planes from the Americans and millions more soldiers than the Germans ever could deploy.

These are my thoughts, dear Professor Epstein. Am I right to sense a change, perhaps a cautionary one, in the Jewish view on the Holocaust? Perhaps not as many people as some wish still believe everything that is put in front of them. Now, forgive me for pulling your leg a bit, but I have one more and final remark. Should the archaeologists – yet again – be unsuccessful in finding the traces of the "40 percent" near Auschwitz, I have an explanation for that too. You see, the Nazis were incredibly evil people as you and I well know. They used a new, as yet unheard-of weapon, that made all tangible evidence of their crimes disappear: they used their magic wand and – poof!!! – all traces were gone!

Best regards

Walter Ruthard

Dear Mr. Bill Heid, President Solutions From Science

Thank you for your news letter of Tuesday, May 29, 2012.

As you probably know I am a new customer and so far I have found your information useful. However I am also a German and I want to let you know that your statement in the first Paragraph is quite offensive to me. Here is what you wrote:

"Dear Walter,

Those seeking power have always sought gold. Alexander the Great plundered vast amounts of gold from Persia. Cortez conquered the Aztec nation in order to seize their gold. <u>Prisoners in German concentration camps had teeth forcibly yanked out for the sake of small bits of gold</u>. And now, your government is doing the same thing. The question is, why? Because they can."

Whether or not Alexander the Great stole Gold from Persia is of little concern to me. That is what victors do. Americans too! And I know that the Spaniards worked their Indian slaves to death in order to extract Gold. This is a well known historical fact no sane and <u>educated</u> person will dispute.

But it is an entirely different matter when you claim that "Prisoners in German concentration camps had teeth forcibly yanked out for the sake of small bits of gold." I do not know where you got this information from and I would like it very much if you could (can?) provide me with the source of your wisdom so that I can confirm the truth of this effrontery myself. As an conscientious adult you should get the facts straight before you offend others like me who know quite a bit more than you about German concentration camps and what really happened in them.

I suspect that you got your education about the yanked-out gold teeth when you watched too many times the moronic tall tales on your boob tube and did not bother to ask whether this was true at all. Certainly you did hear about the so-called (second) Holocaust and that we Germans gassed 6 million innocent Jews. But have you ever heard about the first Holocaust that happened around 1919? With exactly the same number of killed Jews? Of course not because that would tax your credulity a bit too much.

There were no teeth extracted in any German concentration camp, period.

This much for now. I am quite a bit resentful about you Americans teaching others on matters you don't understand. You do not even know that your President Eisenhower was caught on film when he personally was filling his deep pockets with German gold. I can provide you with the photograph.

So, Mr Heid, come off your high horse, do some research and then apologize like a man should when he was proven wrong.

If I ever will come across a thing like this again, I will terminate my membership immediately. It's your decision.

Walter Ruthard

The American Free Press c/o Mr. Richard Walker 645 Pennsylvania Avenue SE, Suite 100 Washington, D.C. 20003 U.S.A. Walter Ruthard Box 34, Lisle, ON L0M 1M0 Canada

March 23, 2013

Dear Mr. Walker,

In *The American Free Press*, March 4 & 11, 2013, p. 18 I read with great interest your article "China Stealing Our Future – Chinese hackers have stolen billion\$ in cutting-edge research."

May I repeat some parts of your article here?

1) "Cyber expert Jason Healey, of the Cyber-Statecraft Initiative, argues China's cyber thefts have led to 'the biggest transfer of wealth through theft and piracy in the history of mankind.""

2) "British intelligence has warned Prime Minister David Cameron that every major British corporation has suffered from cyber intrusions, and the culprit has always been the Chinese Peoples's Liberation Army cyberwarfare section."

3) "In 2003, the Bush White House was informed China had concluded the best and cheapest way to level the technological playing field with the United States was through cyber espionage to steal secrets from within jet propulsion laboratories, as well as from bomb makers working on new weapons systems."

How history repeats itself! I am surprised that you don't seem to know anything about "the biggest transfer of wealth through theft and piracy in the history of mankind". What the U.S.A. today loses to the Chinese is just a fraction of what Germany lost after 1945 to the U.S.A. and her equally greedy friends the Brits, the French and the Russians. The US looted (Operation Paperclip, remember?) all the German patents by the hundreds of thousands and measured them by counting of how many train wagons it took to transport them. Not only this, you also abducted the top German scientists like Wernher v. Braun and others, more than 600 of them, to the United States of America to "help" you to finally find out how to make decent rockets that really took off instead of exploding on the ground. You learned how to produce lady's stockings without seams, for instance. Not only this, you not only "liberated" the patent, no, you stole the whole machine that was needed to make them. Aside from robbing industrial patents, you also "liberated" (your GI-heroes's favorite expression) art treasures like rare books, porcelain, tapestry, gold (don't miss the picture on the reverse side), paintings, etc. but also the German Reich emblems like the Imperial Crown etc. that for centuries was worn by the German emperors. It's now back in Germany again after we had to pay the officer of the American army who had stolen it. Imagine, you would have pay the thief in order to get your stolen car back! I have a photograph of your former looting general Eisenhower, a real footpad, who later advanced to become American president (lovingly called Ike by you Americans), enriching himself by filling his deep pockets with German jewelry. I don't assume that he ever repatriated any of his loot. So much for the honour of the American army.

And you are still not done with stealing German industrial secrets! ECHELON is an American establishment in Bavaria/Germany built for spying on German companies, telephone calls and



Internet traffic. It is protected by 65.000, probably even many more, American soldiers who are still stationed in Germany as unwanted "guests".

If interested, go to www.wintersonnenwende.co m/scriptorium/english/.../pate nts.html And read The Great Patents Heist:

"... The list goes on and on: synthetic mica, which increased American cold steel production by 1,000 percent; "the secrets for 50,000 dyes, many of [which] are faster and better than ours, colors we were never able to make"; milk, butter and bread

preservation without chemicals; and refrigeration and air-conditioning for German U-boats so efficient that their subs could cruise from the Atlantic to the Pacific, fight there for two months and return to Germany without having to take on fresh water for the crew. In addition, there was the pilot ejector seat, the infrared rifle scope, and even the negative-air ionize, which many Americans use for the fresh feeling it puts in the air, with claims of reduced blood pressure, allergy and asthma symptoms."

You can read much more about this and in greater detail in Harper's Magazine of 1945/1946.

And, to make you a bit more comfortable in the sense that you are not the only ones who went on a centuries-long robbing and killing spree, your British partners in crime also stole everything they could. Today the walls of the Taj Mahal, for instance, look like a pock-marked face. That's where the Brits picked the inlaid jewels, shipped them to "great" Britain and the famous Kohinoor that they also "liberated" from the rightful owners in India, now graces the English queen's crown. Needless to say that Mr. Cameron, the Prime Minister of that stealing country, refused to return the Kohinoor to the Indian people when he recently was visiting that country. That would be a sight to behold: the British queen relinquishing what does not belong to her!

So, as a German who has every reason to be a proud one, I see here a classic example of poetic justice. America's and Britain's unending self-started wars will eventually come to a shameful end and hardly anybody in the world will shed a tear after you both are gone, the French included. Accusing the Chinese of stealing is a bit hypocritical, isn't it. Two felons fighting about other peoples property is really something I enjoy very much. You should be thankful to the Chinese for letting you off so lightly, at least they don't seem to be interested in your live scientists – not yet anyway.

Sincerely,

Walter Ruthard

German-Canadian fights malice

The Editor:

An innocent little syllable can paint a nation as a ruthless world conqueror: "ge". During World War II, some people maliciously changed the German song, "... heute, DA hort uns Deutschland und morgen die ganze Welt" into "... heute GEhort uns Deutschland ..." The original means "... today, Germany hears us and tomorrow, the entire world [will hear us]". The falsified version means "... today Germany belongs to us, and tomorrow the whole world [will belong to us]." You really have to listen closely to notice the difference.

I couldn't believe my ears when, on July 24, the CBC broadcast a program about Nazi's in Germany. It was a tearful interview between a Jewish journalist and two young, guilt-ridden, successfully converted "Nazis" who warned the world that "Nazis don't sleep" and that "the hate is still there." In the background you could hear exactly the song whose wording I've explained above.

Isn't this also "hate"? Hate which is more than half a century old and is also "still there"?

I felt hurt. I felt alienated. I felt isolated. The program rubbed more than just a pinch of salt into open wounds, because nothing hurts more than slander and injustice.

I want to make this clear to everyone who might read this letter and who believes the malicious complex of antiGerman lies because they don't know better. It's enough that those poor reeducated (=brainwashed) Germans cling to it!

Germans are the largest ethnic group in the United States; millions of people of German background live here in North America, and every one of them tries hard to blend into this society. But day in, day out, for decades now, they are insulted and rebuked by their "fellow Americans" who silently and eagerly absorb this hateful crap. I have never heard any of them protest against the shameful treatment that is meted out to millions of decent people here who would like to be proud of their German heritage.

An English publication -- Germans Helped Build America -- is in the works and should help those who are interested see us with different eyes, hopefully.

Herta Ruthard Lisle, Ont.

Editor's note: Countless excellent -(and innocent) citizens of German descent take umbrage when slights and slams, whether particular or generalized, are made against what was formerly their country, their nationality, their people. Many feel that such remarks are aimed at them personally. We give this space for long-time D&D supporter Mrs. Ruthard to explain how she feels, although such a topic is generally considered beyond the mandate of this newspaper.

Discourse & Disclosure, Autumn 2002

Re: Toronto Street News, Sept. 1-15, 2009, p. B5, "Mohawk Nation News"- "Vermin Infest 6 Nations".

Gentlemen,

a few days ago a friend gave me the abovementioned paper, in which I found your most interesting article on the "acquisition" of what is now called Canada.

I have been in this country for almost 40 years now and today I know a lot more about Canada and its "white" history than I ever imagined in 1972, when I immigrated from Germany. Yes, I am a German and I am proud of it. I am also 77 years now and for age-related reasons I cannot reverse my foolish decision and try to start all over in my native Germany. If I could, I certainly would. I feel that I do not have the right to be here.

However, as much as I sympathize with your cause, I nevertheless have some reservations regarding your language in this article. I also realize that your knowledge about us Germans is by default, let's say, very one-sided. I will try here to correct this as best I can and I am appealing to your sense of fairness and that you will consider what I have to say. I am not an enemy of yours.

First, the Germans in Germany are just as much the Natives in their own country as you are the Natives here in yours. There is no difference between the two. We lived in Germany for many thousands of years; our roots go way back to the Stone Ages, even beyond the time when Stonehenge in Britain or the pyramids in Egypt were built! Archeological finds prove this over and over. We Germans also never conquered or annexed other countries in Europe except for the time during which we had to fight for our survival. We did not force our language on others as is done in France and Italy (South Tyrol) today. The proof is Switzerland, the population of which is 75% German. They could easily have forced their languages in Switzerland, namely German, French, Italian and Raeto-Roman and this multitude of languages has never led to conflict. In contrast, here in Canada with her two official languages, the French-speakers and the English-speakers do not get along with each other very well.

Germany once existed in a very large area, comprising of present-day Germany, Austria, 75% of Switzerland, the eastern provinces of France (Alsace and Lorraine), part of Belgium (Flanders), Holland, Prussia, Pomerania, Posen (part of Poland now), Silesia, the Sudetenland, Liechtenstein, Luxembourg and even what is now the whole of the Czech Republic (Bohemia and Moravia). The southern part of Denmark also belonged to Germany as did Eupen and Malmedy that are now in Belgium. Today Germany is the smallest Germany that ever existed. Is this the hallmark of a ruthless conqueror? I do not have to tell you that it was France and Britain (and others) that grew larger and larger at the expense of weaker peoples like you Mohawks. And not only here but for example also in Australia where the last Native on Tasmania was hunted down by the Brits just for fun.

German is the common language spoken in Germany, Austria, Switzerland, Liechtenstein and partly survives as local dialects in France, Luxembourg and Denmark. They are all Germans. In all the other mentioned areas it is practically wiped out. This, according to the exalted ideals of the United Nations, is nothing but genocide on a huge scale! But since it is perpetrated on Germans – who cares?

It may be news to you when I tell you that the Third Reich (National Socialist Germany) was not what you are led to believe. As the name already implies, it was not **Inter**national Socialism that was practised in Germany from 1933 until 1945. It was strictly a **national** affair and not intended for export. We also did not start the Second World War and not even the First World War. It was England and France who declared WW II on Germany on September 3rd, 1939 (and Canada a little later) after Hitler finally decided to react with force to the months of cross-border raids by the Polish

army who burnt German farms, murdered and abducted hapless Germans by the hundreds. By September 1st 1939, five thousand ethnic Germans, who had remained in the provinces stolen from us after the First World War (1918), were murdered in the former German city of Bromberg alone, while up to 56,000 other Germans were murdered in Poland, after about one million of ethnic Germans fled Poland and became the first refugees in modern times. **That** was the reason for the outbreak of WW II, after numerous diplomatic attempts by the German government to reach a fair settlement with the Poles had failed. Our present "friends" went to war on much flimsier excuses and not just once. It is a well-known fact that the victors write the history, and this alone should give pause when one repeats the victor's claim that Germany caused both world wars.

The National Socialists were not a bunch of goose-stepping lunatics as you may believe. Yes, their habit of wearing uniforms is strange even to me today. But – uniforms were also worn by the Communists who wanted to integrate Germany into the Bolshevik Empire under Roosevelt's and Churchill's dear friend "Uncle Joe" Stalin who murdered about 20 million of his own countrymen. Churchill and Stalin also proudly wore their uniforms, not just Adolf Hitler. Perhaps you know that the North American Boy Scouts and Girl Scouts also wear uniforms even today. And this finally brings me to the point I would like make to you.

You write: "... The latest hit by the OPP **Gestapo**, **Nazi** CANADA, **Fascist** ONTARIO and the Haldimand **storm trooper** is to harass customers ..., ... **Brown Shirt**..."

I do not object to your scorn of things Canadian. I realise that your complains are legitimate as far as I can understand them. But I strongly object to your comparing Canadian organizations to Germany whereby the bad light is always shone on the Germans, never on others! There was a so-called "Gestapo" (Secret State Police) in Germany at that time, yes. In the United States there were the CIA (also very secretive and even criminal), the FBI, and others who spy on Americans even today. And I am quite certain that here in Canada there also was at least one organization that kept an eye on Canadians, including you Mohawks. Today it is the CISIS. So why the constant insidious references to the "evil Germans" as Gestapo, Fascists, Storm Troopers and Brown Shirts? It is nothing but hypocrisy. This finger-pointing at Germans is historically wrong, and if you share in it you are part of this injustice; it takes away much credibility from your article. As part of Canada, whether you like it or not, you are also part of the shameful fact that to this day Germany does not have a peace treaty, 65 years after her defeat in 1945. Japan, on the other hand, has lived in peace with her former enemies since 1953!

One last point I would like to make: Canada is an Apartheid State. Yes. It consists of three main social strata. The top consists of your white conquerors plus most of the immigrants. None of them faces discrimination of any kind. They are true and pure Canadians who pride themselves on being compassionate and tolerant. Look at how they are treating you! The second stratum are the Native peoples – you – who also are true Canadians in the legal sense. But, as you know, they are discriminated against, mostly have to live in isolated communities, largely without the comforts of modern societies and therefore often die at a young age. The third and bottom layer are the Germans. They are insulted on a daily basis because they are Germans. They are the only ones that can be deported back to Germany if they do not behave politically correctly (remember Chief David Ahenakew? He did behave in a politically incorrect manner but could not be deported), regardless of their Canadian citizenship. So, dear Mohawks, cheer up. Belonging to the First Nations has its advantages; at least nobody can deport you to anywhere.

At the end of your fictional story, interesting as it is, you appeal to your readers for "financial help that is needed and appreciated". Perhaps I will subscribe to the Toronto Street News but I will not send you money now, for the reasons I have pointed out to you, and for as long as you do not change your attitude. For me it is a question of morality: why should I support anybody who unthinkingly or perhaps even deliberately insults me?

Sincerely, Walter Ruthard From: ldarnel@telus.net To: orion1927@live.com Subject: Harper Honours Greek Nazi Victims Date: Mon, 30 May 2011 19:48:04 -0600

Harper Honours Greek Nazi Victims by Daniel Proussalidis, Parliamentary Bureau - The Toronto Sun May 29, 2011

LAVRYTA, GREECE - On the final day of his official visit to Greece, Prime Minister Stephen Harper came face to face with one of the worst Nazi atrocities committed here during the Second World War.

He spent time in the town of Kalavryta where the Nazis took revenge on civilians for the executions of about 90 German soldiers by the Greek resistance movement.

On December 13, 1943 the Nazi occupiers rounded up Kalavryta's men and teenage boys and mowed them down in a hail of machine gun bullets, slaughtering 498 people and leaving only 13 survivors. Many more civilians were killed in surrounding villages.

The visit was personal for spokesman Dimitri Soudas whose grandfather was killed in that massacre.

At a ceremony at a memorial monument Sunday, Greek Orthodox priests sang and a student orchestra played the Canadian and Greek national anthems.

Then Harper and Greek Prime Minister George Papandreou each laid a wreath at the monument and lit a candle inside the cenotaph there.

Harper called his visit "somber" and as if to underline the point, the sunny sky gave way to pouring rain, thunder, and lightning soon after he left.

London, Ontario's Sam Maginas, whose father was killed in the Kalavryta Massacre, attended the ceremony and called Harper's visit "very important."

That could indicate how 250,000 Canadians of Greek origin might also feel.

But here, people's responses were more measured.

"Yes, it is an honour for us, but we have so many problems we can't think about it (at) this moment," said unemployed teacher Constantina Tsiodra.

Kalavryta resident Despina Sarantidou noted the visit didn't pressure Germany to compensate families who suffered at Nazi hands.

"We want justice and justice is not wreaths," she explained. "Justice is people getting the money they deserve."

Harper's Kalavryta visit also included a stop at the monastery where priests blessed the start of Greece's War of Independence from the Ottoman Empire in 1821.

Walter Ruthard R.R.1 Lisle, ON, L0M 1M0 Canada

Dear Mr. Peterson,

like you I am a reader of The American Free Press. In Issue 47, November 22, 2010, I found your letter "Accuracy in Reporting a Must". I must say that it is most interesting and I am forced to respond to it and try to clarify the "errors" you made. You say:

"Remember that ours is a Christian nation, and, as such, we treat others with respect and love, including our enemies, but fiercely protect ourselves, families and country if attacked."

Do you yourself really believe what you wrote here? America, a Christian nation? Do you remember the Ten Commandments where it says thou shalt not kill? As a Christian you certainly must remember. As a member of your "Christian nation", however, your recollection of the history of your nation must be somewhat stunted, or entirely lacking. Otherwise you would not claim to "treat others with respect and love, including our enemies". Let me help you fill this void by stating that your Christian nation is one of the most brutal, unethical and despicable "nations" that ever infested this planet save for the now extinct Bolsheviks. Like them and others before them, beginning with the old Romans 2000 years ago and the Brits recently, you will pretty soon end up in the dust bin of history and nobody will shed a tear for you Americans. Here is what I have already known for many years, and it's just a few samples:

1) You exterminated the North American Indians to the tune of approx. 10 million by infecting them with smallpox, driving them into inhospitable corners of your "nation", starving them to death, raping their women, castrating their men, and other Christian means. And all this before you even entered world history as the United States of America. It was the largest land robbery ever.

2) Next you stole approx. 50% of the Mexican's land: New Mexico, Arizona, California, Nevada and more.

3) Your next victims were the Spanish. Florida was on your list, then Cuba and then the Philippines. Tens of thousands were murdered in that country alone by your Christian, shall I say, soldiers? Hawaii fell to your footpads who murdered the queen there.

4) Japan was "opened" up to your trading gangsters by "gun boat diplomacy".

5) Even the native Berbers of North Africa were not safe from you.

6) Panama, Columbia, Guatemala and other hapless nations there are now so-called banana republics thanks to Uncle Sam. In this respect you are well on the way to becoming a banana republic yourselves. I can't wait, and good luck to you, you depraved "Romans" of the present-day.

7) After the First World War you plundered and pillaged Germany to such an extent that we Germans, even today, hold the world record in inflation. 800,000 died after the war from hunger thanks to you and your equally Christian friends, the British. Only today (after 91 years!) we were able to finish the payments of the forcibly-imposed reparations from World War One! May you extortionists live another few years until you disappear into the hole of oblivion forever.

8) We Germans will never forget what you did and how you behaved in our country. In Dresden alone you roasted, suffocated and strafed fleeing civilians to the tune of 600,000 dead. Dresden was a world famous centre of culture and an undefended hospital city, and it would take more than a hundred United States to make up for that city's cultural achievements alone. All gone now, thanks to you "Christians". You devastated all the major cities in Germany and the dead you left behind

number in the millions. And after the war you starved another 9 million of us to death plus another million prisoners of war that you monsters caged behind barbed wire on the banks of the river Rhine. Without shelter in the cold rains of April and May. Those were the remnants of a glorious army that fought for six years against almost the entire world. If you had even half a regiment that fought as bravely and honourably as our soldiers did, I would be tempted to admit that even Americans can produce a couple of real men and not just gangsters.

9) Remember Japan and your atom bombs with which you exterminated two cities and hundreds of thousands of civilians? After the Japanese were ready to lay down their arms and had started to negotiate? Just one of these cities was immeasurably richer in cultural treasures than the entire United States. Hell, you do not even have a proper name for your country like Australia and others!

10) Remember South Korea, Vietnam, Laos, Cambodia? All were devastated by you. You waged a chemical war (Agent Orange) and bacterial war against them and left in shame when you could not win, leaving it to them to clean up the mess you left behind. As always when you leave.

11) Remember Bosnia, Iraq (twice!) and Afghanistan? There you waged the second nuclear war by poisoning these lands with depleted uranium. Nobody can live there now without contracting cancer.

12) Now you are "fighting" two wars at the same time in Iraq and Afghanistan. Again with weapons of mass destruction, namely depleted uranium. In Iraq alone your "war heroes" left a trail of a million dead and more millions who will die in the future. Never ever has Afghanistan been defeated. The British tried twice and had to cut and run. The Soviets tried the same and also had to cut and run. And now you gum-chewing, Coca-Cola-swilling, McRatburger-munching Americans think you can teach them a lesson? It takes a moron not to see the outcome. How stupid can you get?

13) Two wars are not enough for you. There has to be another one. Your covetousness aims at Iran and its oil and not what you sanctimonious and pathological liars try to make yourselves believe, namely that Iran wants to have the atom bomb. How dare you! You are the only ones who ever used atom bombs to genocide entire populations and still claim a moral position to tell others how to behave!

14) You tried and "convicted" and murdered the leading German and Japanese statesmen in a kangaroo court in Nuremberg and Tokyo, blaming them for your own war crimes. Today Germany is still without a peace treaty after 65 years, and your mass-murdering "soldiers" still occupy the land that is now nothing but a colony of the United States. The same goes for Japan although they have a "peace treaty", which shows the value of such a treaty with the land of the gangsters and genociders.

So, my dear Christian friend without a moral conscience, these are just a very few points you can check out for yourself if you like or have the guts. Don't be shy - I am always here to help you. And if you manage to see the evil ways of your "Christian nation" the next question which you cannot get around is: where is your Christian god in all this? He was not available for the Red Indians, the black (and white!) slaves you exploited and murdered; he was not around when you harassed almost every country on earth, causing a real "Red Sea" of biblical proportions. And he is still missing today because you Americans are still on a rampage of murderous intentions.

And, Mr. Peterson, you and your fellow Christian Americans **were and are** fully responsible for the mayhem you caused in this world. You pride yourselves of being a "democratic society" but you never ever stopped your criminal governments from doing what they always do best, namely to kill, murder and rape in your name. You blame us Germans for not stopping Hitler. So there it is - for once practice what you preach: stop your government and bring it back into a civilized world.

May the Devil be with you forever!

Walter Ruthard

RE: "Mohawk Nation News" From: FWG W <orion1927@live.com> To: 316532@mail.com Date: Sun, Feb 21, 2010 8:01 pm

Lieber Walter, meine absolute Hochachtung, ein fach toll und wahrhaftig mit jeder silbe und satz. Ich werde das alles an die richtigen Stellen weiter leiten. In Treue fest, Guenter

Message View Enable links:for this message |always for this sender danaemet1@bigpond.com Re: "Mohawk Nation News" From: danaemet1@bigpond.com To: FWG W <orion1927@live.com> Cc: 316532@mail.com Date: Sun, Feb 21, 2010 10:21 pm Dear Walter Ruthard,

I am in complete agreement with you. The anti-German rants have become so much the common language of expletives that I too have had enough of it here in Australia, which is in some respect not much different to Canada. I got rid of TV 10 years ago. Enough of the humiliations.

When I see pictures of Palestinians, who, no doubt have a dreadful time under their Israeli oppressors, I would like to feel for them, but then I see the propaganda of Nazi=Zionist, Hitler=Netanyahu etc, Swastika/Hakenkreuz= Star of David and I mentally leave them to their fate. If they, as this Mohawk writer too cannot distinguish between their oppressors and the fight Germans have fought against the same enemies, they certainly do not deserve my/ our help or compassion!

I see that Germany stands alone, and that is good so. We have to find the strength within ourselves, or else the eternal "good guys" would claim the glory of having helped the world, to their own sick aggrandizement.

I had to laugh at the Avatar movie where the Americans were BOTH the aggressors and the "good guy Saviours".

As in the Bible I ask myself: "can a fountain bring forth sweet and bitter water at the same time?" No, the Americans/Canadians are beyond doing good for Humanity. Sorry, too many wars have shown that clearly!

Maybe your Mohawk correspondent sees that too and leans in a new attitude and friendship toward Germans.

Greetings from Dagmar

Message View orion1927@live.com FW: "Mohawk Nation News" From: FWG W <orion1927@live.com> To: 316532@mail.com Date: Mon, Feb 22, 2010 5:17 am Walter.siehe noch mehr Erfolg ,kommt von B.C.

Date: Sun, 21 Feb 2010 23:03:15 -0800 From: fredtedm@telus.net To: orion1927@live.com Subject: Re: FW: "Mohawk Nation News" Thanks ,that is very bravely written , and could have come from the bottom of my heart ! Ted Mellenthin

Date: Sun, 21 Feb 2010 20:44:09 -0800 From: kekunka@yahoo.ca Subject: Re: FW: "Mohawk Nation News" To: scriptorium@wintersonnenwende.com

Hi Mr. Ruthard:

Please let me congratulate you on you excellent letter. You sure have a way with words and a talent for "hitting the nail on the head". I could never have presented our German side as well as you did, something that needs to be said more often.

I only hope it will be or was published. Keep up the good work! Sincerely - Karin Manion

FW: "Mohawk Nation News" From: FWG W <orion1927@live.com> To: 316532@mail.com Date: Mon, Feb 22, 2010 5:31 am Dieses kommt aus Arizona.

From: desertfoxi@cox.net To: orion1927@live.com Subject: RE: "Mohawk Nation News" Date: Sun, 21 Feb 2010 19:52:48 -0700

Lieber Guenter,

Ist DAS der "Walter" der mir schreiben wollte? Bestens!

Dieter

hmallick@thestar.ca

Re: "Mallick; Gadhafi, the man who came to dinner", The Toronto Star, October 25, 2011.

Dear Ms. Mallick,

having been incapacitated, I was not able to respond earlier to your abovementioned piece that you wrote in The Toronto Star. It contains more errors or shall I say malicious lies than a dog has fleas. I will pick up on just a few.

1) "I have always resented the fact that the Nazis were given sedatives before they were hanged but accept that I am wrong."

The Nuremberg Military Trials you refer to here were nothing more than a huge lynch party, American style. Prosecutor, judge, and hangman were all members of the same clan, the Allies. Not one neutral defense lawyer was allowed to take part and the few German ones who did were not allowed to put up a meaningful defense of the accused. Therefore the outcome of this caricature of justice was a verdict that had been already concluded long before this travesty of justice had even started. The accused were not given sedatives; in fact some of them struggled with death for up to 15 minutes! All of them showed signs of torture when their dead bodies were photographed – just like Moammar Gadhafi a few weeks ago.

2) "In fact, Nazi children were treated with great decency by the Allies, ..."

I am one of those "Nazi children" and I am deeply offended by your remark. I was not treated with great decency. At night I was bombed by the British and Canadians in the Ruhr valley early during the war and chased by your fighter planes before war's end. Twice. And shot at. Whether they were American, British or Canadian, I could not tell because finding a hiding place was my first objective. In any case there was no difference between Americans, British, Canadians and Bolsheviks. They were all hunting "Nazi children".

After the war the French kidnaped my younger brother (10 years old at that time), threw him onto their truck like a sack of potatoes, beat him up and then, after having cooled their pugnacity and heroism, threw him out of the moving truck ten kilometers later. Of course he, like me, was a "Nazi child".

The city of Freudenstadt was looted and burned for three days by your dear friends, the French. For three days old women, mothers, girls and "Nazi children" as young as six were gang raped. Many of those "Nazi children" died of this "great decency" that was afforded to them as you claim. In the city of Freiburg not one new-born "Nazi child" lived to see his first birthday. They all died of hunger. My youngest sister was one of them, although she was born outside Freiburg, which shows that murdered "Nazi children" were not confined to the great cities. It was widespread mass murder, in fact genocide, by the Allies. These are just a few examples of how you Americans, Brits, Bolsheviks and French, Canadians included, behaved towards "Nazi children" in the whole of Germany. It does not make a bit of a difference who actually did the dastardly deeds – the others were just as guilty because they stood by and watched ("We gave it to them, good and strong", as one British veteran told me).

The New York Daily News Correspondent Donald Mackenzie reported from Berlin: "...On the train to Berlin she was pillaged once by Russian troops and twice by Poles. ... Women who resisted were shot dead, she said, and on one occasion she saw a guard take an infant by the legs and crush its skull against a post because the child cried while the guard was raping its mother."

You can find this and other graphic examples of "Nazi children [that] were treated with great decency by the Allies, ..." in Ralph Franklin Keeling's book "Gruesome Harvest" on page 16.

In peace time the city of Dresden had about 600,000 inhabitants. By February 14, 1945 its population had swelled by another 600,000 refugees who fled the "great decency" of the advancing Red hordes of Stalin. Then came you, the British, Canadians and Americans and destroyed that great cultural centre that had no important war industry whatsoever, killing half of the population and thereby reducing Dresden's inhabitants again to about 600,000. Assuming that the average size of a family in Dresden consisted of one mother - the men were either on the front or already dead or in captivity - four grandparents and three "Nazi children", that would mean that of the 600,000 who perished 75,000 belonged to your category of the pampered "Nazi children". They were roasted, suffocated, torn to pieces, burnt to ashes, boiled in liquid asphalt or vanished without a trace, all within a few hours and all in accordance with the explicit wishes of your great humanitarian leader Sir Winston Churchill who demanded this of his gangsters: "I do not want suggestions as to how we can disable the economy and the machinery of war; what I want are suggestions as to how we can roast the German refugees on their escape from Breslau." On a lesser scale this happened in every German city above 50,000 inhabitants. You killed at least 1.2 million civilians including another 150,000 "Nazi children" who experienced "the treatment of great decency" that you dished out to them.

You fulfilled this war criminal's wishes and, of course, your murderous friends, the Bolsheviks, stood by and had a good time watching. There were 45,000 "Nazi children" living with their parents in the former Yugoslavia. When, at war's end, your ally Tito gained power, he and his murderous and bestial partisans eliminated the parents and put the "Nazi children" (Danube Swabians) in death camps where most of them perished from what you would call "great decency" namely hunger. 45,000! And you looked on. Just as you were looking on at what happened recently in Lybia.

And then, already in "peace time", you expelled 20 million Germans from their homelands in which they had lived for many centuries. Two million of them never arrived in the West and 250,000 of them were "Nazi children". Not enough: 9 million Germans were murdered by starvation in the rest of Germany. After the war! 1,125,000 of them were "Nazi children"! All dead thanks to the "great decency" meted out to them by the magnanimous and ever so sanctimonious Allies, Canada included. The Czechs expelled 3.5 million Sudeten-Germans after murdering 460,000 of them. 115,000 were "Nazi children".The Czechs were just as murderous, if not more so, than the dregs of mankind who came from the west and made war on children. And, not to forget: thousands of "Nazi children" died from hunger in Denmark where they ended up as refugees and were denied medical treatment.

Ralph Franklin Keeling, an American, wrote in his book "Gruesome Harvest – The Costly Attempt To Exterminate The People of Germany", "... that starvation of children of an enemy country is to admit having enemy children" (p. 72). That is exactly what you did!

I was one of the lucky ones. I survived on a daily allowance of 750 calories in the French zone of occupation. Try to duplicate this, Ms. Mallick. After just one week you would be the envy of your female colleagues at The Toronto Star. I had to do it for many, many months. My family consisted of one grandmother, my mother and six "Nazi children". Which is to show that my estimation of the German child population of 25% is a conservative one. So, a minimum of 1,760,000 (one million seven hundred and sixty thousand) "Nazi children" perished from the murderous actions of the Allies and you as Canadians were part of this genocide. You let it happen, you did not even protest and so you are just as responsible as your friends the Bolsheviks, the Americans, the British and the French.

Since 1945 there have been some 300 wars on this planet and more are planned (Syria, Iran). None of them was plotted by the Germans which you like to call "Nazis" to this day. All of these wars with their millions of dead children are your responsibility whether you participate in them or just stand by and do nothing against it. For over 140 years (yes!) now we have been painted as the eternal evil-doers and there is no end in sight. I can understand that you were subjected to an intense and primitive propaganda before WW2 in order to get you in the right mood to kill Germans without questioning. But still we are called "Nazis" every time you mean Germans. Which proves that it was so effective that not even 67 years later have you achieved a mental status that would you enable to entertain some logical thoughts of your own. Pavlov's dogs come to mind.

Canada is an Apartheid state just as South Africa was. Instead of three discernible castes in the case of South Africa, here in your "own" country you have four classes. On top of the social ladder are you, the noble white Canadians. One step further down are the people of the First nations. They are even more Canadian than you but were mostly restricted to reservations (actually concentration camps). They, like you, can not be deported. Next down are the immigrants from all corners of the world. Try to humiliate or offend them and you have a problem on your hands as you well know. Right down at the bottom are people like myself. I can be productive as much as I want, I am still called a "Nazi". You noble Canadians should be embarrassed and ashamed as a nation to constantly offend us just because we came from Germany. It is a national disgrace and an all too visible stain on this nation. It has nothing to do with an honest assessment but rather with the knowledge that ethnic Germans can be treated like trash. A perfect occasion to show off your courage. No serious consequences are to be feared as would be the case with Canada's "visible minorities". Prime Minister Paul Martin once said that there are no second-class citizens in Canada. Either he wanted to deride us or he is a fool. I know better: another Prime Minister, Brian Mulroney and German-Canadian Karl-Heinz Schreiber once were "business partners". The one took the money, the other gave it to him. Schreiber was a Canadian citizen at the time. He was deported, Mr. Mulroney, of course, could not be. Here you have it, Ms. Mallick: the one at the top, the other at the bottom. I am asking myself what my Canadian citizenship is worth - perhaps the paper it is written on? And finally this. You wrote:

3) "I did not object to the corpses of Mussolini and his mistress being hung by their heels ..."

Mussolini and his mistress were tortured in the most ghastly way by their partisan captors before they finally died of their treatment. I have seen the pictures and so have you. What kind of person are you not to recoil in horror at a scene like this? Just as with the last German emperor Wilhelm II., Adolf Hitler, and Moammar Gadhafi today, to my knowledge nobody in any of the western and "democratic" countries has ever expressed the slightest moral outrage at the horrible and absolutely bestial treatment of the vanquished. No semblance of any human decency is allowed them. Instead, what I hear and see in the so-called free press is derision and ridicule with no regard to truth and honesty. You, dear Ms. Mallick, are the perfect example. Have you no brain that you could use independently in order to look at the reverse side of the coin? Instead you joined the ranks of those who, even 66 years later, are inspired by a sadistic, stupid and fanatical hatred of the Germans who never threatened (yes!) anybody in any way.

There can't be a rational reason why every evening the vast majority of Canadians indulge in watching Hollywood movies that deride the vanquished Germans of WW II and their descendants of today. They savour the exploits of their former heroes when just a few of them butcher whole German companies consisting of village idiots. Never ever, it seems, has it dawned on Canadians that they, together with their comrades in arms, the Americans, the French with their colonial troops, the British with their colonial troops, the Australians, the New Zealanders, the South Africans and others, in short almost the whole world, needed six years to finally defeat Germany. In the First World War, you needed four years to defeat us. By not contemplating this, Canadians in fact belittle whatever their veterans achieved on the battlefield. There is no hint in sight that the whole and holy Allied World shows at least a touch of decency and admit that their former enemy also might have had some human qualities. This would be a sign of an advanced and civilized nation, rightfully proud of their own achievements but also gracious enough to admit that others might have been humans.

This is the kind of perpetual German-hatred that does not let you reflect on the things that come out of your mouth or pen. You ought to be ashamed of yourself and I know that here in this country there are millions upon millions more like you. It is a national disgrace. Exceptions prove the rule. You all live in a fog of hatred that you can't or won't clear because it makes you feel so superior.

Hugs and kisses

Walter



German expelee. More than ten million Germans were expelled from their homes in the East to what has remained of Germany (1945-47).

rick@ricksteves.com

Dear Mr. Steves,

Please allow me to comment on your column "Alsace: Europe's cultural hybrid" in The Toronto Sun, Wednesday, October 17, 2012.

The unique and "international" culture that you describe not only exists in Alsace (the topic of your article) but also in the area of Germany east of the upper Rhine and opposite to the Alsace region; it also exists in 75 % of Switzerland, in Liechtenstein and in Vorarlberg, the western part of Austria. This culture is not only unique by its culinary delights but also by its architecture (see the sample picture you provided) and its unique carnival and hand carved wooden masks but above all by its Alemannic dialect spoken for thousands of years in this whole area before the French destabilized this rather large region by aggressive wars of conquest. Here is where your explanations get foggy.

You say that "Alsace is France with a German accent". Alsace is now part of France because it was repeatedly subjected to military occupation by the French since 1648 (sixteen hundred and fortyeight). Before that it was part of the Holy Roman Empire of German Nation for hundreds of years and the dialect spoken there dates back to long before the Roman occupation of this Alemannic speaking region of Europe ended.

You write: "Alsace has weathered many invasions", alluding to the well-worn lie that it is always the Germans who do the filthy deeds. But who were the invaders in reality? That is the question here that you did not honestly answer!. One of France's most famous writers was Honoré de Balzac – you may heard of him. He wrote this:

"There are people who hide and move behind the screens, and therefore there are two ways of recording history: 1) the official and falsified one and 2) the secret one in which the real reasons of the historical events of an infamous history are recorded."

Now I will tell you a bit of Balzac's real history, and perhaps this will awaken your curiosity and inspire you to do some independent research on your own. In 1648 the Thirty Years War ended which devastated Germany to the extend that only about one quarter of her former inhabitants were still alive. The village I grew up in went from 900 inhabitants down to only three and they survived only by eating the leaves of the trees and grass. Germany was reduced to such helplessness that she was not able to defend herself. This was France's opportunity to gradually rob one piece of Alsace after another. In peacetime! Colmar, that you so admire, was forced to submit to French rule in 1672. Since 1226 Colmar had its own jurisdiction as a German 'Reichsstadt', 446 years before the French sacked it in 1672. The mediaeval city of Strassburg became a 'Reichsstadt' in 1262 and in 1680 the French picked it up like Colmar. Today, if I need to do some research in Strassburg, I get just French words as an answer; not even a polite attempt to answer in German or Alemannic. As it is, Mr. Steves, this were only two of the "many invasions" you are referring to. Since Alsace and Lorraine always have been German, one should look for the invaders elsewhere: you would not call yourself an invader when you enter your own home, would you? It was the French who invaded Alsace, not Germany as naive people would believe if they take your story as the ultimate truth without having the slightest idea of the real history behind it! To say it bluntly: Alsace was stolen from Germany by the French in 1648. As you can see, the French wasted little time on their warpath. It always has been the French politics to extend her borders to the Rhine and they were very consistent in their quest to achieve this.

"Once a part of the Holy Roman Empire, it [Alsace] became part of France in the 17th century. After

France lost the Franco-Prussian War in 1871, Germany annexed it." That is what you wrote and it is only partially true to the fact. All those aggressions of France described above you let fall piously under the table.

In 1870 the French declared war on Germany (again) and lost it in 1871. They had to give back what they had stolen 222 years previously. You wrongly call this an annexation by Germany; in fact it was the restoration of a legal situation that existed at least sine one thousand years before and had been destroyed by France's aggressiveness in 1648. In 1914 war again broke out between France and Germany. Again the guilty party were the French whose motive was to regain what they had stolen in 1648. This time Germany lost in 1918 and again Alsace (and Lorraine) were incorporated into France. Here you have another invasion of ancient German lands by France. Again in 1939 France declared war on Germany and lost it. Alsace and Lorraine were taken back in 1940 by Germany, and rightfully so. If the French had left us in peace, Alsace would have remained French, as it was Hitler who repeatedly declared that he had no border problems with France and there would have been no war between France and Germany. After 1945 France again was on the victorious side. Which does not mean that she was right. Alsace and Lorraine, these ancient parts of Germany, were lost again. In none of these events Germany can be labeled as the aggressor. This is the present state of affairs.

You write: "During the Second World War, Allied Forces were careful not to bomb quaint Colmar." Yes, that is true. But in the very same Second World War, the Germans were also careful not to bomb Paris for example. The reason has been very different, namely to save the cultural valuables in that city from destruction as well as in many other cities in France. Here you see the difference between the German attitude and the French and Allied one. The Germans spared Paris and others because this city is a highlight of European culture and should be preserved and the Allies spared Colmar because they saw it as French property. Equally "quaint" German cities they bombed with a vengeance. Cultural valuables never mattered to them. To this day!

Perhaps you will visit the German area on a future trip. What you will see are the countless ruins of castles along the Rhine and far into the hinterland. Most of them were destroyed by the French marauding armies. What you will not see to any extend is the "Quaint Colmar". It and countless historical towns and buildings have been obliterated by our "liberators", the French included. However, the food and wines are still there. They are equal to the cuisine of Alsace and, I am sure, the locals will welcome you too.

Now, to widen this picture a bit further: The part of Germany (east of Alsace) I grew up in saw at least thirty (30) major and numerous minor invasions by the French since 1648. They crossed the Rhine whenever they wished, trying to secure even more territory for France. The name of Napoleon I. should be familiar to you. One of their most successful commanders was the infamous Turenne who destroyed the famous Heidelberg castle and devastated the Palatinate. Of course, they all lived by plundering and terrorizing the locals who could not defend themselves. If they resisted, they were dispatched to the yonder world. Like their successors in 1945 they enjoyed themselves immensely by raping, burning and murdering anything with a German face. Because of these constant invasions the area had never been industrialized to any great extend. This area (Baden, a creation of Napoleon) remained a poorhouse and saw more prosperity only when the rebuilding took place after 1949.

And here comes the juicy part that has escaped your attention in Alsace. It is the fact that the Alemannic dialect is a dying language in Alsace. The young people learn French in school but not their native language. Of course, if they want to advance to university or become civil servants, they have to know and speak French exclusively. It is only the older people who still speak their (and my) dialect at home. In public French is mandatory. What does this mean? It means that the German

language and the Alemannic dialect will be extinct in Alsace after one or two more generations. They will be lost forever and the ethnic Germans there will be Frenchmen (without a German accent). It means that these ethnic Germans in Alsace (and Lorraine) are subjected to a slow genocide. "Genocide as defined by the United Nations, holds that genocide is not merely the outright murder of a people, <u>but the creation of conditions that make its long term survival as a people impossible</u>."

Here is a more specific explanation of what genocide means to some scholars. You will find it here:

http://www.hawaii.edu/powerkills/GENOCIDE.ENCY.HTM

"2. WHAT IS GENOCIDE?

Genocide is foremost an international crime for which individuals, no matter how high in authority, may be indicted, tried, and punished by the International Criminal Court (ICC). According to Article 6 of the ICC Statute, this crime involves, "any of the following acts committed with intent to destroy, in whole or in part, a national, ethnical, racial or religious group, as such:

- (a) Killing members of the group;
- (b) Causing serious bodily or mental harm to members of the group;
- © Deliberately inflicting on the group conditions of life calculated to bring about its physical destruction in whole or in part;
- (d) Imposing measures intended to prevent births within the group;
- (e) Forcibly transferring children of the group to another group.

There are a number of things to note about these acts.

"..... (6) Also critical is the word "destroy." The acts that are carried out with this intent are carefully defined in (a) to (e), above. They **exclude** attempts, for example, to eliminate an indelible group from a territory by ethnic cleansing (that which involves their forced or coerced removal), or <u>the destruction of the culture of a group, as by forced education of their children in a different language and customs.</u> While "culture" is unmentioned in the articles of the ICC's Statute and the Report of the PCICC, and may well be included as the case law of genocide develops, "ethnic cleansing" would seem to be a crime against humanity in the Statute. Under Article 7.1.d, it is unlawful to deport or forcibly transfer a population."

Excluding "the destruction of the culture of a group, as by forced education of their children in a different language and customs" is nothing but the double-dealing of hypocritical so-called scholars who are well aware that the genocide in Alsace is but one of many that are happening and have happened in other parts of the world. On the other hand so-called genocides perpetrated by Germans are prosecuted and condemned by a gullible mankind, black-robed judges and politicians who bend their opinions to suit their prejudices.

The question is now: who will accuse the French of committing genocide? The International Criminal Court (ICC) in The Hague? Don't make me laugh. They are like a tiger without teeth. Only Serbian or Rwandan mini war criminals are what they dare to condemn. The French, the British, the Americans, the Poles, the Czechs, the Israelis and many others go free and this makes the United Nations a sick joke. As always the big criminals go free, the lesser ones are hanged. Of course.

Sincerely Walter Ruthard
Prof. Daniel E. Stannard University of Hawaii at Manoa

stannard@hawaii.edu

Dear Professor Stannard,

a few days ago I finally received your long anticipated book "American Holocaust". I am a German living in Canada and am interested in finding out about the history of the native Indian tribes in Canada. Using the Internet and the books at my disposal did not bring the results I was expecting as regards to extinguished native people from their earliest contacts with French and British settlers. With the only exception of the Beothuks which are mentioned in your book. Already I have found some ideas expressed in this book I never thought of myself. So, I am on the winning side and am looking forward to what I can learn from you. It will be interesting reading, of that I am sure.

While the first impression is still fresh (I am now on page13), I want to tell you that I am surprised and disappointed to have to read twice the awful word "Jewish Holocaust". It's on page XI and XIII, meaning the alleged extermination of the Jews in Germany. You refer to Elie Wiesel and in Note 10 of the prologue also to Sylvia Rothschild, ed., *Voices from the Holocaust*. While Ms. Rothschild is unknown to me, Elie Wiesel is not. You quote him: "*The danger lies in forgetting. Forgetting, however, will not effect only the dead. Should it triumph, the ashes of yesterday will cover our hopes for tomorrow.*"

My question to you, Prof. Stannard, is this: why did you not put your inquisitive mind also to what Mr. Wiesel on other occasions said? Here are two quotations that illuminate Elie Wiesel's state of mind. It should cause you to investigate a bit further into this matter.

Wiesel, Elie: "Every Jew, somewhere in his being, should set apart a zone of hate - healthy virile hate - for what the German personifies and for what persists in the German." Source: "Legends of Our Time", Elie Wiesel, p. 142, Schocken Books, New York.

Wiesel, Elie: "Some events happen, but they are not true. Others, on the other hand, are true, but they never happen."

Source: Elie Wiesel, *Legends of Our Time*, p. viii (Introduction), as quoted in *National Journal*, No. 18, 1997, p. 5.

As I mentioned above, I am a German and I have ample reason to be a proud one. For decades I have studied the alleged crimes that are thrown at us. Everybody with some self-respect, be he American or otherwise, would do exactly the same. I have found not one example that would prove without a doubt that we are what we are accused of. Neither did we start WW I, nor did we start WW II and certainly did we not acquire a world empire like the British and French did in recent times. And we did **n o t** gas a single Jew. One question here seems to be ignored by everyone: why did the Germans build elaborate concentration camps at Auschwitz (and other places) at great cost that had a swimming pool inside the fence, had not only one but two orchestras operated by the inmates, had a library, had a nursery (3000 babies were born there during the war!), had a camp hospital and even a bordello amongst many other features? All for the benefit of the inmates, as documented in The Barnes Review, March/April, 2007: "Auschwitz a Photographic Tour."

Why bother with dangerous gas chambers instead of using the tried and very economic Bolshevik solution namely shooting the Jews into the back of their skulls, shove them into mass graves and be done with it? By the way, Mr. Elie Wiesel is a survivor of Auschwitz. In 1944 he and his father were given the option to stay in the camp and wait for the Soviets to free them or go back to Germany with his tormentors. He chose to go to Germany. Did you know this? I think not.

There were also Jews who were honest, like Dr. Benedikt Kautsky who survived 4 years in Auschwitz and finally was freed at the "death camp" of Dachau. He wrote about his experiences. Here are a few examples: "... in Dachau one could almost exist without the camp food, if one used the canteen. It was like in a fairy-tale. Never will I forget my amazement when I, for the first time, entered the canteen. The whole canteen was perhaps some 50 to 60 meters (150 to 180 feet) long and was partitioned by a glass wall in which there were app. 12 counters. At the first two counters there was available: coffee with milk and sugar, cakes, pies and candies; then there were counters for sausages, smoked meat, bacon, butter, canned fish, cheese, fruit, dried fruit, canned fruit, jam, cookies, chocolate and all the other foods one could think of. On top of this there was available everything needed by the inmates: cigarettes and other tobacco products, sewing kits, ink, fountain pens, lead pencils, scratch-pads, shoe-brushes, shoe-polish, floor polish, dishcloths, floor-cloths, in short the canteen was a warehouse. And at a counter there stood a block leader who directed the canteen, with a white cap and white smock, under which one could see the pistol which a block leader was not allowed to be without and he asked the prisoner in front of him, whether Jew or Arian, with the same politeness: "What do you like, please?" The prices were in no way excessively high; in any case it was impossible to eat up the 15 marks which one got every week."

I ate my first chocolate bar many years after the war was over!

Yes, we put the Jews into concentration camps but only nine years or so after they themselves had declared war on us in 1933, just 51 days after Hitler became chancellor! The Germans in America, citizens or not, were put into concentration camps well before America went to war against us!

I will not delve into more details here because I do not want to tax your patience too much. This is a page of history that might be outside of your expertise and I understand this. However, I would hope that you, as a renowned scientist, will put a tiny part of your valuable time into looking at this problem. I think that you owe this to yourself and I could even help you. It is just a matter of honesty. Then you would not have to repeat what a cunning propaganda is telling all of us. Each coin has two sides: please also look at the other one, Dr. Stannard.

All I can hope for now is that you will take into account what I just have told you. A reply would be greatly appreciated. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely, Walter Ruthard Subject:FW: Harper's Odd Visit to Greece -- Honours Greeks Shot by Nazis From: FWG W (orion1927@live.com) To: w65911@yahoo.com; Date: Sun, 05 Jun 2011 05:19:08

Date: Sat, 4 Jun 2011 23:31:38 -0400 Subject: Harper's Odd Visit to Greece -- Honours Greeks Shot by Nazis From: paul@paulfromm.com To: cafe@canadafirst.net

Harper's Odd Visit to Greece -- Honours Greeks Shot by Nazis

Right after the recent G-8 conference in France, Stephen Harper, Canada's Israel-Firster Prime Minister, perhaps in deference to his communications director Dimitri Soudas, visited the Greek town of Kalavryta. "He paid homage to the victims of a Nazi massacre of the male population of the village of Kalavryta." (<u>Globe and Mail</u>, May 30, 2011) Soudas's grandfather had been killed by the Nazis.

Still, the visit is puzzling. Surely, a more honouring site might have been the Acropolis or other similar monuments to Greek culture, a culture and philosophy that helped shape the Western world. Would a Canadian prime minister on a visit to the U.S. choose as his chief stop Andersonville, a Confederate prison for Union POWs where 13,000 of some 45,000 prisoners perished poor sanitation, overcrowding, malnutrition or disease? A prime minister making such a choice about a still controversial event in U.S. history might be seen to have some sort of agenda.

So, too Harper. Trained as an economist, not an historian, Stephen Harper imbibed a strident view of WW II at home and has become an uncritical supporter of Israel and a loud opponent of criticism of Jews.

The letter below from an accomplished researcher shows that even in his unusual visit to Kalavryta, Mr. Harper may have gotten it wrong. Yes, war is terrible, war is hell, but Harper's choice of Kalavryta shows he may have missed something in history class.

The Toronto Sun

Re: Harper Honours Greek Nazi Victims by Daniel Proussalidis, Parliamentary Bureau - The Toronto Sun May 29, 2011 Dear Mr. Daniel Proussalidis, you are a Greek-Canadian and I am a German. I have read your report with interest and found some very striking inconsistencies regarding the truth as it happened in Kalavryta/Greece where 498 civilians were shot by the Germans.

According to you, the 498 Greek civilians were "massacred" by the Germans in retaliation to 90 German soldiers being "executed" by the "Greek resistance movement." It is a very hypocritical statement on your part by just reversing the facts as they happened: on the one side the Germans (Huns perhaps again?) letting themselves being "executed" by the noble "Greek resistance movement" and on the other hand the civilians of Kalavryta being "massacred" by the Germans. I would suggest to you that those 90 German soldiers first were maliciously murdered by what you sanctimoniously call the "Greek resistance movement." There can be no doubt that those Greek who murdered the Germans were also civilians and therefore did not wear uniforms which are required for legal fighters such as soldiers. Those are the international rules of engagement between armies. Make yourself knowledgeable, Mr. Proussalidis! By not wearing uniforms they could have been shot on sight. The so-called resistance fighters were too cowardly to accept the consequences of their insidious actions; instead they hid amongst the general population or took to the mountains and let the innocent suffer for them. The international rules of war also allow the execution of civilians at the rate of up to 10 to 1. meaning that the occupying forces can execute 10 hostages for every murdered soldier in order to prevent further attacks by riffraff. Check it out, Mr. Proussalidis! So, since the Germans executed only 498 civilians instead of 900, they stayed well within those rules and in fact shot less than 50% of the legally allowed number. Therefore you should be grateful to them. I happen to know that the victors of WW2 quite often went far beyond the ratio of 10 to 1. So there is no reasonable ground of accusing the Germans of a massacre at Kalavryta; they behaved very fair and tried to protect the civilians as best they could. That can't be said of the Greek "resistance fighters" who bravely abandoned their countrymen. Had they done the honourable thing and took responsibility for their actions, 498 Greek civilians would have lived. But then you would not have a fairy tale to report, would you? Instead you talk about the "the worst Nazi atrocities " of WW2, conveniently ignoring the fact that it were the Greek themselves who started that event.

No army anywhere in the world can tolerate their soldiers being treacherously murdered in cold blood by so-called freedom fighters. partisans, assassins and other rabble shunning the light. As I see it the Greek were the ones who perpetrated a massacre by ambushing those 90 German soldiers in the first place.

Sincerely,

Walter Ruthard

The Toronto Sun

Re: Harper Honours Greek Nazi Victims by Daniel Proussalidis, Parliamentary Bureau - The Toronto Sun May 29, 2011

Dear Mr. Daniel Proussalidis,

you are a Greek-Canadian and I am a German. I have read your report with interest and found some very striking inconsistencies regarding the truth as it happened in Kalavryta/Greece where 498 civilians were shot by the Germans.

According to you, the 498 Greek civilians were "massacred" by the Germans in retaliation to 90 German soldiers being "executed" by the "Greek resistance movement." Its is a very hypocritical statement on your part by just reversing the facts as they happened: on the one side the Germans (Huns perhaps again?) letting themselves being "executed" by the noble "Greek resistance movement" and on the other hand the civilians of Kalavryta being "massacred" by the Germans.

I would suggest to you that those 90 German soldiers first were maliciously murdered by what you sanctimoniously call the "Greek resistance movement." There can be no doubt that those Greek who murdered the Germans were also civilians and therefore did not wear uniforms which are required for legal fighters such as soldiers. Those are the international rules of engagement between armies. Make yourself knowledgeable, Mr. Proussalidis! By not wearing uniforms they could have been shot on sight. The so-called resistance fighters were too cowardly to accept the consequences of their insidious actions; instead they hid amongst the general population or took to the mountains and let the innocent suffer for them.

The international rules of war also allow the execution of civilians at the rate of up to 10 to 1, meaning that the occupying forces can execute 10 hostages for every murdered soldier in order to prevent further attacks by riffraff. Check it out, Mr. Proussalidis! So, since the Germans executed only 498 civilians instead of 900, they stayed well within those rules and in fact shot less than 50% of the legally allowed number. Therefore you should be grateful to them.

I happen to know that the victors of WW2 quite often went far beyond the ratio of 10 to 1. So there is no reasonable ground of accusing the Germans of a massacre at Kalavryta; they behaved very fair and tried to protect the civilians as best they could. That can't be said of the Greek "resistance fighters" who bravely abandoned their countrymen. Had they done the honourable thing and took responsibility for their actions, 498 Greek civilians would have lived. But then you would not have fairy tale to report, would you? Instead you talk about the "of the worst Nazi atrocities " of WW2, conveniently ignoring the fact that it were the Greek themselves who started that event.

No army anywhere in the world can tolerate their soldiers being treacherously murdered in cold blood by so-called freedom fighters, partisans, assassins and other rabble shunning the light. As I see it the Greek were the ones who perpetrated a massacre by ambushing those 90 German soldiers in the first place.

Sincerely,

Walter Ruthard

The Catholic Times c/o Ms. Helen M. Valois 3710 East Avenue, South La Crosse, WI 5424 U.S.A.

catholictimes@dioceseoflacrosse.com

Walter Ruthard 7638 Conc. Rd. 2, R.R.1 Lisle, Ontario L0M 1M0 Canada

26 January 2011

Dear Ms. Valois,

I have here a copy of your article "The Witness of the Black Dogs – God's Priests in Nazi Germany" which was printed on the 29th of October 2010 in *The Catholic Times*.

As a correspondent and a Catholic to boot, you reviewed the book *Christ in Dachau* by the Jesuit Father Johann Maria Lenz. As a former Roman Catholic I would say that it was your duty before your Christian God to first investigate all possible sides of this sordid story and then report truthfully what you discovered ("Thou shalt not bear false witness"). You failed miserably on both accounts. At best your story shows just one side of the coin, the other one you carefully left untouched.

In 1933 the National Socialist government of Germany and the Vatican came to an agreement (it's called the Concordat) in which the rights and duties of the German state and those of the Catholic Church were outlined in great detail. It was initiated by Hitler himself and survived the Second World War. This covenant was signed by Eugenio Pacelli, the future Pope Pius XII. and Adolf Hitler. Today it is technically still in effect, meaning that the duties of the German state remain untouched while the duties of the Roman Catholic Church are greatly reduced as always when the Church sees a convenient exit from obligations she does not deem valuable to her anymore. On January 30, 1939 Hitler gave a speech on the subject of the Concordat. Here are two points that are relevant to what Father Lenz had to "endure" in Dachau:

1. "In Germany no-one has been persecuted for his religious convictions to date, nor will anyone be persecuted for them."

4. "The National Socialist state is neither prudish nor dishonest. But there are certain moral principles, adherence to which is in the interest of the biological health of a people and which we therefore will not permit to be undercut. Pederasty and crimes against children are punished as criminal offenses in this state, regardless who commits these crimes.

Five years ago, when some leading figures in the National Socialist Party committed these crimes, **they were executed**. When other public or private individuals, **or clergymen**, committed the same offenses, **they were punished with jail or prison terms**. We take no interest in clergymen's transgressions against their other vows of chastity etc., and our media has never published anything on this subject."

What Hitler here said is clear and can't be misunderstood: child molesters would be punished with incarceration no matter who they were. Party officials who perpetrated such despicable crimes were executed. If I had the power today as Hitler had then, I would execute all of these animals. Now we live in a different - gentler - society, one with so-called "western values", and the children are fair game.

You write: "Starting in January of 1941, Mass was allowed in a special chapel in the confines of the camp. This was due to the intervention of Pope Pius XII and the German bishops, who finally succeeded in achieving certain improvements at the conditions under which the clergy were to be interned.

The Germans tolerated the offering of the Holy Mass, the recitation of the Rosary, the observation of an abbreviated form of the 40 Hours Devotion, and other types of formal and informal prayer for what Father Lenz recognizes as 'propagandist reasons.'"

Isn't this nice, and so hypocritical: first you and Father Lenz complain about the really harsh treatment he received from the evil "Nazis" for a crime he does not dare explain in his book and then these

very same "Nazis" allowed him to fulfill his Christian duties. And they even provided him with a "special chapel" to do so, in Dachau of all places! I suppose that it is beyond your exquisitely flexible conscience to realize this contradiction. So, what am I to believe here? That Father Lenz was innocently housed in Dachau or what? In any case this nursery tale is so transparent that it only could escape you and your paper *The Catholic Times*! Another explanation of your failure to tell the truth is that you are an incorrigible Germanhater who, like your colleagues-in- spirit Simon Wiesenthal and Eli Wiesel, certainly never ever uttered a lie. I would have appreciated very much to have been informed about the crime that put Father Lenz into Dachau. A crime it must have been, else he would have remained a free man and survived even more comfortably in his parish where he would have been pampered by his devoted parishioners. Oh yes, I do know what I am talking about. I lived during those times and will not forget my personal experiences with the local Catholic priest. All this, your incomplete report and the passages you quote us from *Christ in Dachau*, leads to just one conclusion: it's a lie, and a also stupid one.

Here is what the evidence suggests: Father Lenz was a child molester. He preyed on the most vulnerable in the society by misusing his position of authority. He stands right in the middle of a continuous chain of crimes of the Roman Catholic Church against humanity. From the earlier popes down to the lowest priests they fathered children in the convents and in the villages. All hidden behind the veil of celibacy, chastity and the resultant moral depravity. Then let's not forget mass murders and genocide wherever the Church tried to "win souls for God'. In my native country of Germany it began when Saint Bonifatius cut down the sacred oak tree of the ancient Germans and caused the mass murder of 10,000 Saxons who preferred not to be Christianized by him. It continued in the Middle Ages when at least one million of women and girls in Germany alone, even children, ended up on the stakes that were lit by the willing civil authorities directed by the Roman Catholic Church. It also happened right here in North America, mind you! Needless to say that this was the golden opportunity for the clergy to first satisfy their barbaric sexual drives on hapless victims and then enrich themselves by confiscating whatever those victims had possessed in earthly goods. And the bulk of this loot ended up in the Vatican. This is just one reason why the Roman Catholic Church is so immeasurably rich today. Her riches were acquired by spilling rivers of blood of her victims. Not only in Europe but also all over the world in the countries she Christianized by creating bloodbaths and holocausts in the vast expanses of the two Americas, in Australia, New Zealand, Africa, India, Asia and lesser known places. Whenever a Catholic priest raises his golden chalice, he holds in his hands the blood of the murdered Indians of South America who were forced to dig for gold till they dropped dead and that was the Church's loot, the booty that does not stink in the nostrils of the "God-fearing" clergy to this day.

In Montreal, Canada, there are mass graves of little children that were disposed of in soap boxes and no clergyman like Father Lenz gives a damn. In British Columbia: the same story. Thousands are missing. It is true: not all were disposed of like trash by the Roman Catholic Church, other Christians were also involved in these massacres, but is this enough to absolve the Holy Roman Catholic Church? Hardly.

I have indicated here the bare minimum that one has to know to see behind the monstrous and grotesque mask that hides the true nature of your Holy Roman Catholic Church, Ms. Valois. On May 1, 2011 Mr. Ratzinger of the Vatican will get his predecessor, the Polish Pope, on the fast track to sainthood. All he needs is one more "miracle". But how can this pope be a saint when he cavorted in the gardens of the Vatican with girls and little children and at other opportunities enjoyed himself by watching scantily clothed female dancers? Maybe this is the "miracle" Ratzinger is looking for: A Pope who is not unwilling to delight in earthly pleasures and at the same time claims to be his God's representative on this depraved planet!

May the Devil be with you for the rest of eternity because you are also a member of this savage and murderous and holier-than-thou tribe.

Walter Ruthard

December 31, 2010

Dear Catholic Times:

I have in front of me the article The witness of the Black Dogs –God's priests in Nazi Germany which appeared in The Catholic Times 10/29/2010.

I grew up in Nazi Germany and therefore know that this article is a lie. Yes, there was a priest block in Dachau, but no priest who fulfilled his priestly duties was interned there. The number you cite, 2 400 priest is preposterous. We personally knew of no priest who was whisked away from his parish. Every priest who was there was there either for moral failings or espionage.

The new chapel was a window dressing, and the Germans tolerated the Holy Mass for propagandistic reasons. Everything good that the Nazis did automatically gets a devil's tail. How unchristian! During the Nazi period no diocese was driven into bankruptcy as is done here. Both churches Protestant and Catholic alike were generously supported by the Nazi government. During this period churches were built subsequently pulverized by English and American bombers. The Nazi soldiers reopened Russian churches closed by the Communists.

Mass was read at the Russian front and communion taken by the high ranking SS officer Degrelle. But according to your reasoning that also must have been done for propagandistic purposes.

And by the way Joseph Ratzinger did not desert. The Wehrmacht at this point had dissolved.

I was educated in a catholic convent school. But I have turned my back on the beloved church of my childhood. This present church lies too much. You have a lot to answer for.

Sincerely yours,

Christine B. Miller 606 Cypress Ave Marshfield, WI 54449 Millercbm@msn.com

hümtert 1 ett w puar Zeilen

(worlder, could yen write a fer lines)



The Creemore Echo c/o Ms. Helen Blackburn 3 Caroline Street West, Box 1219 Creemore, ON, L0M 1G0 Walter Ruthard R.R.1 Lisle, ON, L0M 1M0

September 17, 2010

Dear Ms. Blackburn,

I am referring to your article in *The Creemore Echo* of Friday, September 17, 2010, titled "The Gypsies in Creemore".

I was surprised to learn from you that there have been "Gypsies" (on and off) near Creemore since the early twentieth century. What amuses me is that, according to your account, more than 100 years ago there must have been existed some sort of unpleasant bias against the "Gypsies" in Creemore! You write that the report you discovered in the *Creemore Stars* "... was so derogatory and clearly racist that I felt I couldn't copy it..." I would like to suggest to you that your feelings are today's feelings and those expressed in the *Creemore Stars* are from another era that you did not experience personally. So, perhaps, you should give some credit to the people that lived during that time. Much that is condemned today as racist and disagreeable was , perhaps, then seen in a different light. It is always easier to judge the past in hindsight rather than cast a critical glance at the present problems. The "Gypsies" in Europe, as you call them, consider this term offensive and racist and they insist on being called "Roma". This is the "politically correct" way to address them and you would not like to be called a racist, I guess.

Having set the stage now for my following remarks regarding your one-sided view of "Gypsies", I want to tell you that I am from Germany, having lived there for almost half of my live. I am therefore in a very good position to judge your somehow slanted view on this particular problem of the "Gypsies". While you seemingly never have had personal contact with the people you name "Gypsies", I have had lots. Be prepared: what you will read now, is racist in your view and I will not apologize for it.

Until I was 13 years of age, I lived in Hitler's Third Reich where, as you say, "... they [the Gypsies] were executed in great numbers along with the Jews by the Nazis in the Second World War." I lived in a small village and many times saw travelling Gypsies who camped just outside the village on a place provided to them. The women went from house to house and offered their wares and also collected defective pots and pans for their men to mend. For me they were a source of perpetual fascination; them travelling in horse-drawn covered wagons, sleeping under their wagons even in the colder days of fall when frost covered the ground. I even thought of joining them, rebellious teenager that I was. In the neighbouring town the government (yes, Hitler's government!) built several houses for them but, as I remember, that was not their preferred way of living; the houses stood empty. After the war had ended their favoured way of transportation changed. Now they came in automobiles, later sometimes in large Opel Kapitäns (Opel is a subsidiary company of GMC and the "Kapitän" was the top model of the Opel line). So they must have done well.

Now, what does this tell you? Why would the eternally evil "Nazis" build houses especially for the Gypsies, let them have their traditional way of living and then according to you, Ms. Blackburn, "exterminate them in great numbers"? I have heard some people say that their women were stealing once they were allowed into the houses but it never happened to my mother and our neighbours, for instance. In any case, their crime rate combined with the crime rate of us native Germans could not have been very high since there were only two policemen stationed in the next town who were responsible for a population of some 12.000 (twelve thousand) people. Compare this to the present conditions right here in your Canada. This is what I have seen with my own eyes over several years during the Second World War and your "experiences" are at best second-hand. So, I would recommend that you extend your research and consult more sources other than the ones you find in libraries and other kosher places. Find out what compells the French to find reasons to deport their Gypsies. Are the French even worse racists than the "Nazis" were? And you ought to know from the Canadian news media that the Gypsies are not welcome in the Czech Republic either where they are caged behind walls. They are also not liked in Romania and Bulgaria. This is common knowledge - perhaps with you as an exception, although I do not accept this as an excuse.

Here is another tidbit. Today I received a long letter from a friend who lives in Greece. He writes: "Now the forests are burning again; I have to keep my property wet. Several times they [the Greek authorities] have caught arsonists, mostly Gypsies, one of them has confessed to 16 arsons. It is not always possible to establish whether they get paid for it or whether they are just pyromaniacs." Interesting, isn't it? The Gypsies in Canada could never have amounted to much more than a few thousand and yet they were feared and discriminated in a land that was so huge and empty. What I fail to understand is how this tiny minority got such a rough treatment from Canadians who today congratulate themselves on being a "compassionate and caring" people. Yet "I saw them chase the Gypsies / Out of the little town, ..." as your little poem says.

Now to the Jewish question. Here I also can offer you some first-hand observations. In our village there lived no Jews. Otherwise I would have known when they were deported. However, right after the war ended and the French came as "gentleman-like" occupiers, they placed numerous posters on the barn doors of the local farmers, accusing us "Nazis" of having exterminated 26.000.000 (twenty-six million) Jews. Later this number was downgraded to 24.000.000, then suddenly to 12.5 million, then to 10.5 million, 6.5 million and now "officially" to 6 million. Again I am asking you, Ms. Blackburn, to do some independant thinking. To me it is clear: 20 million lies. At least. Furthermore I would like to draw your attention to the time shortly before the demise of the Soviet Union when Gorbachev handed over the records of the Auschwitz concentration camp to the International Red Cross in Switzerland. It was news all over and so you must have known. It was claimed that they contained appr. 75.000 entries of deaths from all inmates, including Jews. No trace of the 4 million allegedly gassed there. It was promised that these documents would be thoroughly examined. While the victors of WW2 were able to come up with 26 million murdered Jews in no time. I have not heard even a whisper from them in the last 21 years about the 75.000 dead of Auschwitz. Have you? Of course not - that would bypass your conditioned conscience. Consult, for instance, the American Jewish Yearbook 1946-47 that was compiled and edited by The American Jewish Committee. In there you will find astonishing facts that nobody ever told you. And they come straight from the world's best authorities on this matter. It can't be any better. It's called a primary source.

Now, finally, I come to your remark about the evil "Nazis". I feel personally addressed because "Nazi" equals "German" and a German is a "Nazi" in my extensive experience here in Canada. I was greeted as such during my very first workday in Canada. To me your remark smacks exactly like what you so self-complacently call the *Creemore Stars* ' "derogatory and clearly racist" report on the Gypsies. These constantly made remarks set me apart from you. It is proof that the Canadian society is a racist one and Canada is an Apartheid state. Something you fought against with all your might in South Africa only some fifteen years ago. Remember? I have obtained the Canadian Citizenship, yet I am treated as a third-class citizen from day one. You want proof? Here it is: remember the recent Mulrony-Schreiber affaire? Both are Canadian citizens, Mulrony by birth, Schreiber by taking Canadian citizenship (like myself). Schreiber was deported,

Mulrony was not although both were equal "partners in crime". Justice? Result: Canadians by birth go free, are not deported but Germans like Schreiber or me can be deported. Tell me: what is this Canadian citizenship worth, that I have? I will tell you: perhaps the paper it is written on. Canadians like Mulrony and you are at the top of this self-declared "compassionate and caring" society. Second from top are the people of the First Nations. They also can't be deported because they are even more Canadian than you are. Right at the bottom rung is the place where I reside and all the other Germans (citizens or not) in this country. It is Apartheid and nothing else. German Canadians can be insulted from morning till night and I have heard of only a very few true-blooded Canadians who care and whom I deeply respect. Don't even try to prove me wrong. I am not wrong because you and your fellow Canadians are the ones who keep this hate and condescending treatment going on for ever. Do you watch TV? There the Germans are always shown as the dumbest village idiots on this planet. For once, please, remember that we Germans defended our homeland twice against 90% of the whole world. Canada included. Ten years long. That took a lot of guts and courage and actually the best soldiers the world has ever seen. We don't brag about our achievements like the "Inglorious Basterds" or like the Canadian film about the battle of Passchendaele in which it is claimed "the British could not do it, the French could not do it, we could" (Passchendaele, WW1). Find out why they could - I know why.

And, please, don't tell me to go back to where I came from because you do not like what I just told you. I have heard this one also too many times. It is the standard objection of those who are at their wit's end in a discussion.

Before making untrue accusations about the "Nazis" you ought to think about your own country's not so spotless history. We Germans have not liberated this land from the rightful owners, have not packed them into remote and "hospitable" out-of-sight-corners, have not provided them with substandard housing, inadequate health care, education, sanitation and have not buried native children in mass graves in church yards. And we Germans did not wipe out - or shall I say - holocausted - the native Beothuks of Newfoundland and Labrador. To the last man, woman and child.

So, for once, look at your own shortcomings first and then when you have overcome them, only then are you in a moral position to point your hypocritical and racist finger at me, the "Nazi".

Sincerely,

Walter Ruthard

Libertarian National Committee, Inc. c.o. Mr. Wayne Allyn Root, vice presidential nominee 2600 Virginia Ave. N.W. Suite 200 Washington D.C. 20037 Walter Ruthard 7638 Conc. Rd. 2 R.R. 1 Lisle, ON, L0M 1M0 Canada

October 2, 2008

Re: American Free Press, Sept. 29, 2008 / Libertarian National Party

Dear Mr. Root,

I am a reader of The American Free Press and live in Canada. In the September issue #39, there is a short description of you by Mr. Victor Thorn that caught my special attention.

I am in agreement with your statement as a citizen-politician that it is necessary to rein in big government, reduce taxation and corruption etc.

Some other statements that Mr. Thorn attributed to you in his article, however, surprised me in a way. I therefore had a look at the Libertarian Party's website and found a couple of statements that seem to contradict what you say. Let me repeat what I found:

- "Our vision is for a world in which all individuals can freely exercise the natural right of sole dominion over their own lives, liberty and property ..."
- "The Libertarian Party is for all who don't want to push other people around ..."

Mr. Thorn writes: "Although Root says the invasion was a 'terrible mistake', the 'surge' has been a success because politicians finally started listening to generals who had their boots on the ground. But, since the Iraqi government now enjoys a \$79 billion budget surplus, Root says we should be sending them a bill every month to cover our expenses, along with taking a cut of their \$100 billion oil revenues for 2007-2008. Most Americans oppose the war and are appalled by how dramatically fuel prices have risen over the past few years, and most would agree that it's time to make the most of a bad situation by recouping some of the losses."

This seems to be what you have said, Mr. Root. Can you enlighten me as to how your demand for "compensation" for your "expenses" can be justified? After all, you Americans were the ones who raided the Iraqis twice without ever having been attacked by them! So it should be you Americans who should compensate the Iraqi people, pay for the huge damages you inflicted upon them and, of course, pay generous compensation for the millions of them you have killed!

I will presume that you consider the Iraqis to be human beings. If so, don't you think that they also should have "the natural right of sole dominion over their own lives, liberty and property ..." – which you took away from them?

And while I am on this subject: how about compensating us Germans for our losses caused by you

Americans who attacked us in two world wars – again without us ever having threatened or even attacked the U.S.A. in any way! Of course, the Iraqis as well as the Germans will have to wait for this 'til hell freezes over, or until the United States will – hopefully soon – reach the end stages of every empire, be it Rome or Britain etc., that has ever gone into the dust bin of history. How can you justify to first wrong your victims and then, after the fact, demand from them "compensation" for your effort of robbing and destroying them?

And that's not all. Look into your history as a nation, Mr. Root, without the usual blinkers on. Everywhere you look you will discover destruction and genocide and blood and misery left behind by America. Remember your native Indians? You stole their land, ruined them with alcohol and finally shoved the few survivors into reservations, which are nothing but concentration camps. You "took" Texas, Arizona, New Mexico, Nevada and more from the Mexicans, who themselves "took" them from the Spaniards, who in turn "took" Mexico from the Indians. A fine bunch of robber states indeed. And there is still much more – just look at and reflect on your national character!

Take comfort, Mr. Root, you are not the only American with this twisted mind. Here are two more:

"Why would it be a stain on our honor to end the occupation of Iraq and hand the country back to the Iraqi people to govern as they please? It is, after all, their country, not ours; the oil is their oil, not ours. ... What happens after we leave is an Iraqi problem, not ours." Charley Reese, in AFP, 26. May 2008, p. 21.

"The Iraqi people want us out of their country, so if Israel doesn't interfere, it might work. And if the Iraqis want to kill each other after we leave, it's none of our business." Dr. Leo Lindenbauer, Washington, May 21, 2007 in AFP.

Yes, these are unpleasant things I have told you. You can of course ignore them and bury your head in the sand, but that won't make the facts go away. You as a nation have successfully managed to lose your friends; the world will become much colder without them, and high gas prices are the least evil of all you will have to face.

Sincerely,

From:	"Irene Wystepek" < <u>imw@jcis.net</u> >
To:	<undisclosed-recipient:;></undisclosed-recipient:;>
Sent:	Sunday, April 18, 2010 12:35 PM
Subject:	After Americathere is no place.

Dear Ms. Wystepek,

"Wow! This is quite an interesting piece. I hope you will read it to the end."

May I assume that this sentence (April 18, 2010) came from you? I have read this "interesting piece" with an open and critical mind both in your E-mail to the <Undisclosed Recipient> and on the Internet where I googled "Kitty Werthmann" as you have suggested in your enthusiastic letter.

I am surprised that you did not apply a more careful approach to what Ms. Kitty Werthmann claims to be her experience during Hitler's "conquest" of Austria. Like Ms. Werthmann, I also have some distinctive memories of these times as I have lived even before the Third Reich came into existence. And like Ms. Werthmann, I am also "an eyewitness to history" as she puts it. Her piece is full of errors and distortions that are downright grotesque to put it mildly. Certainly, farmers and business people were declaring bankruptcy daily. Not just in Austria but also in Germany where I lived. In this she is right. But have you ever thought of why these people were driven into bankruptcy? Ms. Werthmann does not tell you the other half of the truth. That half which is far more interesting than the mere fact that people were criminally bankrupted. It has to do with the hyper-inflation in the 1920s. People lost their fortunes and thus were forced to apply for loans at exorbitant interest rates just to survive. These loan sharks came from the USA and bought up farms, businesses, houses, factories, paintings, works of art and anything they fancied for pennies of the real value. In Berlin the suburb of Kreuzberg was practically in Jewish hands and the poor tenants further enriched the new owners by paying ever increasing rents.

"The Communist Party and the National Socialist Party were fighting each other. Blocks and blocks of cities like Vienna, Linz, and Graz were destroyed."

Do you understand what Ms. Werthmann is claiming here? A destroyed city block is a fairly large chunk of real estate and not suited for habitation. Nobody did live in ruins in Austria. That came later when the holy Allies accomplished this fact that Ms. Werthmann describes. So, I would suggest to you, Ms. Wystepek, that your admired little Kitty is a bit confused – maybe because of her advanced age of some 84 years.

"We looked to our neighbor on the north, Germany, where Hitler had been in power since 1933. We had been told that they didn't have unemployment or crime, and they had a high standard of living. Nothing was ever said about persecution of any group – Jewish or otherwise."

Nobody was ever persecuted in Germany unless he did not follow the law. Communists who were a threat to the state, thieves, burglars, child molesters (homosexuality was against the law!) who repeatedly broke the law were locked up and when they could convince the authorities that they had mended their ways, they had a chance to be released back into the society. This even included Jews who also were locked up if they behaved against society. Most inmates of these detention centers were Germans and not Jews although World Jewry repeatedly declared war on Germany – the first time just 51 days after Hitler became Reich Chancellor in 1933! Clearly this would have been

grounds enough to lock them up immediately. But they weren't until 1942 – long after WW II began. In your beloved United States Germans and Japanese were put into concentration camps even before America went to war against Germany! Compare this to the largesse of the German government in similar circumstances!

"Our education was nationalized.... The day we elected Hitler (March 13, 1938), I walked into my schoolroom to find the crucifix replaced by Hitler's picture hanging next to a Nazi flag...."

Well, well, at the same time I also walked into my schoolroom, and no crucifix was taken down. Our religious education was not cancelled, the local catholic priest conducted his instructions as before. And so it was in Austria. What was wrong when Hitler's picture and the national flag were displayed in schoolrooms? Nothing, after all this is the custom even in Britain to this day. I do not remember that we had to sing the national anthem every day but even if so, in American schools they sing their anthem daily!

"Sunday became National Youth Day with compulsory attendance. Parents were not pleased about the sudden change in curriculum. They were told that if they did not send us, they would receive a stiff letter of warning the first time. The second time they would be fined the equivalent of \$300, and the third time they would be subject to jail. The first two hours consisted of political indoctrination. The rest of the day we had sports...."

Considering that I had a head start over Kitty Werthmann by 5 years, and being a live-long shirker when it comes to sports activities, my parents never were subjected to any pressures from the government! Aside from the fact that I never had heard of a "National Youth Day" every Sunday, I can assure you that I was very successful in avoiding any sports competition whatsoever. I always watched from the sidelines when such an event took place, which was perhaps three or four times a year! And nobody bothered me! So, dear Ms. Wystepek, you have been duped by an old lady with an exceedingly active imagination.

"... Their loose lifestyle [Kitty Werthmann's friends] was very alarming to me. They lived without religion. By that time unwed mothers were glorified for having a baby for Hitler..."

Ms. Werthmann gets better and better in telling old wife's tales (no pun intended!). I remember vividly the run-in I had with my religious teacher (the local priest) some day. One of my playmates pushed me down a bare slope and I did not manage to completely clean up my clothes before class commenced. That was accomplished by this holy man: he pulled me over his desk and with his hazelnut switch he completed what I had tried before. And this during the Third Reich! So, dear Ms. Wystepek, religion was literally drummed into me, even before Ms. Kitty Werthmann "experienced" the same education system that came to Austria after 1938! Unwed mothers were always critically looked upon. Long before Hitler and even after him. They were not "glorified" but, unlike during the times before, they could get generous help through the "Lebensborn" and I wonder why Ms. Werthmann missed this golden opportunity to pour out her crippled mind's content about this institution that was for the good of all unwed mothers.

"In 1939, the war started and a food bank was established. All food was rationed and could only be purchased using food stamps. At the same time, a full-employment law was passed which meant if you didn't work, you didn't get a ration card, and if you didn't have a card, you starved to death. Women who stayed home to raise their families didn't have any marketable skills and often had to take jobs more suited for men." Well, well, what have we here! My mother raised five children and she stayed home while my father was a supervisor in an arms factory in Belgium. We always had enough food on the table and nobody looked at my mother squint-eyed. She even got a medal from the government for having five children, as every mother in Germany did. In Austria too! And as far as avoiding work goes, that was discouraged, yes. But who wants to feed a bum? You? Yes, those people rather did some useful work than betting on the rest of the society to help them to live a lazy life. Is there something wrong with my opinion?

"Soon after this, the draft was implemented. It was compulsory for young people, male and female, to give one year to the labor corps. During the day, the girls worked on the farms, and at night they returned to their barracks for military training just like the boys. They were trained to be antiaircraft gunners and participated in the signal corps. After the labor corps, they were not discharged but were used in the front lines. When I go back to Austria to visit my family and friends, most of these women are emotional cripples because they just were not equipped to handle the horrors of combat..."

You know, Ms. Wystepek, I almost believe now that your admired friend Kitty Werthmann is a Jewess. Any sane Austrian and German knows that German women never were "trained as antiaircraft gunners" or "used in the front lines". They, just like their sisters in Britain and even in this cesspool called America, worked as telephone operators and Red Cross nurses etc. but the fighting was a man's only thing. And this is how it should be in a civilized society. Fighting women are the distinct invention of your dear friend "Uncle Joe" Stalin and nowadays the Americans and your British brethren are eager to copy him. It is at best a relapse into long gone by barbaric times. And I can tell you how it came about that Kitty's Austrian women friends are emotional cripples. We have them in Germany too. They had to fight against the barbaric hordes of the Red Army who raped them again and again and again, quite often till they perished from it. And the Americans were just marginally "better". There was no help for them because their men were either dead or in captivity where they also died! Ms. Werthmann raises the question about child care by the government. She actually forgot an equal critical look at today's practices in her adopted America. Child care everywhere! And at the same time sex education as soon as such an unfortunate child enters this satanic system and is forced to partake in these practices. That was not the practice in the Germany of 1933 -1945, otherwise people like Ms. Werthmann would have smelled another golden opportunity to spread their poison.

"Before Hitler, we had very good medical care.... After Hitler, health care was socialized, free for everyone. Doctors were salaried by the government. The problem was, since it was free, the people were going to the doctors for everything. When the good doctor arrived at his office at 8 a.m., 40 people were already waiting and, at the same time, the hospitals were full. If you needed elective surgery, you had to wait a year or two for your turn. There was no money for research as it was poured into socialized medicine...."

Only a nearly brain-dead person can come up with such a nonsense! First she claims that girls and boys worked all day in the labor force and at night they were trained as ant-aircraft gunners and the used in the front lines! No wonder the hospitals were full of sick Germans and despite of this the glorious Allies needed 6 years to win their war! No, Ms. Wystepek, during the Third Reich we had the best health system bar none! It even survived for decades after Germany's defeat but is now hardly better than your American system that leaves millions out in the cold because they don't have the money to pay for overpriced doctors and hospitals who make billions regardless of any sense of social responsibilities. Add to this the drug companies, the modern snake oil peddlers, who get

stinking rich by robbing their customers blind with useless and poisonous "medicines". You have 700,000 physicians in the U.S. and they accidentally cause 120,000 deaths per year! Now, do you really want to call your health care superior, if you doctor's capability to kill you is 0.171?

"As for healthcare, our tax rates went up to 80% of our income. Newlyweds immediately received a \$1,000 loan from the government to establish a household... All day care and education were free. High schools were taken over by the government and college tuition was subsidized. Everyone was entitled to free handouts, such as food stamps, clothing, and housing."

80%??? Really??? No sane person would put up with this without becoming rebellious and then be willing to fight the murderous Allies for six long years! Even if I would take into consideration that at least half of this harebrained paragraph is pure imbecility, the other half could be the dream of every American today: How about providing every American young couple with a very cheap mortgage for a new house? Then top this off with a 25% reduction of this mortgage when the first child is born, another 25% off for the second child, and again a 25% reduction for the third child? And when the American couple produced the fourth child, the remaining 25% would be also wiped out? Well, it will never happen in America but it happened in Germany and I am one who lived in such a house, so I know what I am talking about and Ms. Werthmann does not, although she allegedly lived during those times when the eternally evil Germans came up with this idea. And I can assure her that college education was **not** free. However if the parents of a young person were too poor to afford his education, the state made every effort to get him through university – provided he could show good marks, indicating that he had made every effort to study seriously, that he was smart enough, and that he was no welfare bum intent on living off the efforts of the taxpayers. Thus the state reaped the benefit of tapping into faculties of previously disatvantaged sections of the population. Clothing was equally not free. You got stamps for clothing and shoes because they were also rationed but you had to pay for them. Housing was not free – see above. And another thing was first class, Ms. Wystepek, namely the statewide regulation of the education in the public and high schools. Every schoolchild in all of Germany learned the same stuff. This system was so simple that the Americans, Canadians and possibly also the Brits ought to copy it. It won't happen, of course, because the Germans invented it. It was not only very effective but also enormously cheap. The course of study was decided in the State Ministry of Education and was obligatory in every school. Cheap, because there was no need for the countless school boards with their widely overpaid members who engage in endless and futile negotiations with numerous unions and their eagerness to find ever new opportunities to go on costly strikes that will have to be payed for by the public with billions upon billions of dollars wasted.

"... My brother-in-law owned a restaurant that had square tables. Government officials told him that he had to replace them with round tables because people might bump themselves on the corners...."

What a tragedy!!! Poor brother-in-law! My heart goes out to him!! But believe it or not, Ms. Wystepek, those government officials were really thorough! I distinctly remember that the same thing happened to my parents. They also had to throw out all the cornery tables and buy round ones. Without furniture stamps. Even after the new and safe tables finally were put up in our house, we children had to wear padded pants and jackets and crash helmets because those round tables still had sharp edges – two in fact – on the top surface plate! Another problem was addressed by these government officials when they visited our house in order to verify that we really had round tables. To their horror they noticed that all the rooms in the house had square corners, so many in fact that three of the five guys got black eyes from bumping into the corners and then blamed us for having

such a stupid house.

"We had consumer protection. We were told how to shop and what to buy... We had a planning agency specially designed for farmers. The agents would go to the farms, count the live-stock, then tell the farmers what to produce, and how to produce it."

Why is Ms. Werthmann stretching the truth to such length? Yes, we had consumer protection. Price gauging was prohibited, the prices were fixed. That meant you could go to a merchant and if you did not like him, you would find the same product someplace else for exactly the same price, while you here, in "Free America", have to drive around, waste your time and gas in order to find a "bargain". Yes, the Americans are pretty efficient, aren't they? O yes, the farmers. First they had the great fortune that the government got rid of their mortgages with their usury interest rates, and then they were told what to produce. What is so wrong with this? With the help from the taxpayers they became debt free and should have been thankful for it. Instead they complain that they had to produce food! Well, Germany had to ration all goods in order to survive the friendly efforts of the Allies to starve us again into surrender. That happened in WW I, you know, and almost one million Germans perished as a consequence.

"... The villagers were surrounded by mountain passes which, in the winter, were closed off with snow, causing people to be isolated. So people intermarried and offspring were sometimes retarded. When I arrived, I was told there were 15 mentally retarded adults, but they were all useful and did good manual work... One day I looked out the window and saw Vincent and others getting into a van. I asked my superior where they were going. She said to an institution where the State Health Department would teach them a trade... As time passed, letters started to dribble back saying these people died a natural, merciful death.... Those people left in excellent physical health and all died within 6 months. We called this euthanasia."

That euthanasia happened in Germany, is a well known fact, Ms. Wystepek. It was suspended at the request of the German people and that was the end of it. However, euthanasia also happened in other countries, i.e. in Great Britain and there the greatest advocate for euthanasia was no less a person than Mr. Winston Churchill himself! Of course, Britain having been a very democratic country then, their kind of euthanasia was morally very superior to German euthanasia. Who wants to dispute this? Do I see you wink? And, to make matters even a bit more embarrassing for you, dear Ms. Wystepek, euthanasia also happened in your beloved United States of America and her equally moral neighbor Canada! I invite you to investigate! Euthanasia is practiced even today in countries which boast an unblemished moral record, namely Holland and Switzerland.

"Next came gun registration. People were getting injured by guns. Hitler said that the real way to catch criminals (we still had a few) was by matching serial numbers on guns. Most citizens were law abiding and dutifully marched to the police station to register their firearms. Not long after-wards, the police said that it was best for everyone to turn in their guns. The authorities already knew who had them, so it was futile not to comply voluntarily."

Wau, wau (as in dog)! Yes, there was a gun law in the 1920s before Hitler. It was pretty strict but in 1935 Hitler introduced his own gun law and this one – hold on to your pants, Ms. Wystepek, was downright generous! Every German of good reputation could buy any number of guns he liked. My father owned three long guns plus two pistols together with a good supply of bullets. When I was "liberated" by the French in 1945, nobody was required to register their guns. But everybody was "persuaded" to give them up "voluntarily". You should have seen the pile of firearms that grew and grew in the town square before the ever widening eyes of our "friendly liberators", the French! And there were not just guns but also swords, halberds, all sorts of weapons from the Middle Ages. I have seen it all.

No more freedom of speech. Anyone who said something against the government was taken away ...

Not so, Ms. Wystepek. Inquire about the famous musical show singer Claire Waldoff in Berlin for instance. She not only "said something against the government", she actually mocked Hermann Goering himself. And got arrested. When he heard about it, he ordered her released. And then she did something really stupid: she mocked him even more. He enjoyed it so much that her career went on uninterrupted until 1945. Now, compare this to America today. Your "yes-we-can-president" (you forgot this already, didn't you?) recently proposed to lock up 500,000 "free Americans" who might, at some time in the future, disagree with what he will be doing. Isn't this great?

" Totalitarianism didn't come quickly, it took 5 years from 1938 until 1943, to realize full dictatorship in Austria. Had it happened overnight, my countrymen would have fought to the last breath. Instead, we had creeping gradualism. Now, our only weapons were broom handles. The whole idea sounds almost unbelievable that the state, little by little eroded our freedom."

Now I understand! That's why Austria produced some of the most famous heroes of the German Wehrmacht. Otto Skorzeny, for example, was too stupid to realize that his countrymen were muzzled by Hitler. He must have been a real village idiot, otherwise he would have applied his skills as an SS-man to kill Hitler instead of fighting for him. Indeed, he would even have fought with a broom handle or thrown with tomatoes. No, Ms. Wystepek, Austrian soldiers and civilians behaved no different from their countrymen to the north. They all knew what was in store for them, once the gloriously shining Allied knights got the upper hand. Besides, Adolf Hitler was born in Austria.

"It's true..those of us who sailed past the Statue of Liberty came to a country of unbelievable freedom and opportunity. America Truly is the Greatest Country in the World. Don't Let Freedom Slip Away." "After America, There is No Place to Go"

Unbelievable freedom was and is enjoyed by just a few Americans. Rockefeller, Carnegy and the other mega capitalists always relished in boundless freedom in America while most of the duped ones were fighting for a decent living all their lives, always dreaming, never reaching it. If they dared to go on strike for more humane living conditions and wages, the police shot at them at the behest of Rockefellers. During the Dust Bowl they had the freedom to leave their primitive farms or perish right there or later on in the American West where nobody cared about them. Today some 45 million of Americans can't afford the freedom of having health care. Others have the freedom to leave their over-mortgaged homes or be thrown out by the banksters. Many of them have now the freedom to live in tents or under bridges; they have the freedom to freeze to death in winter. The greatest country in the world? Excuse me when I start laughing. Americans are able to drill holes 35,000 feet deep into the earth's crust but they can't stop the geysers of oil that now threaten the whole planet. As in this sad example, you Americans always cause trouble in places where you don't belong, and when the problem becomes too tough for you to solve, you just leave and let your victims clean up the mess you made. This time, however, it seems that you have run out of luck: you will have to clean your own miserable shack the first time if you want to survive. And I don't believe that I am too far off when I promise you that it will not be British Petroleum that will pay for the clean-up of your oil disaster. It will be you who will enjoy bailing out again another big one. Good for you! As I said,

America takes the freedoms of others away by constantly waging wars against those who have other ideas about life and do not want an American-style democracy. Ever since your caricature of a civilized country entered history, you have massacred millions upon millions of humans on a scale not even reached by Stalin. First you exterminated your Indians, stole their land, drove them into inhospitable places, and then did the same to the Mexicans who, by the way, were hardly any better than you. Then ... war after war after war until today when just one isn't enough anymore for you. Now it has to be at least two and your warmongering president who supervises them on your behalf was awarded with the Nobel Peace Price and promptly wants another one. Of course, you will follow him again as always when he calls on you to be patriotic Americans. What a great country! Ruled by the super rich and payed for by the mentally challenged others. Even your war-injured pay for your glorious wars by being locked up in veteran's homes and are soon forgotten in order to avoid public embarrassment. Indeed you are living in a wonderful country and you deserve it. So, Ms. Wystepek, your "freedoms" have never been your freedoms in the first place. You are daydreaming. You just do not understand what is being done to you. You never will.

"After America, there is no place to go." Right. And I wonder where Ms. Werthmann will go when she eventually will have to.

Cerberus



Jewish children gathered for a sporting event in a summer camp by the Reich Union of Jewish Frontline Soldiers - Nazi Germany 1934-1936

Ms. Ghis P.O.Box 309 Waterloo, Quebec J0E 2N0

Herta Ruthard 7638 Conc.Rd. 2 R.R. 1 Lisle, ON L0M 1M0

November 7, 2009

Dear Ghis,

thank you so very much for sending me your booklet "Politics" and your autograph makes it even more special to me. My husband and I we are already familiar with the topic because we have in our library David Icke's book "Global Conspiracy" among others too, but we are always eager to learn more.

After a quick look into "Politics" we were enthusiastic but after reading on we found that – regarding Hitler and the Third Reich – you are dead wrong. Believe us, we lived through this era and we have to live until today (and tomorrow) under the greatest falsification of history ever.

We plan to give "Politics" to some of our friends with the proviso that you are willing to take a look at the other side of the coin and that you open your mind to it. It is not easy after being brainwashed from childhood on but we cannot imagine that a person with your intellect would not want to learn of the deception and the lies that they have been exposed to all their life. For a start a few short notes:

The Reichstag fire: see enclosure; Hitler sold WW II to the Germans: dto.; Rice: "We need a common enemy to unite us". Your comment: "... and for Nazi Germany, (it was) the Jews."

For Germany it wasn't the Jews, quite the opposite! Please read what my husband had to say to his friend G. Compare it with today and you will see the evolving parallel. World Jewry already declared war on Germany in 1933, a statement that the Jews living at the same time in Germany were in fact the enemy within this country.

Germany and the Holocaust are the last piece of the puzzle. If it falls into place, that evil spell would be broken and the peoples could finally free themselves. Those brave souls who work towards this goal are all thrown into jail for good by the "slave holders" in the "democratic" countries.

We are taking the liberty of sending you some information. After your reply we will place our order for either "Health" only or for "Politics" too.

In the hope for a friendship we remain

sincerely,

P. 42: "... In 1933, the Reichstag fire brought Hitler to power and helped him to establish martial law and sell WW II to the Germans."

This is the established "truth" in contemporary history. However, there are four "mistakes" in this sentence alone that do not measure up with the historical facts!

- 1. Hitler "came to power" on 30. January 1933.
- 2. The Reichstag fire occurred on 27. February 1933.
- 3. Marshal law was not introduced in Germany until WW II broke out.
- 4. Hitler did never "sell WW II to the Germans"!

Conclusions:

- The Reichstag fire could not "bring Hitler to power" as it occurred after he was appointed Reich Chancellor by the then Reich President von Hindenburg. This is a procedure that is followed even today in "democratic" Germany!
- 2) It was the Dutch anarchist (Jew) Marinus van der Lubbe who set the Reichstag on fire. He confessed and was sentenced to death and executed on 10. January 1934.
- 3) Martial law is enacted when a country is at war or in a national crisis. There was no national crisis in Germany until 1939 when WW II broke out.
- 4) War was declared on Germany on September 1, 1939 by Britain and France. Canada followed on September 9, 1939.

Hitler tried numerous times to come to a peaceful agreement with Poland to preserve peace. All were rejected by the Poles. Poland partially activated her army in March 1939 when Britain gave her the unconditional guarantee to help her in case she was attacked by Germany. On August 30, 1939 Poland declared war on Germany after she fully mobilized the army and had moved it close behind the border! They even bragged of being in Berlin within two weeks!

Especially during the time form March until September 1939 the ethnic Germans who still remained in their former German homelands stolen by Poland after 1918, were subjugated to the most cruel treatment; they were dispossessed, driven across the border (1 million of them!), they were murdered and tortured by the tens of thousands (Bromberg Bloody Sunday). Polish military units crossed the border almost every night and murdered German farmers, customs officials and police. They burnt farms and crops, destroyed bridges and buildings; they abducted farmers and killed them on "Polish" soil; they shot at German airplanes over international waters.

P. 42: "... - the invasion of Poland by the Nazis in 1939 "

Wrong again! It was not an invasion by "the Nazis"! It was a reaction to the unending Polish atrocities against the ethnic Germans who had the misfortune to be forced to live under the Polish terror and they had no means to defend themselves.

These are the facts! I know of no country on earth that would have shown such patience and determination to preserve the peace, Canada included.

One last thing: I find it very insulting to refer to the Germans here in Canada, the ones in Germany and the ones who are long dead, as "Nazis". This is clearly intended as an insult against people who do not have the means to successfully defend themselves against these affronts!

Dear Ghis,

on Nov. 9, 09 we wrote to you regarding your book "Politics". We have not heard from you, which is very disappointing for us, because it tells us that in all probability you are holding on to an anti-German lie-and hate propaganda which you have swallowed hook, line and sinker! The same goes for David Icke as well.

We cannot buy your book for our friends, both German and Canadian, which we regret very, very much, because by doing so we would validate these falsifications. Please take a look at the enclosures.

The globalist disinformation technicue that you expose in your book is the same as you practise yourself. Example: page 59, "Adolf Hitler once said: 'How fortunate for leaders that men do not think.'" Of course, since Adolf Hitler is the devil incarnate he must be included among these fortunate leaders. This is the message to the reader's subconscious.

Being so wrong on one of the most important historical epochs of this century and not checking out the other side of the coin - what the loser has to say - is a big blemish on a work such as yours, because if there are other claims which also don't hold water? Think about it!

We still haven't given up hope on hearing from you. Wishing you a blessed Christmas season and the best for 2010 we remain

sincerely,

Churchill: "The best argument against democracy is a five-minute conversation with the average voter."

December 21st, 2009

Dear Huska (? I can hardly read your signature) and Walter,

I received both your letters and the enclosed documents, which I read with interest. Being short of time, I am answering later than I would have liked to do.

You explained that official history is wrong and misleading when it comes to WWII and I believe you 100 %. I will correct my writings in the *Politics* booklet when I reprint it and I am very grateful for the time and effort that you have put to « educate » me.

On the other hand, I would like to bring to your attention that we live in a world of illusion where lie and deception reign. Consequently, everything is false. We remain in the triangle of victim, culprit and saviour. Changing position from a culprit to a victim is still living in hell on earth. Truth is to be found only in the soul and humanity is still separated from it. Could our unification with one's real self bring about truth, reality and peace? War exists as a manifestation of our inner separation and division. It has no reality.

This perspective may explain why I am not putting as much emphasis on history as I do on a solution to our actual world. However, I understand that, being born in Germany, this topic matters a lot to you.

It is my hope that we will meet one day and share our experience.

Warm regards,

bhis

Herta & Walter Ruthard 7638 Conc. Rd. #2 R. R. 1 Lisle, ON, L0M 1M0

Jan. 22, 2010

Dear Ghis,

thank you so much for your letter of Dec. 21, 09 which we received on Jan. 7, 2010. We are so glad that you will correct your writing in the Politics booklet when you reprint it. Please let us know when it will be available.

We have a problem with your philosophy that we live in a world of illusion. You can close your eyes, your ears, your whole conscience, bury your head in the sand and hope for the best? This is exactly what our enemy is hoping for, enslaving the whole world in its new order. Of course, falsification of history means a lot to us; it means that we as Germans have to serve as sacrificial lamb on the altar of the Holocaust which is the new religion anyway. It means that we are despised, hated, beaten by everyone all over the world, and we cannot defend ourselves against all these lies: that we have committed the greatest crime in all of human history. And the people believe it, and woe to us if we ever raise our head a little bit out of the ashes! We will send you a sample of it, which we got when I wrote an article about Dresden in DIALOGUE. The reaction to it was very real to us and by no means an illusion. Accepting this would mean an admission of guilt and the total loss of any self respect. Another possibility would be fleeing into an illusion that the whole affair is not real, is just a bad dream.

Fighting is necessary. I will give you an example: when my husband was a school boy, there were always some older boys harassing him and he didn't defend himself, so they had their fun with him. Until one day when he fought back, when it was "enough is enough" for him. The older boy lost a tooth and his glasses were broken. From this time on my husband was respected among his class mates and the harassment was over. It was similar in the DIALOGUE magazine. I had my say in the following issues, I fought back and finally the regularly expressed anti-German writings ceased. It's like in the school yard, those who don't defend themselves get hit.

When we saw the images of the earthquake on TV, we were reminded of the bombardments of German cities by Canadian, American and British air forces. Man-made horrors crowned by tornadolike firestorms. What people can do to others is so terrible that it boggles the mind. For the Germans there were no international relief organizations. They too had no electricity, no water, no food, only more and more hate and more destruction rained on them by an enemy incited by a mendacious atrocity propaganda, an enemy who knew no mercy but instead felt a deep satisfaction at what he had done. The highest achievement for a possessed and controlled mind programmed to kill and destroy in the name of justice. "Onward Christian soldiers!"

Even today, 65 years later, anti-German hate propaganda is still practised by the same power that plunged the whole world into mass murder and misery in the first place. When we German-Canadians here in Canada see the compassion and loving care for every life in distress down to the

smallest animal, and then the total disregard - even hatred - when it comes to German suffering, like that British bomber crew member, Mr. Saxon said 50 years after he participated in incinerating German cities: "They started it (WW II) and we gave it to them, good and strong." This attitude is caused by lies, still being told and still being believed to this day. Yes, the disaster that nature inflicted on the Haitians days ago, wiping out some 200,000 people, is indeed an inconceivable horror, but more than 480,000 civilians in Dresden alone, wiped out by the hand of man, is okay! This is what poisoned our very existence: an entire people, hated and disdained, being degraded to the lowest level of humanity. Because of lies!

Now, Germany is a defeated country and the victors are the Jewish people. The Germans are reeducated by them in such a way that they believe all the terrible things the victors have been telling the world since 1945 that they did. The basic human rights have been taken away from them and they have to serve as the whipping boys and pay masters for the whole world. This former proud and sound nation is totally degenerated and at the mercy of its rulers. It is finished. Again, this is the terrible reality and is no illusion. Germany, the heart of Europe, is mortally sick and this sickness could spread into all of Europe. Because Germans don't know their history anymore, they only know what their masters have told them via their schoolbooks and other media. A people without history is a lost people. That's why we think that knowledge in history is so immensely important. Our only hope is that truth will prevail and will spread from outside into our homeland so that the process of de-programming can begin.

It would be nice indeed if we could meet one day and share our experiences. But, as our dear friend Grenville always says: "I can dream, can I ".

Our best wishes to you for this still young year! We remain with kindest regards,

P. S.: regarding "educating " you, we always say, one can still learn something even from the dumbest.

Mount Royal University c/o Dr. Mahfooz Kanwar Ph.D. 6424 Department of Sociology and Anthropology 4825 Mount Royal Gate SW Calgary, Alberta T3E 6K6 Walter Ruthard 7638 Conc. Rd. 2 R.R. 1 Lisle, ON, L0M 1M0

mkanwar@mtroyal.ca

18 October 2009

Open Letter

Dear Dr. Kanwar,

recently I received a copy of the letter you wrote to The Calgary Herald on March 30, 2009. I also subsequently found it on the Internet. Please allow me to respond in what hopefully will be an interesting letter to you.

Like you, I am an immigrant to Canada. I came from Germany some 37 years ago. You have been here for the past 45 years, as you write. This gives me the opportunity to draw some comparisons between your and my experiences in Canada and with Canadians. Presuming that you are a Canadian citizen like myself, our experiences here ought to be of a comparable nature which, however, they are not. There are many good points in your letter to The Calgary Herald and I will point some of them out as I go along, as well as the ones you have missed.

You lament the "lack of assimilation of new immigrants to mainstream Canada...". Certainly, this is an obvious fact and I know quite a number of people who do not want to fit in. But when I factor in my own experiences and the ones of my fellow Germans here, the picture changes dramatically. Mostly within just a few months after their arrival here they try to disappear, i.e. they try to melt into Canadian society, hoping that nobody will recognize them as Germans by their accent. At home they speak English even amongst themselves and certainly with their children. So, after the parents have passed on, their children have become true-blue Canadians, lacking any sense of pride in their ancestry, let alone the ability to speak the language their parents once spoke, and thousands of years of a rich history have gone down the drain. They have adjusted to their new history and will never know what they have missed. Of course, this applies to your own situation also.

And this leads me to another observation immigrants to Canada may or may not be aware of. It is expected of us to leave any "baggage" ("Nazis", tribal conflicts etc.) behind when we enter this country. It is expected of us to embrace without reservation the history of Canada. That means that you will have forget the history of Pakistan and I to forget the history of Germany in order to become "real Canadians". Now, I am sure that the history of your homeland is very much longer than, let's say, the 200 years of Canadian history. In my case, my history goes right back to the Stone Age, namely thousands of years to a time when mankind took its first steps. Also, we Germans are natives in our country; we have always lived there, and, isn't it strange, we did not conquer the world like the British, the French, the Russians, and today the Americans. We did not force our language on other people like the British or French have done. Canadians were never in danger of being ordered to speak German as some calcified Canadian veterans want me to believe.

Foolishly I fell for the phantom that by emigrating to Canada, I would be accepted here as an equal human being. It works in your case, but not in mine. So why should I forget that my ancestors existed long before any Canadians or their ancestors were even born? And, please, Dr. Kanwar, do not repeat to me the hackneyed phrase that I should go back where I came from. I would gladly do so if I were still young enough. Canadians were the ones who invited me to come here they took the first step, not me: every Canadian immigration office in any country is an invitation, financed by the Canadian taxpayers via their government! However, I was not part of the conquering of Canada, the relegating of their native Indians to remote and desolate areas in the north, or the mass extinction of the Beothuks in Atlantic Canada – the "real Canadians" did this. They are the ones who stole this country from their rightful owners, not me! They are eager to stress the "fact" that we Germans are the nemesis of the world but they hypocritically forget that they, together with their brothers in Australia, New Zealand and their fathers from Britain, are the ones who really deserve this description. They are proud of the fact that they beat us Huns ("The British could not do it, the French could not do it, we could") in WW I and then in WW II. They are still practicing their profession of arms and are proud of it too. Yes, they were the victors, they got their spoils, but where are they when it comes to practicing of one of the most basic human traits, namely to reach out to the defeated? I am still waiting, after 64 years, for Canada to offer a peace treaty to Germany. This would simply be an act of human decency. Of this there is not much that I could detect here. This, yes, this would be something that could perhaps change my opinion of Canada and the Canadians for the better. It will not happen.

So the lack of assimilation on part of the German immigrants does not fit your description. In other words, there is no conflict between Canada and its German immigrants. They do more than their share of what is expected of them. There is also no problem with them as far as crime, social unrest, fiscal demands on the government, drugs etc. goes. They are also not the cause of the ever-increasing police presence required to fight the rising crime rate in Canadian cities. We have by far the lowest crime rate of all minorities here in Canada and there has never been any criminal German gang in this country or, in fact, in any country like the "Russian Mafia" and the numerous criminal gangs originating from Asia or Africa.

"..., it is the responsibility of minorities to adjust to the majority". Again, I have to agree with you, but as I just stated, this does not apply to the Germans here. They "do as the Romans do" and this is exactly what I also intended to do 37 years ago. But this coin has two sides – and it is most likely a big surprise to you that after many, many years of one disappointment and one rejection after the other, I had to realize that, considering my background as a German, I and other Germans and former Germans like myself were and still are not treated as equal members of the Canadian society. We are the only minority against which old hatred stemming from a criminal and hypocritical war propaganda is hurled every day. It goes back to the 19th century! Imagine this! This is a fact and I do state this not in an attempt to curry favours with you, Dr. Kanwar, or anybody else; nor have I any intention to behave like a cry-baby. I refuse to whimper and beg for favors. Most of us are like this.

"Those who come here of their own volition and stay here must be truly patriotic Canadians or go back." This statement fits nicely in with the above paragraph. Normally I would agree wholeheartedly. But, again, how can anybody expect me to be a patriotic Canadian if I am discriminated against because I was born in Germany? Nobody I have found this far in this country has ever uttered an insulting remark about Pakistanis or other minorities. This is nice, certainly, but there might be a secret and underground bias towards people that came from other countries and whom one does not dare to offend. The only exception are Germans - they are fair game to be insulted without consequences to the insulter. We receive this shameful treatment almost on a daily basis. It takes strong nerves and a strong will to endure under these circumstances, and most Germans have these traits; they do not "cut and run" easily. By far most of them came here under their own steam. There were no ESL paid for by the government, nobody prevented them from falling through the cracks, there were no monetary benefits if they lost their jobs, no health insurance. They simply got up on their feet again. They all made it eventually and contributed to this society; in my and my wife's case even more so than the native-born Canadians. We were 40 years old when we took the plunge and a large part of the pension we receive and spend here today was earned and taxed in Germany, Canada having the benefit without having contributed one single cent to it. However, Canada Revenue taxes a considerable large portion out of it. I call it highway robbery. We also spent our hard earned money here, built two houses and so provided jobs for

Canadians. And we did not come here in order to have a better life. We already had it in Germany. Have you ever heard of adventurism? This is my explanation for our motive to come to Canada.

Now to the naked facts that have to be faced every day by Germans like me in this country. Are you in the habit of watching TV at night? Have you also watched time and again with glee how a bunch of heroic Canadian or American soldiers trounced the stupid Germans – so stupid they did not even realize what hit them? And, of course, they were all either Nazis or Huns or both, namely sub-humans. Who were just a hair's breadth away from conquering the whole world and forcing Canadians to speak German. German = Nazi = Hun, that is what I have heard too many times. That is how I exist in Canadian's minds. Are you aware of the many sneering remarks about Germans in the printed media? Aside from the fact that the term "Nazi" is always used in an offending manner, it is conveniently overlooked by Canadians of all backgrounds that it is a name of a political party (<u>National</u> Socialist Workers Party) that existed in Germany a long time ago. And was not a criminal party at all! As the name explicitly implies, it was not intended for export; it was a strictly domestic German political party. Again, "German" is synonymous with "Nazi" in most Canadian's minds and it is very offensive.

In other words, Dr. Kanwar, we are treated like beings of an inferior race. Canadians were at the forefront of the worldwide fight against Apartheid in South Africa some 15 years ago. Today, in fact even before WW I – some 95 years ago already or even more – they treated their German minority like this. To demand that I should become a Canadian patriot under these circumstances goes far beyond of what can be expected from me. When I am treated like a third-class being in this country, the native Indians being the second tier and everybody else at the top, my patience is at an end! Yes, Canada is an Apartheid country just like the one it fought, namely South Africa. Canadians are also a bunch of holier-than-thou-people because the "culprits" in South Africa are now treated here like anybody else – except for the Germans! One former Prime Minister, Paul Martin, once claimed that there are no second-class citizens in Canada. Either he was ill-informed, which I find hard to believe, or he is a hypocrite and shares this trait with most others. Not a comfortable country to live in, I can assure you.

To illustrate this a bit more, here is what happened in Canada some years ago. It is a well known fact that in WW 2 all Canadians of Japanese heritage were herded into concentration camps in the Canadian prairie provinces. These camps were called "detention camps" in order to soothe the oh-so-sensitive Canadian souls. The Japanese detainees' fortunes and real estate were confiscated and sold. After the war they were allowed to return to their former houses that were now "owned" by real Canadians. And now this, Dr. Kanwar: Italy was also at war with Canada, Canada having declared it! Italians here suffered the same fate as the Japanese. They ended up in Canadian concentration camps, they lost everything. So it should come to you as no surprise that the Germans here also were looked after in the same loving manner. Under the government of the former Prime Minister Mulroney and after many decades the Japanese were offered an apology for Canadian wrongs done to them and, if I remember correctly, received \$3,000.00 a head as "compensation". Later the Italians got their "apology" but no money. As always the Germans were the last ones. They got no apology and no money.

It is uncivilized and a disgrace for a country to discriminate against one of its minorities, especially if they are completely innocent of any wrong-doing, and it makes a mockery of its claim to be a Multicultural Society. This is, by the way, a misleading statement; it should be called a multiethnic society! It reflects badly on the Canadian character to do so almost universally – with very, very few exceptions who thus prove the rule by being the exceptions. I am, however, very proud of the fact that I personally know at least a couple of these exceptions and am called a friend by them.

We were not the warmongers, we never have been warmongers in our whole history that spans thousands of years. The German elite were murdered at Nuremberg by the victors for allegedly starting WW II a long time ago, but the wars continue and Canada is and was at the forefront of these wars. Not just since Germany's defeat in 1945 but already in the 18th century when the native

Beothuks of Newfoundland were exterminated to the last person by what was to become Canada. If the Germans had done it, it would be called genocide; since the Canadians did it, they became very quiet. This is just one hint to provide you with a starting point, Dr. Kanwar. Feel encouraged to probe further and discover many more dark spots in your one-sided and rosy Canadian identity.

I could go on to describe to you many other unpleasant things that you yourself do not have to deal with. One last thing, however, I will tell you. Whether, after reading this, you still want to call yourself a proud Canadian, is up to you and is of minor interest to me. Twice Germany had to defend itself against practically the whole world. Yes, **defend**; we were not the aggressors! This battle lasted a total of 10 years, not counting the inter-war years which were 21 more years of the world's war against Germany by non-military means. The rest of the world tried hard to stamp us out, and this fact alone proves that we Germans had by far the better soldiers and, believe it or not, they were just as superior to your soldiers morally. We did not cause, nor did we start, either of the world







wars. I leave it to you to apply your scholarly and hopefully honest mind to these matters also; I promise you that it would be a very interesting journey.

What the killing of unborn human beings concerns, I am aware of this in your country of birth, Pakistan. But it is not restricted to Pakistan or India or anywhere else. Here in Canada unborn children are also killed by the thousands every year und it is plain and simply nothing but mass murder that is tolerated by the government that hypocritically accuses the then German government for allegedly having done similar things. And since the Canadian government is a creation of the Canadians themselves (they call it democracy!), it follows that they must be hypocrites also, pointing their fingers at others who can't defend themselves and feeling good about it and their history of which they choose to ignore many unpleasant and important aspects.

As a patriotic and proud Canadian, Dr. Kanwar, you too must share the responsibility that came with the abuse of the native children in government-financed and Christian-run schools. Imagine, you, as a Muslim, are responsible for the crimes of the Christian churches! Here you have the absurdity of a so-called "Multicultural Society"! Thousands of children are missing and presumably dead . It does not matter whether you had lived in Pakistan at the time, or here, as you did – what matters, according to your own morals articulated in your article in The Calgary Herald of March 30, 2009, you consider yourself a Canadian and thus have to share the guilt, or you are no patriotic Canadian. I prefer to be a German.

I intended this letter to be a short one; it did not work out as I wished. I could write a small book about the flip-side of the nice country that you so love, and it is a rather dark side you have clearly missed so far, Dr. Kanwar. Well, you are part and aware of it now! Instead of being a proud Canadian now, I am a proud German and I will remain so until I die. I have buried my dreams to fit into this society, I am done with it. And it is entirely not my fault; Canadians helped me along the way, and this is the only thing I have to thank them for.

Sincerely,

Walter Ruthard

Iraq "Liberated" like Germany in 1945?

Nuremberg, 1942-1945: Allied aerial bombardments ravaged and destroyed the city. The old part of the city below the Kaiserburg was almost totally eradicated. Most major German cities were similarly bombed to rubble. Hundreds of thousands lost their lives, 350,000 of them in the mass murder in Dresden alone. On a "divine mission" (according to George W. Bush), the "crusade against evil" is today devastating Iraq in the name of "freedom" - who's next?

Translated from "Unabhängige Nachrichten" (Pf. 10 17 06, D-46017 Oberhausen), issue 4/2003, p. 5.

Belated Remorse

A soldier's fate that ought to make today's American and British clusterbombers stop and think:

US Bomber Frank Clark asks Nuremberg for Forgiveness

Report from the Nuremberg "Abendzeitung", February 26, 2003:

The highly decorated lieutenant of the US Air Force was a member of the Allied bomber squadrons that reduced Nuremberg to rubble and ashes. But unlike many of his comrades, Frank Clark could not get over the fact that he had helped kill countless civilians. The guilt never let go of him. And even 58 years after the end of the war, he only wants one thing: that the bombing victims forgive him.

They returned again and again to drop their deadly load: 28 times from 1942 until April 1945, the Allies attacked Nuremberg from the air. In one of the giant B17 bombers that turned the city into rubble with the explosive power of their payload, Frank Clark (then 19) sat and released the explosive and incendiary devices of death. But for the highly decorated lieutenant of the US Army, the war is still not over even today, some 60 years later.

The pangs of conscience, the sense of guilt at the work of destruction in which he as bomber crewman had played a significant role, caught up with Frank Clark when the war was over and he had returned home to Michigan. Today he is convinced that "there is no moral justification for the killing". As 17-year-old who had volunteered for military service and undergone four intensive months of training, he had no such qualms: "I was young and didn't think very far ahead."

Sooner than he realized, he was part of the ten-man crew of a B17 bomber stationed in Great Britain and deployed regularly for area-bombing major German cities, including Nuremberg. As Frank Clark released bomb after heavy explosive bomb, dropping them on the cities' residential quarters, he had his first doubts: "I realized that the bombs primarily struck the civilian population, and that we too might be considered perpetrators." But at such moments, the young soldier heeded the orders his superiors had given him: "I tried to aim as accurately as possible, and did my job."

That "job" quickly caught up with him after the war. The images of the destroyed, burning houses and the thoughts of the casualties gnawed at his conscience more and more: "I stood there and couldn't deal with life any more because all I had learned was to shoot and to kill. That's when

I began to drink."

But that was only the beginning of a fall to great depths. The bomber crewman made his first attempt at suicide, and ended up in psychiatric care. But it didn't rid him of the nightmares that kept hounding him. And so he spent the next years as a permanent patient in various psychiatric institutions. He also wasted away physically during this time. "I had several heart attacks and was revived by electroshock. After that, I no longer even knew who I was."

In the late 1980s, almost 50 years after the end of the war, Frank Clark sat down at his desk and wrote a letter to the Mayors of those cities he and his comrades had descended upon during the war. *"I wanted to recover my own inner peace of mind,"* he explains this step.

Nuremberg's wartime Mayor, Dr. Andreas Urschlechter, also received this missive from the exofficer tormented by his psyche. In his letter to the city's patriarch, Frank Clark expresses only one wish:



"I ask forgiveness."

Suppressing the Memory of Dresden

Roger Lagassé, Sechelt, B.C. in a letter to the editor, Coast Independent, Nov. 24, 1997:

Lessons of war

"I applaud Nancy Moote's thoughtful article relating her knowledge as the daughter of a Second World War veteran ("Remembrance for the victims of war" *Coast Independent* Nov. 10). Her insights and the anecdotes about her father are a vital, though often overlooked part of the record. My father also served overseas and I only recently learned of an astounding story which I will now attempt to briefly relate.

My dad passed away in 1994. A short time after his service, my mother told me about an incident which she had kept to herself since 1947. Late one night in that year, she awoke to find dad sitting at the kitchen table, shaken and in tears.

He told her he had been out on patrol one night and came upon a camp of German soldiers. This was at a time when the Allies were advancing swiftly across Europe following their successful landing at Normandy.

Dad ordered his men to surround the encampment and, in the morning, his group was able to convince the Germans that they were outnumbered. He told them their lives would be spared if they would surrender, which they did. He then marched them back to his own camp.

When he reported to his superior officer, dad was ordered to have his prisoners executed. Incensed, my father struck his superior and was in turn knocked out with a rifle butt blow to the head. The prisoners were executed. It seems there was no alternative. An advancing force could not carry with it a large contingent of enemy soldiers. Still, this incident explains why my father and his colleagues in the Legion were mostly silent about their achievements in the war. Aside from stoically marching down the street in their berets once a year at Remembrance day, they mostly kept to themselves.

A few years before his death, dad told me he thought the war was really fought over greed and that naive young men such as himself had been duped into thinking there was something noble or brave about killing other people in order to protect the safety of your own. In his own words he pointed out that investors in the industrial military complex made a tidy profit out of World War II while young men on both sides lay down their lives.

> Roger Lagassé Sechelt

"John van de WALL" <<u>ivandewall@sympatico.ca</u>>

10 December 2008

Dear Mr. van de Wall,

the e-mail I am referring to was forwarded to me on 7 December 2008 by Mr. Grenville Rogers of Lively, Ontario, a very good friend of mine. He got it from you. This is the first time ever that I am responding to an e-mail from someone I do not personally know.

As very disappointed Canadian citizen (second class) hailing from Germany - I came to this country in 1972 and became a citizen three years later - it is with quite some amusement that I watch the theatrical performance in Ottawa. As you quite rightly say:

"Mr. Harper won, but really lost the election. Mr. Layton lost, but actually won. Mr. Dion quit but is going to be Prime Minister. And Mr. Duceppe is laughing his backside off because he is the one who is really in charge of the stability of Canadian government, while being committed to breaking up the country."

I could not have put it better - not even in German! I have read somewhere that Mr. Harper was elected with just 30-35% of the votes cast. That leaves 65% of those who voted against him in the political dust. They have no say in political matters and have to accept whatever Mr. Harper deems to be good for the country or his personal ambitions. This seems to me anything but democratic. So, as I see it, a coalition of parties in Ottawa would achieve two desirable goals: it would make it unnecessary to have another costly election, and all the theatrical posturing in Ottawa would be avoided. Better still have a coalition of all four parties; it would be far more democratic than the constant haggling that we witness today. But that would mean having realgreat men and women in Parliament, and not just yes-sayers and nay-sayers, wouldn't it?

I see no one who would deserve this title. Mr. Harper is arrogant to the point that he caused the expensive election in the first place just to have a more comfortable majority and without trying too hard to accommodate the will of the 65% who did not want him and as expressed through the opposition parties. That is arrogance if I ever saw one. It also smells to me of a would-be dictator.

Mr. Layton heads the NDP, a party that is more socialist than is good for the people. He is the political comrade, or soulmate if you will, of Mr. Bob Rae who was then chief of the NDP government of Ontario and was and is responsible for the huge provincial deficit in this province ("We have to spend ourselves out of this recession"). It has cost me a considerable portion of my pension, and I have not forgotten. What I learned from it all is that, as in all socialist parties, the desire to get at the dollar in someone else's pocket is also present in the NDP. Now Mr. Rae, who changed his political colors like a dirty shirt, wants to become the Liberal Boss in Ottawa! I can just imagine the mess he will probably cause there, and the sad thing is that he would later claim again, as he does now, that he made a mistake and just walk away from it without even refunding one red penny to the hapless taxpayers, like he did in Ontario.

Mr. Dion seems to be an honorable man with good intentions but without a real understanding of the ever-popular "Climate Change", or "Global Warming" as it was called not too long ago. Almost everybody is of this mind set. Did he ever entertain the thought that Canadians can't even prevent a simple snow storm let alone a tornado or a "Katrina"? And they would really try to reverse the warming of the globe! How naive can people be, Mr Dion included! I could tell him that it's the sun

that makes the climate, something I already learned some 70 years ago in school. These are the politicians and decision makers Canadians have in their country, Mr. van de Wall!

Whatever one wants to call Mr. Duceppe, a separatist or one who wants the "nation" of the French Canadians to have their own country, is not of much importance. First, I do not understand the fuss English-speaking Canadians make about the fact that there is a second group of people in Canada that do not like to speak English. They may have a reason for it, and they have every right to speak French if they so choose, because they were here long before the English! Not even to mention the aboriginal Indians. Second, I believe that the patience of the French Canadians has been tested far too long. Everywhere in the parts of the world that were dominated by the British, the local languages aren't all that was suppressed by them. By the way, the same goes also for the French of Europe.

It seems to me that Canadians in general live in a dream world that is separate from the rest of mankind. They dwell on the difficulty of managing just two languages. Instead of looking at other countries who had the same "problem" and learning how they solved it, Canadians would rather install another group of expensive "experts" to study the malaise for the next ten years. And then another one for one more decade until all is forgotten.

This is the kind of statesmen without substance I was talking about above. Take Switzerland as an example. The Swiss have four official languages in their country and no problems with this fact. They also invariably have political coalitions in Bern, their capital. And their system works very well indeed. Some 70% of the Swiss are in fact Germans, the rest of them are French, Italians and Raetoromans, a minority who live in just one valley in the Alps. They also have a political system that has served them well over the past 400 years or so. It is an example of how a democracy should work. Aside from regular elections, they also practice the "political recall" principle. A politician who does not perform according to the wishes of the majority of the voters will be forced to step down. This is decided by the people in a referendum and it is by no means restricted to firing political nincompoops. They use it to inject into the system new ideas like how to best clean up the environment, to mention just one example.

Mr. Dion and Mr. Rae are now out of the race for the leadership of the Liberal party and it remains to be seen whether Mr. Ignatieff can fulfill the expectations of your fellow Canadians. I doubt it very much, but, this is what they deserve.

So, Mr. van de Wall, this is what I wanted to make you aware of. Whether you will take it into consideration or not is up to you, and not of much concern to me. I am past the point of being greatly concerned about the future of this country. I am happy to leave this to the real Canadians (the first class ones) and if I were younger I would leave and return to Europe where there are at least a few countries that would be worth living in.

Sincerely,

Walter Ruthard

copy

Mr. John C. McCullough 401 Grassendale Priv Richmond, ON K0A 2Z0 (613) 838-7278 Herta Ruthard 7638 Conc. Rd. 2, R.R.1 Lisle ON L0M 1M0

September 5, 2007

Dear Mr. McCullough,

I read your response in Dialogue Magazine, Aug/Sept. What shall I say to that? Numbers 1 to 3 are a mix of half truths, lies and hate propaganda. If you are interested in finding out what really happened, just let me know, but I doubt that you will because being confronted with the truth can be very painful. And this actually applies to the rest of your letter. But I will not spare you the pain of the truth about "the vicious German surprise attack on Poland". Please read "Death in Poland" – if you can, because even hardened men have difficulty stomaching what happened to the ethnic Germans at the hands of the Poles.

Now I will address your claim of the 17 million Jews murdered by the Germans. 17 million are not so bad, considering that after the war you could read on every street corner in Germany that we had in fact murdered 27 million, and before that, even 40 million. Therefore you might want to take the 17 million with a grain of salt, dear John, and perhaps ... never mind.

And then consider what was found on German soil after the war: huge stockpiles of the most modern nerve gases ever developed – and never allowed by Hitler to be used unless the other side used gases first; they would have used them gladly, monsters that they were, but they didn't have anything comparable.

On July 6, 1944 Winston Churchill addressed his Chiefs of Staff with the following memorandum: "I want you to think very seriously over this question of poison gas. It is absurd to consider morality on this topic ... It is simply a question of fashion changing as she does between long and short skirts for women.... I must ask you to support me in the use of gas. We could drench the cities of the Ruhr and many other cities in Germany in such a way that most of the population would be requiring constant medical attention ... It may be several weeks or even months before I shall ask you to drench Germany with poison gas, and if we do it, let us do it one hundred per cent. In the meantime, I want the matter studied in cold blood by sensible people and not by the particular set of psalm-singing uniformed defeatists which one runs across now here now there." On July 26, 1944 the expert reports from the Departments of Biological and Chemical Warfare were ready. They recommended the use of the poison gases "lost" and "phosgene" as well as the anthrax "N bomb", of which Churchill had ordered 500,000 in the United States and of which 5,000 had already been delivered. By February 1945 another 250,000 were to be manufactured, and after that, a million per week. They were to be dropped on their targets in bundles of 106 each. A list of 60 German cities were attached to the
expert reports. Source: Document Public Records Office, London; AIT 29/3227, CAB 79/78, PREM 3/89.

Have you ever wondered why injured soldiers are flown to Germany? Because this country is an American colony. The German taxpayer has to foot the bill for the American military's facilities, among others Landstuhl. Even the German guards who protect these facilities are paid by the German taxpayer, that's why the occupiers feel so safe and secure over there. The Germans have to slave-labour since the end of WW II, also for Israel, to finance those highly sophisticated submarines it got as a gift, and other such sophisticated war toys; and not to forget to pay at infinitum for the Holocaust survivors, and their descendants too. For example, their children and grandchildren now demand that they get financed psychiatric care because they have nightmares when they think of what their parents and grandparents went through. And on top of all this, Germany still has to pay reparations for WW I until 2020! Despite all of this, we Germans are still treated like lepers and third-class citizens. Is this right?

Did you know that in the Dresden holocaust 2000 Allied POWs perished? Churchill must have known about them, he knew everything that happened on German soil, but obviously didn't care.

Regarding these "documentary programs", do you really believe this ridiculous nonsense of "justopened archives in Germany"? In a country totally under foreign control? By the very people who were in favour of total sterilization of the Germans to wipe them out? ("Germany Must Perish" by Theodore Nathan Kaufman). But they decided otherwise: to keep them for milking purposes, as said before.

Besides, paper is very very patient, you know. And why is it that the archives of the Vatican and Great Britain will remain closed for more decades to come? Until every trace of the old brains is gone and the young are trained to have other interests? What do you expect from a re-educated and brainwashed nation of slaves – do you expect them to remember their history? And do you expect a mea culpa from their masters?

Plese read carefully what I am sending you, and then you will have to agree that I have every right to call you monsters, because Canada is an accessory to these super-mega-crimes now, and was to others then. Actually, did I call you a monster? I said "monsters like Saxon", but you obviously felt addressed. Did you too bomb women and children?

Yes, they were monsters. Even just the intent in 1943, of wanting to use depleted uranium made into a gas warfare agent by grinding the substance into particles of microscopic size, is sufficient to vindicate such an incrimination. Their report explained that DU weapons would be delivered using "ground-fired projectiles" and "distributed in a dust or smoke form so finely powdered that it will permeate a standard gas mask filter in quantities large enough to be extremely damaging." The report mentioned that such weapons could be used as a "terrain contaminant", that is, to deny the enemy access to large areas of territory. See page 14 of the enclosed essay. Ignorance doesn't protect from blame! But you in your ignorance cling to placing the blame on us, your victims, for all the evil in the world. We were blamed for not stopping Hitler. I blame you all for not stopping Bush, and before him, Bush Senior! In fact their crime against all life on earth is so unspeakable that intellect can hardly grasp it. And so is the idea that millions upon millions of citizens should allow one religiously insane man, who is the tool of those religiously insane who "rule the world by proxy", to do this! Ignorance is no excuse. I myself am not far from you in terms of age but I do not want to enter eternity with the bad conscience of having known about it and done nothing. Being only "vigilant" is not good enough!

I thank the Lord every day that we don't have grandchildren and I pity our only child for having brought her into this evil world.

Finally a word about the honour of the German soldiers: every one of them had in their pay-book the "Ten Commandments", and these were religiously followed. I enclose a copy. And no German soldier ever shot a POW, kind of a moral barrier; not without a reason, for example as a reprisal, because their enemies were not so squeamish about murdering Krauts. We have sad and shocking reports about such war crimes. Also Red Cross facilities were strictly taboo; not so to the Allies. Even in my husband's small home town village, the hospital with a huge Red Cross painted all over its roof was bombed in broad daylight. My husband witnessed it himself.

If you have questions, ask us, we would gladly answer them.

Sincerely,

Herta Ruthard

Wells, H. G.: "At least 4 billion 'useless eaters' shall be eliminated by the year 2050 by means of limited wars, organized epidemics of fatal rapid-acting diseases and starvation. Energy, food and water shall be kept at subsistence levels for the non-elite, starting with the White populations of Western Europe and North America and then spreading to other races. The population of Canada, Western Europe and the United States will be decimated more rapidly than on other continents, until the world's population reaches a manageable level of 1 billion, of which 500 million will consist of Chinese and Japanese races, selected because they are people who have been regimented for centuries and who are accustomed to obeying authority without question. From time to time there shall be artificially contrived food and water shortages and medical care to remind the masses that their very existence depends on the goodwill of the Committee of 300."

Source: H.G. Wells, late member of the Committee of 300, in his book "The Open Conspiracy – Plans For A World Revolution". Quoted in Dr. John Coleman *The Story of the Committee of 300*, America West Publishers, p. 136, 137.

Ms. Helena Guergis, MP Simcoe-Grey

June 28, 2008

Dear Ms. Guergis,

we strongly oppose Bill C-51 because it would be a death sentence for the sick and elderly who are relying on affordable natural supplements. Denying the people their God-given right to decide for themselves what's good for them and what is not, is the worst kind of hubris and has nothing to do anymore with democracy but everything with dictatorship.

In whose interest is it to not allow the people to take responsibility for their own health, and to tax an already overburdened health care system even more?

Does Mr. Harper support the vision of H.G. Wells? It looks like it.

Two very concerned Canadians,

Hertor Rothord

feedback@dailymail.co.uk To "Rebecca", Rome Italy, 06/9/2012_18:52

Lovely Ms. Rebecca,

you wrote the following in The Daily Mail Online regarding U-boat commander Reinhard Hardegen on the occasion of his 99th birthday and the decoration he received from today's German navy for his bravery during WW 2:

"Now let's wait for all the stupid "he was only a soldier" comments!!! Decorated by Hitler twice, a state pension, military pension, and NO REMORSE!!! The Germans pay a lot of lip service to their heinous crimes but in reality justice was never served and if it were not for USA would Naziland really be Europe's largest economy?" - Rebecca, Rome Italy, 06/9/2012 18:52

Since you and others can't refrain from calling Germans Nazis, I will address you as "Limies" in the future. Hope you will like it too.

It is always astounding for me to read such idiocy from a Limey. Most of the time they are men who in this way betray their ignorance and haughtiness so typical of the Limey race. Now it is a Limey lady doing the same. This statement is as far as I will go to address you as a lady, polite as I am. Now to the ugly things that you stirred up. Reinhard Hardegen indeed was a soldier. One of the best. He did not get his medals from Hitler for being "just a soldier". "Decorated by Hitler twice" oh my! As I can see from his picture he was decorated at least three times and each one of his medals are worth more than the multiple ones of the Limey "war heroes". His ones were earned much harder; it took incredible courage to stand up against your fleet that "ruled the waves" as you like to brag. It was Limeyland that declared war on Germany and not the other way around. Make yourself knowledgeable, Ms. Rebecca, before you make a fool of yourself again. Why should he feel REMORSE? For defending his country? For fighting fairly? Which can't be said of the Limey soldiers in every instance.

Oh, yes, the heinous crimes of the Germans have to be brought up. What crimes? Gassing Limies- excuse me I mean gassing Jews? When I was not even a teenager your friends, the French, within two weeks posted placards on every barn door, stating that we killed 26 million (26,000,000) Jews. Look at the figures now, Ms. Rebecca, and finally start thinking if you are still capable of it. I encourage you to take a brief glimpse at your own not so humane side. But perhaps you will regret it to have been so nosy.

"Step by step, I have arrived at the conviction that the aims of communism in Europe are sinister and fatal. At the Nuremberg Trials, I, together with my Russian colleague, condemned Nazi aggression and terror. I believe now that Hitler and the German people did not want war. But we declared war on Germany, intent on destroying it in accordance with our principle of balance of power, and we were encouraged by the 'Americans' around Roosevelt. We ignored Hitler's plea not to enter into war. Now we are forced to realize that Hitler was right. He offered us the co-operation of Germany; instead, since 1945, we have been facing the immense power of the Soviet Empire. I feel ashamed and humiliated to see that the aims we accused Hitler of, are being relentlessly pursued now, only under a different label."

Source: The British Attorney General Sir Hartley Shawcross in a speech at Stourbridge in March 16, 1984 (AP).

Sir Hartley, chief British prosecutor at the Nuremberg War Crimes Trials, remarked recently on a British TV program, that at the time of the trials, he found himself wishing that the "great Allied war criminals" be put on trial. Sir Hartley has also been quoted as saying that neither Adolf Hitler nor the German people ever planned or wished for World War 2".

Source: "Spotlight", February 17, 1997, p. 13.

Yes, Sir Hartley Shawcross - a naive one but nevertheless one of the decent Britons whom I can respect. You are definitely excluded.

"Justice" Limey-style was served in Nuremberg. The judges, accusers and hang man were all of the same tribe, namely Anglo Saxons who did not allow a meaningful defense and put up a lynch party. And the people of "Naziland" as you put it so nicely, have paid ever since, myself included. Too bad, all this money did not go entirely to Limeyland and this might be one of the reasons why you hate us so much. Pure envy from a nation that was a parasite and lived on the proceeds of exploiting and terrorizing their colonies for centuries and still was not able to hold on to their loot.

The Marshal Plan you refer to financed Britain, France and other countries and I have read that Limeyland did not

pay it back til today. Germany did so a long time ago and the sum of money they had to pay back resulted in a very ' nice profit for the Americans who know how to exploit others. It's their way of doing business. So, what the Germans in "Naziland" accomplished has very little to do with the USA but rather with hard work and better goods. I know what I am speaking of, having extensive experience with goods "Made in England" and Limies.

So, dear Ms. Rebecca, please do yourself a favour and keep your face shut about things you do not have the slightest notion of. You made a fool of yourself. As Mark Twain said it so succinctly: "It is better to keep your mouth closed and let people think you are a fool than to open it and remove all doubt."

Grow a brain, Walter Ruthard

The pilots of Bomber Command cannot be called heroes

Hans Krampe, a German-Canadian, sent out a letter written by another German-Canadian living on the other side of Canada – Walter Ruthard – and it is such a great letter that I am posting it here. It was written in response to an <u>editorial</u> in the Daily Mail by Peter Hitchens. Ruthard lived through the bombing and knows of which he speaks.

Don't confuse Peter Hitchens wih Christopher Hitchens, *his brother*, who was famous and recently died. This article by Peter Hitchens seeks to pin all the blame on Bomber Harris, none on Winston Churchill who led the British war effort -- keeping Harris in place and approving of what he was doing. Hitchens engages in typical scapegoating with the goal of covering up rather than exposing the truth, thus retaining a patriotic narrative for the folk. ~CY



The Bomber Command Memorial in Green Park, London, which was unveiled by the Queen Thursday, June 28.

Walter

Carolyn Yaeger would like you and me to be guests on her radio show. What do you think? Are you up to it? It would be this Saturday afternoon PST, which means evening in your area.

Please, let me know as soon as you can so I can inform Carolyn early enough for her preparations.

Gruss

Hans

Date: Tue, 10 Jul 2012 21:08:13 +0100 From: euroman_uk@yahoo.co.uk Subject: Re: "The heroes of Bomber Command deserve their memorial..." To: safari4711@live.ca

A wonderful response, letter, article... I wonder if the coward read and absorbed it? - Michael.

Michael Walsh News Services Independent White European International News <u>euroman_uk@yahoo.co.uk</u> Subscription Free News Service but Source Credit and Link Presumed

Guten Morgen aus Hamburg,

The email about Bomber Command was sent to me by a friend....I thought it was good BUT it didnt go far enough. Many Brits (Americans Canadians Australians etc) still believe Germany wanted & started WW2. Even though it was Britain & France who FIRST declared War.

As early as 1933, Hitler had not even moved into the Reichstag when the US and Russia agreed that they would divide Germany into two Zones. In the same year US Jexx declared war on Germany AND the Poles were already attacking and murdering German citizens in the areas Poland had stolen from Germany after WW1.

WW2 was, for Germany, SELF-DEFENCE !!!

The Allied murder of Germans did not finish when GrAdmiral Dönitz signed the Capitulation Agreement. Millions of Germans were murdered AFTER 1945 in US, Soviet, Polish etc etc 'Camps'. Germany in 2012 is STILL in a state of 'Waffenstillstand' Surrender with NO Peace Treaty. I am still having Arguments with many who believe they 'know' how Bad Germany was.

regards mit freundlichen Grüßen, Paul

Hi Paul

The issue was not the ENTIRE HISTORY OF WW II, but the specific facts about the war crimes of bomber command, its pilots and its leadership.

Walter addressed that issue admirably, thoroughly, concisely and in a matter of fact style. In order to be accepted and posted, there is a limit of how many words can be used. Walter had probably already exceeded that limit. As it turned out, NO responses were accepted and Daily Mail's comment section was closed. Obviously, Walter had hit a raw nerve and the Daily Mail chickened out, because they know that Britain is guilty as sin and has no prayer of a chance in open argument.

Of course, you're correct in every point you're making, But the response to the Daily Mail was not the occasion to get into all of that.

Hans



Re: "The heroes of Bomber Command deserve their memorial..."

Friday, July 6, 2012 7:53 PM

From:

To:

Hi Walter,

once again you hit the nail on the head, many times over, with incontrovertible facts.

You sure know how to get my juices boiling and my motivation up. I feel like clobbering guys like Hitchens on their ignorant noses, not that it would help any. It's nice to have all these details, which I read about, here and there, in a compact form available like this for referral.

I'm still working on a lengthy essay and would like to quote from this article, with your permission.

It would be interesting to see what kind of a response, if any, Hitchens will give you. Probably none. What could he possibly say? I'm sorry?? Not likely. You decked him and he's out for the count.

I appreciate it tremendously.

Hans

Hi, everyone

Unbelievable! In this day and age, and after all that's come to light so far, there are still Britons who believe they were the good guys, heroes in fact, in WW II, with an overwhelming need to brag about it. Talk about willful oblivion, if not mendacity.

Britons have a long way to go to come to grips with their deranged self-perception. Below, Walter Ruthard helps them along on that difficult path, with a compact reality check addressed to Peter Hitchens of the Daily Mail.

For me, it went down like oil.

Hans

Dear Mr. Hitchens, feedback@dailymail.co.uk

I am referring to your column "The heroes of Bomber Command deserve their memorial... unlike the butcher who led them", which was published in The Daily Mail Online on June 30th , 2012 and updated on July 1st , 2012 and which I received today, July 6th , 2012.

To me as a German who survived the treatment meted out by Bomber Command, it is indeed interesting to read what you, a Briton, have to say about these dreadful years although you did not

live during the time of the nightly terror attacks on German cities.

However, I am surprised about your rather polite interpretation of the events that led to the Second World War and especially to the fight between the British and the Germans. Other Britons are not so civil when, as you write, "the football crowds who crudely chant Bomber Harris' name to tease modern Germans". Ah, yes, "Sir Harris" the knighted war criminal, also known as "Butcher Harris":

"No doubt he had been brave in his time ...". Brave? Already in the early 20th century he established his reputation of a ruthless terrorist during the Iraqi uprisings against British rule. At that time he was the commander of the 45th squadron of the Royal Air Force in Iraq. Together with Winston Churchill, who was Minister of War in 1919, he expressed the idea of forcing the rebellious Iragis to surrender to the Empire's wishes by attacking them with bombs and gas! Churchill himself wrote: "I do not understand the opposition against the use of gas. I am very much in favour of employing poison gas against uncivilized tribes." And: "It is not necessary for the gas to be deadly but it must cause great pain and spread extensive terror." After a bombing raid in 1924, Harris noted: "Arabs and Kurds have begun to believe that if they could tolerate a bit of noise, they could also bear being bombarded and still be able to negotiate. They now know what real bombardment means in terms of losses and devastation. They now know that within 45 minutes a whole village can be eliminated and a third of its inhabitants killed or maimed by four or five airplanes which don't present a real target to shoot at and which don't give them a chance to fight like brave warriors or take flight." Later, after realizing that the inhabitants often were running away when a British plane approached, they used time fuse bombs which killed the fugitives after their return to their houses. This is also what Sir Harris, the nobleman, and his criminal air crews did to German civilians!

Now, Mr. Hitchens, please tell me where was the bravery in Butcher Harris' and Winston Churchill's long and honourable military careers? There, in Iraq, they tested what they perfected in WW II on a much grander scale.

"To this day, few British people know what we actually did to Germany. We know of and are rightly angered by the Luftwaffe attack on Coventry and by the London Blitz."

Well, here are some more facts that are kept from you, and maybe after checking them out, you could extend your anger against Bomber Command and its criminal bosses:

September 5, 1939 the British attacked Altendeich near Wilhelmshaven with one bomb;

September 29, 1939 they attacked Vechta with one bomb;

December 3, 1939 they attacked the coast of Helgoland with 21 bombs;

December 12, 1939 they attacked Borkum with five bombs;

December 14, 1939 they attacked Sylt with five bombs;

December 18, 1939 they attacked Borkum with seven bombs, Juist five bombs and Amrum five bombs:

December 20, 1939 they attacked Hörnum near Sylt with 3bombs;

December 21, 1939 they attacked Rantum near Sylt with four bombs.

And this was only the beginning. I could go on and on. In order to not to stress your attention too much, I now will quote your own Undersecretary of State, Spaight, the foremost authority on the law of air warfare, who wrote in his book Bombing Vindicated, published in London in 1944:

"We began bombing targets in Germany before the Germans did so in England. That is a historical fact that has also been publicly admitted. We sacrificed London, because the retribution was certain. It is not absolutely positive, but nevertheless very likely, that the Germans would not have attacked London and the industrial area. Germany sought a moratorium in the bombing war whenever there seemed to be the slightest chance of such."

And another British historian in Oxford, A.J.P. Taylor, wrote this:

"The British initiative (for the air war) is perfectly clear. The German bombing of Warsaw and Rotterdam was part of a military campaign, an expansion of a previous shelling of defended cities. The Blitz (the German air raids on London) began only after the British had already bombed German cities for five months."

And to round this up, read what another British nobleman had to say:

Sir Hartley Shawcross, chief British prosecutor at the Nuremberg War Crimes Trials, remarked recently on a British TV program, that at the time of the trials, he found himself wishing that the "great Allied war criminals" be put on trial. Sir Hartley has also been quoted as saying that neither Adolf Hitler nor the German people ever planned or wished for World War 2". Source: "Spotlight", February 17, 1997, p. 13.

And he also said this:

"Step by step, I have arrived at the conviction that the aims of communism in Europe are sinister and fatal. At the Nuremberg Trials, I, together with my Russian colleague, condemned Nazi aggression and terror. I believe now that Hitler and the German people did not want war. But we declared war on Germany, intent on destroying it in accordance with our principle of balance of power, and we were encouraged by the 'Americans' around Roosevelt. We ignored Hitler's plea not to enter into war. Now we are forced to realize that Hitler was right. He offered us the co-operation of Germany; instead, since 1945, we have been facing the immense power of the Soviet Empire. I feel ashamed and humiliated to see that the aims we accused Hitler of, are being relentlessly pursued now, only under a different label."

Source: The British Attorney General Sir Hartley Shawcross in a speech at Stourbridge in March 16, 1984 (AP).

So, there is no reason for you and the British people to be angry at the Germans for bombing Coventry on November 15, 1940 and the "Blitz" because "you asked for it". The attacks on defenceless German civilians and cities were planned and executed by the British. 2,050,000 German civilians died in those terror attacks according to the Zurich paper Die Tat.

This is what your "very best, brightest and bravest young men in Britain, the Commonwealth and the Allied countries" accomplished. Look around you, Mr. Hitchens, and you will see a world that

was made possible by your "very best, brightest and bravest young men in Britain, the Commonwealth and the Allied countries. This is the England they "fought" for. And for what? No German ever threatened you. But you, their descendants, now have to live in it with its glory of boundless crime, brought in from your former colonies, unemployment and the real Britons marginalized and afraid to go out at night. As you said: "they asked for it". Not the Germans, no, not the Germans! "They devastated the following German cities, "... not just [in] Hamburg and Dresden but [in] dozens of lesser cities." Here are a few of the "dozens of lesser cities":

Berlin, Hamburg, Dortmund, Essen, Dresden, Frankfurt/Main, Nuremberg, Düsseldorf, Hannover, Bremen, Wuppertal, Vienna, Duisburg, Munich, Magdeburg, Leipzig, Mannheim, Stuttgart, Kiel, Gelsenkirchen, Bochum, Aachen, Würzburg, Darmstadt, Krefeld, Münster, Mönchen Gladbach, Braunschweig, Ludwigshafen, Remscheid, Pforzheim, Osnabrück, Mainz, Bielefeld, Gießen, Düren, Solingen, Wilhelmshaven, Karlsruhe, Oberhausen, Heilbronn, Augsburg, Hamm, Knittelfeld, Lüneburg, Cuxhaven, Kulmbach, Hagen, Saarbrücken, Freiburg, Graz, Koblenz, Ulm, Bonn, Bremerhaven, Wanne-Eickel, Worms, Lübeck, Schweinfurt, Kleve, Wiener Neustadt, Wiesbaden, Paderborn, Bocholt, Hanau, Hildesheim, Emden, Siegen, Pirmasens, Halle, Bayreuth, Kreuznach, Witten, Aschaffenburg, Kaiserslautern, Gladbeck, Dorsten, Insbruck, Neumünster, Linz, Klagenfurt, Reutlingen, Recklingshausen, Reuel, Regensburg, Homburg, Elmshorn, Wetzlar, Villach, Hameln, Königsberg, Moers, Passau, Solbad Hall I.T, Coburg, Attnang-Puchheim, Friedrichshafen, Frankfurt/Oder, Danzig, Bozen, Chemnitz, Rostock, Schwerte, Plauen, Bad Kreuznach, etc., etc.

"I don't call this a 'war crime' because the phrase is more or less meaningless. As those who have actually fought in wars know, all war is crime, mixed with hell. The question is whether it can possibly be justified. Nor do I (as some liars will immediately claim) in any way compare it with the crimes of the Germans against the Jews. The two are not remotely equivalent and anyone who says so is a fool and a scoundrel."

This is typical English pious platitude. A war crime is a war crime no matter who committed it, even if they were English bomber pilots and their masters Harris and Churchill. There is no question about it. Only the vanquished were brought to "justice" by the glorious and ever so guiltless victors. And, of course, you have to mention the so-called Holocaust. It sounds like you have to please some authorities that have impaled your rational thinking and made you aware that your career depends on you behaving like a docile pupil. I think it is impossible for an Englishman to grasp the possibility that there was no Holocaust at all. That is the power of propaganda and you fell for it like untold millions of others. It is a pity that you as a journalist, having enjoyed an investigative training, are still incapable of seeing through a monstrous lie.

"The [their] death rate was an appalling 44 per cent -55,573 of them gone for ever, and our ill-led, sloppy and declining country has felt their loss every day since. Heaven knows it is time their sacrifice, and the equal bravery of those who survived, was marked. A medal would be nice, too."

For every Allied airman killed, there were 37 Germans murdered by them. Now, who has more reason to be angry? There were also Canadian bomber pilots over German cities trying their best to kill Germans. Recently, one of them boasted publicly that he killed 50,000 Germans and that he is proud of it. And there is this English nobleman, John T. Saxon, Esq., of Lake Worth, Fla. who in his letter to the Barnes Review (May 1997, p. 31) proudly announced this:

"... as the captain of a Lancaster heavy bomber, having witnessed the destruction of English cities, I was delighted to partake in 91 bombing missions, including the fire bombing of both Hamburg and Dresden, and I would happily go back and do the same all over again. ... Speaking of myself, and many other crews, we were delighted when the met (meteorology) boys forecast strong winds, in any direction over a major German city, and immediately changed our bomb load to one Tall Boy (a 10-ton bomb of high explosives plus two tons of small incendiary bombs, dropped at the same time.) The catastrophic results were almost guaranteed; ask the citizens of Hamburg, of whom over 2 million trudged out of the city over a period of months to get away from the firestorms. We saw them from the air, endless miles of people running away from the firestorm, and we had no sympathy for them then, or now. They started it, and we replied in kind, with far greater bombs, and better fire ignitions. I visited both Hamburg, Wilhelmshaven, Dresden, Essen and other cities we paid a visit to, after the war, and saw the destruction which the firestorms inflicted upon the German population, and I lost no sleep over it at all. ... The Germans asked for it, and we gave it o them, good and strong. In war, to achieve victory, you must be ruthless, or you will end up speaking German for a long time."

Now, Mr. Hitchens, these were your "... bomber boys [who] did what they believed was their duty and asked few questions." Yes, they delighted in what they were doing, the "brightest and bravest young men in Britain". Today, Canadian veterans of Bomber Command get riled up when it is suggested that their activities were war crimes. They need not fear; it never ever happened that an Allied war criminal was brought to justice and it will never happen. That is reserved for Germans only. And "lesser" people like Irakis, Afghans, Libyans and more.

You do not have to believe what I just wrote but you owe it to yourself to prove me wrong. Can you do it? I do not think so.

Sincerely,

Walter Ruthard

From: gerard@germancross.com To: feedback@dailymail.co.uk Date: Wed, 11 Jul 2012 18.07:42 +0000 Subject

Dear Mr. Hitchens! First, I would like to thank you for writing the truth, albeit watered-down, about Harris. However I do have a few bones to pick with you - to whit: 'Heroes of Bomber Command'? Get real! It was the great Chinese sage Confucius who said: 'Use honorable language, call things by their proper name. Only then will you be able to draw correct conclusions and only THEN will you be able to act properly.' You compare the puny attack on Coventry with scientifically planned mass murder, a mass murder which HAD to have been planned for MANY years previously, for the bombs used had to have been developed in the 1930's. The bombs were inhumane attack weapons such as block-buster bombs weighing in at about 11 tons, time-delay bombs designed to murder firefighters and phospour bombs which were the most inhuman of them all. The Germans HAD NONE OF THOSE, in fact the Germans had practically no long distance bombers available. Coventry was a major industrial target - a legitimate bombing target and when London was FINALLY attacked it was Hitler and Goering who personally instructed to seek out industrial targets whenever possible. Why Mr. Hitchens, do you suppose The Tower of London, Buckingham Palace etc., etc were NEVER bombed? No, no, no Mr. Hitchens, you are dead-wrong. And what about the thousands of British bomber pilots shot down over the channel who were rescued by the German Air Rescue Service & subsequently treated fairly and were subjected to the same medical treatment as German pilots? And what about the fact that the man who designed this service, General Koller, was imprisoined by your peole AFTER the war and held as a `war criminal' in a London goal for 3 years? And what about the fact that EVERY town in Germany with a population of 50.000 was incinerated and MANY more smaller ones, such as the University City of Giessen as well? This University had the oldest, most prestigious antique library in all of Europe, a cultural treasure which was totally destroyed along with 75% if the population. I could go on and on, but what for? Go read A. J. P. Taylor, Sir Basil Liddel Hart, Major General J. V. C. Fuller, David L. Hoggan et al. No, no, no, your people were not heroic. They were the same murderers who ravaged China, India and 75% of Africa -- and you clowns still claim the moral high ground; profoundly dishonest, THAT is what you are. Gerry Frederics

To: 'feedback@dailymail.co.uk'

Subject: RE: "The heroes of Bomber Command deserve their memorial..."

Dear Editor,

I read with interest the letter by a Mr. Hitchens regarding 'the heroes of bomber command'. I also read with great interest the letter submitted to you by Mr. Walter Ruthard. I fully agree with the contents of Mr. Ruthard's rebuttal. Everything that Walter Ruthard has written is the truth. His research of the facts is to be commended. How any sane person can possibly try and justify the deliberate bombing of women and children is something that I simply cannot comprehend.

After the war when some of the German Military leaders tried to defend themselves by claiming that they were just following orders, the arguement

was not accepted as a defence. The allied aircrews who participated in the bombing were guilty of carrying out the orders of <u>'Madman Harris'</u>

and cannot hide behind the excuse which was denied the Germans at Nürnberg.

Fortunately, as a Brit and an ex-paratrooper who saw active service in Suez, I am pleased to say that there are many, many Britons who

do <u>not</u> hold the same views as Mr. Hitchens. I was particularly interested in the comments that Sir Hartley Shawcross made that at the

time of the 1946 trials, when he found himself wishing that 'great allied war criminals' 'should also have been put on trial.

The holocaust terminology should be applied to the two million plus German civilians who were killed by the allied bombing. There is no excuse

for carrying out this type of deliberate extermination. This was not a case of combatant facing combatants. It was a 'war crime' of the highest

magnitude.

As far as Germany not wanting war with Britain goes ; shouldn't Rudolf Hess have been afforded an opportunity to present his case to the British

government and have been permitted free passage back to Germany ? He was immediately placed in custody and by imprisonment was

silenced for ever. After all these years, we still do not know what really happened.

One thing we should all have learned is that everyone loses in a war . There are no winners.

William A. Bell

Thank you for a precise and accurate debunking of phony "history" from Mr. Ruthard.

I'd like to, in the Texas vernacular, refer to it as a "castration," but those toward whom it is aimed don't have any testicles anyway and have not had, for decades.

Wish I had the pleasure of knowing the author. Ray Goodwin

"Who are the original creators of 'Hate Crimes' and Hate Crime laws?"

Dear Arthur,

it was with great interest that my wife and I have read your passionate call of May 16, 2013 on the Germans here in Canada to actively resist the never ending manifestations of the 'hate all Germans'.

We understand perfectly well what you are trying to tell us and we realized a long time ago that you are one of a number of Canadians whom we deeply respect for what they were and are doing. Tim Keegstra, Malcolm Ross, Ian MacDonald, Grenville Rogers, Michael Walsh (not a Canadian) and certainly others whom we regrettably do not know, are in your league. Please believe us that we are very thankful for your efforts and that of others, without which I personally would have given up on Canadians entirely. It's too late now anyway.

Both being Germans without regret and Canadian citizens (with regret) we were confronted early on to examine the boundless lies about us and our ancestors. It started 40 years ago, when we came to this country and never ended until the present. We blended into Canadian society as best as we could and contributed to this country as most Germans do. And we did not "cut and run".

Many of us are working hard to get through to the minds and hearts of Canadians, yet Herta and I personally have to admit that all our efforts had no impact at all. We wrote letters to Members of Parliament and received, if at all, replies of empty value. We wrote to the various papers in Toronto and other places with the same results, even to our local paper and in this instance experienced a veritable eruption of hate. We were amongst the first members of the German Canadian Congress, only to be very disappointed when one of the directors needlessly apologized for the Holocaust in a Montreal paper. Even more disgusted were we when we realized that another officer of this outfit was a Jew (Mainzer). The showing of the film "Holocaust" was especially painful because our 8-year-old daughter lost all of her friends over night and she did not know what to make of the swastika that her former friends had painted on her school desk.

This hate is nothing new to us Germans. It even has an almost historic proportion and dates back in Canada to a time long before the First World War. Dr. Gottlieb Leibbrandt mentions in his book Little Paradise, 1977, Allprint Company Limited, an article in the Toronto "Evening News", reported in the German language newspaper, the Canad. Volksblatt of Wednesday, February 1, 1882. There the Germans were accused of profaning the "Sabbath" by drinking beer, dancing and other things new to the Puritan Canadian public. Later, in 1916, the former city of Berlin, Ontario, was renamed Kitchener under the watchful eyes of a Canadian military unit that was posted there for this exact purpose. German language papers were bankrupted on purpose and the German language was believed to belong to the barbarians.

In the United States Germans too were harassed during WW I. They lost their jobs if they had German sounding names or spoke with an accent. They were accused of spying for the Kaiser, infecting bandages for the wounded etc. Before the outbreak of WW I the Germans there were very loyal to the country (as they were to Canada). They were wealthy and had been backed financially by the great brewing companies like Coors, Schlitz, Anhaeuser etc. which were then completely German owned. Soon these companies were "converted", the financial backing to the German clubs dried up and they also were soon eliminated from having any influence on public life. It went so bad that the riled-up public rounded up dogs like German shepherds and dachshunds in many American cities from east to west, dragged them into public parks, shot and tossed them into pits and buried

them there. In many cities across the United States German books were publicly burned. Several thousand German-Americans were interned in concentration camps when their minor infractions were exaggerated into major offenses. Robert Prager, a German coal miner was lynched because he was a German and nobody was punished for it.

During the Second World War American-Germans were put into concentration camps even before hostilities commenced. In Canada there are also remnants of concentration camps where German-Canadians were locked up. They are in remote areas and one has to really look for them. My uncle and his family came to Canada in the Twenties, long before National Socialism came to power in Germany. He was a blacksmith and known to his customers for his honesty and sense of humor. He was one of the very few Germans who remained "free" (he had to report to the police on a weekly basis) but he once remarked to me that the ones who lived in the concentration camps were better off than he and his family. He also remarked that he would have gladly returned to Germany if he had had a "decent suit to wear". So, he survived WW II here instead of in Germany where he would have faced the danger of being killed at the front.

These and many more happenings made an indelible impression on the Germans here and in the United States. The consequence? They try very hard to blend into the general population. Acquaintances of ours, for example, spoke exclusively English to their five children who now somehow understand a bit of German but are unable to speak or read it. They are lost to their German heritage and have no clue what this means. As for my wife and myself, we spoke to our daughter only German at home. She even took courses at the University of Toronto and is fluent in German as well as in English. That should be a bonus for Canada but it isn't.

I had once a boss who is Italian. He also was aware of the hate against Italians after WW II. What he told me, I will nor forget and it just might be a solution to the German's inferiority complex, although the Italian's problems were quite different from ours. A delegation of Italian men who spoke English very well went to the various televison stations in Toronto and made things very clear: "if you do not stop this Italian bashing, we will boycott your sponsors". And it worked: there is no visible hate towards Italian-Canadians today. But I doubt that it will work for the Germans because they are a strange lot: it is easier to collect a bag of fleas than to get Germans to follow a common goal that would benefit all of them.

It is the inability of the average Canadian/American to employ the power of their brains and their unwillingness to admit that the Germans might be humans too. We have observed this numerous times in our 40 years here in this country. We own "Germany Must Perish" but I doubt that it will have a wide readership in this country, let alone change the image people have of us. Republishing it, no doubt, would unleash another round of antiGerman propaganda, one we cannot beat. "Nazi" is a much better catchword. It absolves people of actively thinking because "Nazi" covers a broad spectrum of induced false meanings. I personally feel insulted by it every time I hear it, not only because I am the one whom it is directed at but also because of it a whole nation is vilified. And that includes our ancestors all the way back to Arminius who freed the Old Germans from the Roman Empire some two thousand years ago. Then we were the barbarians (as of today) but the Romans were the bringers of culture to Germania. All my ancestors, including my parents, were no "Nazis", meaning war criminals, and I am certain that if I could ask any decent German back home the question, he would be insulted. Yet this is what is intended. It is hate and nothing else. I came to the conclusion that Canada is an Apartheid state consisting of four distinct classes, not just three as in the former South Africa. The ones on top are the Whites who whose's ancestors came from Britain and France. The next ones down are the peoples of the First Nations who are even more Canadian than the ones on top. Both of them can't be deported no matter what they do. The third level consists of people from all corners of the world. Nobody dares to insult them but they can be deported. The ones at the very bottom are the Germans. They can be deported even if they are Canadian citizens (like me). And, of course, they are constantly insulted. And here is the proof of what I just wrote: Not too long ago we had the Mulroney-Schreiber scandal. Both were so-called "brothers in crime". The one took the money, the other one gave it to him. Schreiber was a German-Canadian and was deported. Mulroney was a prime minister and was not deported. This is part of the reality of Canadian-Germans.

We have no state that stands behind us like Turkey that backs up the Turkish "immigrants" in Germany. This is the real problem with us Germans: we do not stick together like the Italians do. Napoleon I. knew it and acted accordingly when he played one little sovereign against the other in Germany and thus was able to conquer the whole of Europe.

In closing I would like to urge you not to stick your neck out to far in favour of us Germans. You will expose yourself as a "Nazi friend" and this is not what you need right now. For the foreseeable time we Germans have to endure it and, I must say, it deserves us right.

Please, Arthur, be careful. We do not want to lose you. For you it is not worth the price. Sincerely,

Herta and Walter Ruthard

February 18, 2017

Dear Ms. Ferrie,

I refer to your most interesting article on the Human Super-Organism in Vitality Magazine, February 2017. My wife and I eagerly await this magazine every month and have always been able to learn something new and beneficial to us. We have already been reading Vitality for a long time.

In your most recent article, The Human Super-Organism, you seem to have overreached your expertise a bit and I would like to correct this. For over 44 years we have been German-Canadians and as such we are frequently confronted with the all-too-common misconceptions about the eternally "evil Nazis". We came to this country intending to blend into the Canadian fabric but have had to go through one disappointment and rejection after the other and there is no end in sight.

So it came as an unexpected and nasty surprise to me when I had to read in Vitality Magazine, of all places, your statement that "In the winter of 1944/45 the Nazis starved the population of the Netherlands ..." This is what you wrote on page14! You also mentioned that "between 1958 and 1961 Mao starved some three million Chinese ..." (Same page). To my knowledge he actually caused 78 million (!) of his own countrymen to perish. Now, why stop here? There was Stalin who starved some 7 million Ukrainians and some additional 60 million of his own! Pol Pot was another mass murderer and caused the destruction of 3 million. This list of horrible genocides does not end here. Michael Walsh, a writer of Irish-British ancestry, lists in his book "Megacaust, Death by Government, the Guilty Ones" numerous more instances where innocent humans were slaughtered by the mighty ones. Michael Walsh's book can be purchased at amazon.ca for a few bucks. I recommend it to you. In it you might discover a few facts regarding the Germans who also were the victims of a genocide to the tune of nine million after 1945 until 1948. Not counting the millions of women, old men and children who perished during the Allies' carpet bombing. My wife and I are two of the survivors. So we know how it feels to have to live on 650 to 700 calories a day.

Yes, I was shocked and angered when I read what you wrote of the "Nazis starving the population of the Netherlands in the winter of 1944/45". Aha, here we go again, the eternally cruel Germans, always exterminating non-Germans and conquering the whole world! I took up your challenge and tried to find out the whole truth and not the partial one you told your readers. It is vitally important to understand exactly what the "Nazis" did in Holland in those 6 months you mentioned. You can also visit <u>https://www.verzetsmuseum.org</u> in case you do not believe me.

This is a Dutch site, not a German one, therefore there is no bias on my side. And you will be astonished by the evenhanded approach this Dutch museum takes to the events you mentioned. For the sake of the truth, you could have mentioned that the little more than 6-months-long hunger period of the Dutch was caused by their own actions. Their railway workers went on strike, hiding themselves and forcing the Germans to use their own railway cars and personnel to transport food, arms, ammunition and what-not to western Holland and to bring back their soldiers who had been wounded in the fight against the Allies (Canadians included).

Naturally, during any war one's own troops have absolute priority over the well-being of the occupied, be they the "Nazis" or the holy Allies. This fact was demonstrated to us by the victors for four long years even after the war ended. In comparison, the suffering of the Dutch seems to have been quite minor, doesn't it? And, as an aside, this Dutch museum mentions that during the railway transportation managed by the Dutch, their trains were frequently "shot at". By the Germans? Hardly;

why would they harm their own advantage of conserving their much needed manpower, when the Dutch were willing to work for them? Which they did. No, this "shooting at" trains is what I myself saw numerous times during the war. Always it was the Americans and the British and Canadians who shot up trains, attacked individuals like myself, even oxen. And even hospitals! What is new to me is that the Americans and the British had no compunction to murder even their own friends like the Dutch. Now I assume with some good reason that they also treated the French, the Belgians, the Danes, and the Norwegians the same way. So, Mrs. Ferrie, the truth looks a bit different than what you've been told, doesn't it?

Now to the insults of calling Germans "Nazis". During WW I it was "Huns". Occasionally this invective can even be heard right here in "compassionate Canada". The word "Nazi" was invented by the Communists during the Weimar Republic in order to vilify the National Socialists when they realized that they could not convince the majority of the population to join their own ranks. To this day, in this country, I am considered a "Nazi". Not too long ago I tried to talk about this to a 50-year old man who shrugged both his shoulders and utteredg "eeeh?", revealing his innermost thoughts. I could read his meaning quite easily. So, Ms. Ferrie, "Nazis" includes Canadians of German heritage like myself. I was 12 years old when the war ended, yet I am still a "Nazi" today because at one time I lived in "Nazi Germany", our soldiers in WW II were all "Nazis". Their children are "Nazis". In other words: everyone who was alive in 1933 is a "Nazi" in your mind. Even the children of German parents like my daughter, are "Nazis", even if they were born 20 years after WW II.

We can go even further back in history: since the Germans of 1933 are considered "Nazis", they must have had ancestors who were just as bad as we living Germans. This logic will not sit well with you, I know, although it should give you pause as your own parents were Germans and therefore fall into the category of "Nazis". But, explain to me why there is so much underground hate of all things German, pardon me, since the 19th century in Canada (Little Paradise by Dr. Gottlieb Laibbrandt, 1977, p. 130, Toronto Evening News, Wednesday February 1st, 1882)? And now the end of World War 2 is some 70 years past – why did Canada not offer a peace treaty to Germany, ever? Yes, it is up to the victor to reach out to the vanquished. At least this is what one could expect from a civilized society.

One last thought: Canada prides itself on being a caring and compassionate country. That is what your fellow-contributor Kathy Ryndak wrote in the same issue of Vitality. She reflects exactly what my experience is since I came to Canada, only in a very self-centered way that excludes German-Canadians, of course. She writes: "As Canadians, we can feel very empowered that ours is a nation of inclusivity that embraces diversity ... willing to be compassionate and open our arms to those less fortunate in the world." Inclusivity, my foot! And compassionate, ha, ha, ha! And as far as diversity goes, that has yet to be seen. "Diversity is our strength," as PM Justin Trudeau likes to say. Yes, we will see how strong Canada will be. But this is for you to ponder.

Yet I can distinguish quite easily four different social classes (with some overlap) in Canada. The top layer belongs to the so-called "pioneer-settlers-founders" of Anglo-Saxon-French heritage. The second layer from the top are the rightful owners of Canada, the Indians or First Nations. They now live in the far North where no sane Canadian would want to live. The third class from the top are the immigrants, even the most recent ones. No Canadian will ever dare call them "Pakis" or "Negroes" again (as they did some 30 years ago) because the political correct consequences would be too frightful to them. At the very bottom of the social ladder are the Germans like me, pardon me, the "Nazis". They can be insulted and there is no need to fear any consequences. That is the reality of life in diverse and inclusive Canada for us.

Walter Ruthard

helkeferrie@gmail.com

Dear Ms. Ferrie,

"hello" to you too.

Thank you for your speedy response to my letter, in which I tried to make you aware that you did not write the full truth about the 1944/45 "winter of starvation" in Holland by the "Nazis". I am now under the impression that this topic is too painful to you to address. But your assertion, distorted as it is, is still printed in Vitality Magazine for everybody to read and believe.

Instead of coming clean and correcting your "mistake", you chose to surround this central and easy-to-understand issue with an extended treatise explaining to me the complexity of the Human Super-Organism and some details of your family history and Pastor Niemöller.

I know practically nothing of the Human Super-Organism and I do not have enough interest in it to study it. I believe I have told you so already. I also cannot say much about your German parents as I do not know their names. However, they obviously survived the Third Reich – because you were born after the end of the war. Martin Niemöller was put into a concentration camp in 1939 and became a free man again in 1945. I know about his activities before the war and I know that his behaviour was not to the liking of the government. But in 1939, when our "friends", the Poles, declared war on Germany on August 30th, 1939 (oh yes they did, Ms. Ferrie!), followed by Great Britain and France on September 3rd, 1939 and Canada on September 10th, 1939, the activities of Pastor Niemöller were no longer his private business, they became high treason and this was the reason why he was put behind barbed wire.

To put this into perspective for you: Canadians of German ancestry were put into Canadian concentration camps at the outbreak of the war. They were relieved of their homes and businesses and never received an apology, much less financial compensation like the Japanese-Canadians. And there was none among them who had committed high treason against Canada.

Please save yourself the time and effort of a response. I do not believe that there is enough common ground between us for fruitful discussion.

Sincerely,

Walter Ruthard

defendcanadians@gmail.com

February 25, 2017

cerberus@iolaos.com

Dear Mr. Murray,

I have received your e-mail letters for some time. I did nor ask for them. On Saturday, February 25, 2017 I received Mr. Gerry T. Neal's article "Iqra Khalid's Threat to Canadian Freedom of Speech" that came directly from you under your address <u>defendcanadians@gmail.com</u>.

I am a German, born in 1932, and have lived in Canada since 1972, and I am also a citizen of this country with a spotless record. Just like the overwhelming majority of my fellow German-Canadians. When I immigrated to this country I intended to blend into the Canadian fabric as well as I could. This I have done to this day despite countless setbacks, insults and quite often intentional humiliations by "real Canadians". That was the reason why I first began to take a very close look at what really happened in Germany during the Third Reich. Today I have more than enough proof that I am right in what I am about to write and that Mr. Neal is wrong in what he has written. When I read Mr. Neal's piece I found several instances which I consider insulting to me. However, I will give Mr. Neal the benefit of the doubt that he has never taken a minute to speculate about what his careless remarks really mean and the damage they do. Let me explain by quoting some sentences from his article:

1) "Warning Canadians that the threat of a potential Canadian Fourth Reich existed if these attitudes were not drummed out, stomped down, and extirpated with extreme prejudice, the Liberals, bereft of any sense of irony, established a Canadian equivalent of the Gestapo and the NKVD/NKGB/MGB/KGB in the Canadian Human Rights Commission".

Mr. Neal compares the "Canadian Fourth Reich" with the German Third Reich as an evil monster that threatened the rest of the world by either by conquering it or destroying it. Of course, the Third Reich has been "drummed out, stomped down, and extirpated with extreme prejudice" by Canada and her buddies the USA, Great Britain and her Dominions like Australia, New Zealand and other colonials, not to forget nice Uncle Joe with his murderous Bolshevik regime. There is not a shred of evidence that Germany intended what the noble and everblameless victors accuse us of. The ones who really conquered, subjugated, murdered, tortured foreign nations were the above-mentioned, always so noble-minded friends of mankind. I do not have to assure you that it was not the Germans who decimated the Natives of North and South America and drove them to near extinction.

And again the reference to the "Gestapo" must not be missed. And, I am sure, Mr. Neal thinks that he knows everything about the Gestapo. He is wrong and uneducated. Consider that the Greater German Reich, including Austria, was very much larger than the present-day mini-Germany and included some 80 million Germans, and that the total manpower of the Gestapo amounted only to about 60,000 men (yes, sixty thousand!) - that meant 1,333 Gestapo men for every million of the German population! Mr. Neal should try this for Canada! Even when large areas of Europe were occupied (not conquered!) during WW II that, by the way, was forced on Germany, the number of Gestapo men did not increase considerably, no matter what Mr. Neal has been told. Of course, the 60,000 + were not enough by far. That's why there were so many traitors that were never discovered.

And here is the place to remind Mr. Neal that Canada also has an agency in Ottawa: The Canadian Security Intelligence Service or CSIS for short . Which does similar things like the

Gestapo. Then there is the Canadian Forces Military Police, and if Mr. Neal cares to look further he may discover more. So, Mr. Murray, doesn't this sound a bit too hypocritical?

2) "The difference between the Canadian Human Rights Commission and the secret police of the Nazi and Soviet totalitarian regimes is one of degree, not of kind".

What the difference between the Canadian Human Rights Commission and the secret police of the Nazis and Bolsheviks was, is of little interest to me. What I strongly oppose is the constant harping upon the word Nazi! Since I first set my foot on Canadian soil I have been called a Nazi! In fact I was greeted with the Hitler salute on my very first day at work here in 1972. The context in which this word is used clearly is aimed at German-Canadians and the Germans in Germany. It is now 72 years since the Second World War was over but still we are considered Nazis. It is pure hate! When I mention this to anyone, I get a blank stare and a shrugged shoulder and the comment "eeeh?", meaning that the guy does not have the guts to say to me openly what he is thinking. Nazi is an insult to anyone, be it voiced loudly enough to hear, or on the quiet. I consider this a national disgrace, after all the German minority is one that sports one of the lowest crime rates in this country. And none of us were ever on the public dole. You have no right to sneer at us because there are no personal risks involved to you.

3) "The right way to protect "vulnerable minorities" in our country, would have been to do a better job of making sure that the full protection of these rights and freedoms was enjoyed by all of Her Majesty's citizen-subjects in our free Dominion, whatever their race, ethnic origin, etc. might happen to be".

Under 2) above I have tried to outline what "vulnerable minorities", namely and exclusively us German-Canadians that can be insulted at will in this country, have to endure despite these lofty promises. We are still considered Nazis and even without the nasty epithet this German-bashing can be followed far back into the 19th century! Today you can open any major newspaper, listen to the CBC and other TV stations and there will hardly be a day when a reference to the evil Nazis does not appear. Mr. Neal is one of the hopelessly brainwashed Canadians who prefers to believe the CBC than to entertain a few original thoughts of his own. Maybe he is not even capable of this anymore. And Mr. Neal is the one who brought up the "rights and freedoms... enjoyed by all of Her Majesty's citizen-subjects in our free Dominion". Not even this is correct, as I have found out myself by reading Arnold Spencer Leese's book The Jewish War of Survival, published in 1945. Mr. Leese was an MP in the British Parliament who was arrested for saying things that Her Majesty's government did not like. He was thrown into a famous British jail in London for the duration of WW II without a trial or even being told what the charges against him were. After his release in 1945 he resumed his duties as a MP again. So, "freedom" in Britain and her dominions like Canada was an empty word in a so-called democracy. Under Churchill! At least in Hitler's Germany one knew what one was being charged with.

"As Canadians, we can feel very empowered that ours is a nation of inclusivity that embraces diversity... willing to be compassionate and open our arms to those less fortunate in the world."

These are the quite recent words of a fellow Canadian of yours. In light of this hypocrisy and obvious dishonesty - because I am excluded - I will not participate in your endeavour and instead enjoy the hopeless situation which you and your comrades are in. I will pay my taxes as I have always done but I will not lift a finger to support anything besides. I have been insulted too many times and now, when you presume to ask me to support your cause of resisting the Liberal government's decision to open the gates to even more immigrants that you do not like, you will

have to fight your battle alone. It was your choice to vote the Liberals into power, not mine. Next time it will be the Conservatives' turn again, and on and on. Now it is up to you to live with the consequences that you do not like. You have lived the easy life for too long and buried your head in the sand. There is no return to what you desire. Now there are too many minorities in this country, people from every corner of the planet who will not necessary believe in what you want them to believe. It is you who will have to accommodate them, especially the Muslims. It's too late now, the point of no return was passed a long time ago. And the white Canadians have only to blame themselves.

Sincerely, Walter Ruthard

This was one of Walter's last letters. He died a month later. Six of the letters written in Geman translated into English:

Kanada Kurier, December 13, 1990

Translated from German

Germans Abroad

Re.: The letter of Herbert Wimmer, Ludwigsburg, from October 10, 1990.

If we thought that the greatest shock of what is emanating from the minds of our German people is already be behind us we are far off the mark. Mr. Wimmer's letter "permanent behind the times?" did deeply shake us. Are these truly our people? This shows how folk-destroying elements are behaving, trained by folk-destroyers! "Zombies", filled with poison that has been absorbed by them for decades. For heaven's sake, Mr. Wimmer, go and search the **true** European history.

According to the common experience, many Germans abroad are the better Germans, since they have developed a much more intense relationship to the actual homeland than what is possible for those still living there. One only learns how to appreciate something after one has lost it – or wanted to lose it, as may be the case. We have also looked at both sides of the "medal" and over time have found out which side shows the truth.

The worst for us Germans who live in another country and who have retained the love of our roots, as well as the respect for the accomplishments of our forbearers, is the distorted news spiked with lies and hatred against the Germans that misses no opportunity for negative stereotyping.

How much Germany is dependent on the help of their expatriates is proven by the most recent history. At this point I want to mention just one name (that I will restrict myself to), that of the German-American Professor Dr. Austin J. App, who has done more for Germany than you, Mr. Wimmer, could ever imagine. And that includes your reputation as well.

We Germans abroad have very much the right to voice our criticcism at the politics of a country in whose rebuilding many of us were involved. We were a part of Germany at its most bitter hour and although we are far away, it has never been closer to us than now at the time of its greatest humiliation.

Herta Ruthard Alliston, ON

Translated from German

Kanada Kurier, March 12, 1992

Multiculture doesn't work

Re.: Congress-News, January 23, 1992

Canadians for Multiculturalism? Are the 3,300 Canadians that were questioned supposed to represent all of Canada? One should ask these 77,63% and the 62% that looked at multiculture through their rose-tinted glasses some other question, such as what percentage of them are blind in one eye or short sighted and what percentage just repeat what others say, not thinking independently. Then another interesting result may come about.

In multiculturalism, we see a clash of opinions and the lack of understanding each other. Multiculture up to now did not work in any country on earth, not even in the much vaunted USA. I just want to remind of the tensions between the different races in New York, Miami, Florida, Chicago, San Francisco and other cities. Today Yugoslavs fight each other and in the former Soviet Union, the workers and farmers paradise, it has also started.

Further, I am referring to the news item in the Kanada Kurier of January 23, 1992, page 6, "Remembering the Victory of 500 Years ago" in which it is stated that even after 800 years Spaniards and Portuguese were not willing to live together with Muslims. But Canada as well is not free from racial discrimination when Whites are called "White Trash" (in Toronto), and we Germans too have special problems in this country, to express it mildly.

Another unpleasant sideshow of multiculturalism is that all ethnic groups are subject to widespread anger when the country of their origin behaves badly. The best recent example is that of three Members of the Canadian Parliament in China where they did not remember the saying "When in Rome do as the Romans do" and apply that statement to China. Many Chinese in Canada now have to suffer the consequences.

Whoever still believes in multiculturalism seems to imagine oneself in a different time and space, has a blockage in the brain or belongs to one of the privileged minorities. Even the Bible has something to say that should be taken as a warning against multiculturalism, namely the Tower of Babel.

The forced living together of people of varying races and mind sets does inevitably lead to conflicts, that those who govern will try to supress; they do not have to let themselves be confronted with the problems of the unhappy, the misunderstood, the oppressed and the endangered (as a German paper appropriately said: "They retreat in the evening into their [safe] residences and heap abuse on their voters").

The promoted mixing of the races ("that Hans does no longer just have to do it with Grete" – according to a German politician") and the uncontrolled open admittance of questionable elements from all parts of the world, as is practiced in Germany, France, England and also in Canada is, in our opinion – and especially in times of renewed diseases and natural disasters - simply irresponsible!

Kanada Kurier, May 5, 1994

Parallels to the Present

Translated from German

In a book I recently received as a present, "The misery of Christianity or a Pledge for a Humanity without God", (by Joachim Kahl, Rowohlt Taschenbuchverlag GmbH 1968), I found on page 56 the following quote:

"The suspected person (witch) was thrown into the dungeon and put in chains. The first question the inquisitor asked was if she believes in witchcraft. If the answer was in the negative it was taken as the unmistakable proof of the suspected crime. For – as the "Witches Bull" of Pope Innocence VIII and the "Witches Hammer" (Hexenhammer) formulated – the greatest witchcraft was the slightest doubt about the reality of witchcraft. Thus, there was no escape...".

That was 300 years ago. How the pictures resemble each other - just as inscribed on a clay tablet of Babylon: "If you look at it, the general population is stupid".

Walter Ruthard Lisle, ON Kanada Kurier, May 12, 1994

Translated from German

For the Love of Truth

Re.: Edition of April 14, 1994, Bernd Haeussler about the Destruction of Frankfurt.

Mr. Haeussler wrote that the total number of dead among the German population of Frankfurt was 5,559. Frankfurt in 1939 had 553,000 inhabitants. If one assumes that this number remained approximately the same through the war then it is hardly believable that only 1% perished due to Allied bombing, which can be compared in intensity to those of Hamburg or even Dresden. Even if the Germans were better protected by air raid shelters than the "thousands of foreign internees", this low number can not be correct.

The number of killed German civilians was 1,200 000 total from a population of 78 million. But, according to Mr. Haeussler, only 78,000 would have been killed through Allied bombing. It is obvious that the people in the countryside, the majority of the total population, was much safer from bombing than city dwellers, whose toll therefore was much higher than 1%.

It is also not true, when Mr. Haeussler says, that "The Allies now answered with the bombardment of German cities". The opposite is true. It was the Allies that started the air war against German civilians, as, for instance, A.J.P.Taylor, historian at Oxford, has testified: The British initiative for the air war is very clear...The Blitz (the German air attacks against England) did only start after the Brits had already bombarded German cities for five months". And that is only one of the many officials of the Allied victors that testified in this way.

I think that the time has arrived not just to report about the negative sides of our history, that often are not even factual (see above) but, for the love of the truth, also point out the good sides. A case in point would be that in the campaign against France the cathedrals of the French cities were spared, which is different from the habit of the future victors, as Mr. Haeussler correctly points out in his article.

Walter Ruthard Lisle, ON Kanada kurier, June 16, 1994

Translated from German

Poisoned Mother's Milk

Lately and ever more frequently on this paper one can "relish" the fruits of the re-education of the German people. A sample of this is the discussion about the expulsion (of the Germans from their homes in the Eastern parts of Germany after WW II). First, but not always, there is the obligatory introduction – unsubstantiated nonsense, stating that the population transfer was regrettable. It is followed by the hammer: It is our own fault that we were thrown out, we deserved it. With other words, it serves us right. A prominent German even made the unbelievably naïve remark: "Why didn't they stay there?".

In fact, one cannot even fault the present generation Germans, since they are pitiful victims themselves, being raised on poisoned mother's milk and falsified schoolbooks. Now we are shaken by the fact that the calculations and forced re-education of the conquerors have worked out 100%: To stupefy the Germans to such an extent that they don't know anymore what they do, what they should think, and who they are. A sign of their mind-set is also how they defame the memory of their fallen soldiers and the murdered ones of their own people – an attempt to distance themselves from those values that were commonplace among their parents, and to be "acceptable citizens".

Translated from German

Kanada Kurier, June 30, 1994

Why never "Antigermanism"?

The letter from Mr. Heink, "Thoughts to the Film 'Schindler's List'", Kanada Kurier, June 2, 1994, was, to be sure, very revealing and I will here only deal with this film. In Mr. Heink's report we see the complete tragic extent of this enormous incitement against the German people: Mr. Heink drove students to the showing of this film. Before that they were "normal". After they had been enticed (by whom does not matter) to watch this film, they were not "normal" anymore. They entertained strange thoughts about "this German" whom they had known from childhood!

The poison that had been induced within a few hours into these innocent children at their developing stage was sufficient to have them look at Mr. Heink with different eyes. Their impressionable minds were changed deeply. Now, this is just one showing of the film, but there are so many throughout the world! In some countries this film is forbidden. It is based on a novel, which already on the cover is identified as such: "Schindler's List", a Novel". This is made even more clear on the first pages of the book: "This book is a work of fiction. Names, characters, places and incidents are either products of the author's imagination or are used fictitiously. Any resemblance to actual events or locales or persons, living or dead, is entirely coincidental".

In addition, the US National Library, which is a controlling agent in the way this book is treated by the libraries worldwide gives the following description of this book: 1. Schindler, Oskar, 1908-1974 - fiction; 2. Holocaust, Jewish, (1939-1945)- fiction; 3. World War, 1939-1945 - fiction. And that work of fiction has been presented to the world as a black-and-white "documentary"! Should this not also be under the category of "inciting racial hatred"?

One always hears only of "anti-Semitism" but never of "anti-Germanism". When will we finally defend ourselves against this kind of horrible incitement against our folk and the never ending anti-Germanism? That last one is allowed and is being promoted in all sorts of ways. It is one of the compulsory-watching incitement movies for students from public schools to universities. Germans are a easy game, promoted by laws that protect others – but not Germans.

How much more of this can we bear?

Kanada Kurier, March 16, 1995

Translated from German

Hit the Nail on the Head?

Napoleon on the island of Elba wrote the following about the Germans: "Division I did not have to sow among them. I only had to put out my nets and they walked right in. Between themselves they were at each other's throat and believed to have thereby done their duty. No other people (Volk) on earth is more stupid. Naked lies can not be spread easier. The Germans believe them".

With this statement he has surely hit the nail on its head. Nothing has changed since Napoleon. One could analyse it as follows:

Discord: As Friedrich Lenz wrote in his book in 1952, which is worth reading: "The Loathsome Worm of German Discord".

The Duty to Gag: Do not readers' letters in the Kanada Kurier give a very vivid example of how the German in various ways and even with viciousness stab the other German in the back? With some the feeling of "duty" even goes as far as the wish to involve the Canadian government to monitor the German-Canadians! Or, one may remember the vicious aggression against Mr. Hartel, when he, in his search for the truth, rattled the peace and quiet of some of his contemporaries. Whoever is unconcerned about the truth does not have much regard for justice either, since 'Truth' and 'Justice' belong together. And here the wheat is separated from the chaff – the latter of which always adjusts to the direction of the wind.

Stupidity: That can't be denied. Lies: No comment.

Friedrich Hebbel (1813-1863) "Many Germans believe that by showing their patriotism they should now use Germany as their spittoon." How appropriate!

P H O T O P A G E S



RECIPE FOR FREEDOM FROM DEBT : DIY! THOSE CUSTOM BUILT ROOF TRUSSES HAVE LOTS OF ROOM FOR INSULATION.









Walter's sister and mother.

Immediately after the war, Walter's sister, a nurse, was caring for hospitalized soldiers, both German and French. A French soldier and her fell in love. The French parents forbade a marriage with a German girl. Heartbroken, the young girl, enticed by the strong religious presence in the hospital, gave her young life to the Church.



DRACHENSAAT

EIN ACKERFELD IN DEUTSCHLAND: EINS VON VIELEN, IN NEUNZEHNHUNDERTFUNFUNDVIERZIG AUSGEWAHLT; DORT WURDEN DIE, DIE IHRE PFLICHT FAR DEUTSCHLAND TATEN, MIT NIE GE- HORTER GRAUSAMKEIT BESTRAFT, GEQUALT.

NIE HAT DIE ACKERKRUME SOLCHE SAAT EMPFANGEN, NIE TRUG DER WIND IHR SOLCHE KLAGEN ZU!

DER REGEN HAT IHR BLUT UND TRANEN IN DEN SCHOSS GEWASCHEN VON VIELEN TAUSENDEN. IM STACHELDRAHT ZUR LETZTEN RUH.

NIE STIEGEN WOHL VON EINEM ACKERFELD SO VIELE BITTEN ZU UNSERM VATER, DER IM HIMMEL IST, HINAUF; DOCH UNER-FORSCHLICH WIE ER IST IN DEN BESCHLASSEN, DER VATER AL-LER HORTE NICHT DARAUF.

DOCH SORGTE ER, SO WIE ER IMMER SORGET, DASS ALLES UN-RECHT KOMMT ANS SONNENLICHT, DASS NICHT IHR OPFER-GANG DER NACHWELT BLEIBT VERBORGEN, UND DIESEN HEI-DEN JEDER, DER ES WILL, EIN KRANZLEIN FLICHT.

EIN ACKERFELD IN DEUTSCHLAND: EINS VON VIELEN; DER JAHRE STARME SIND SEITDEM DARABER HINGEWEHT, DOCH GNADE DENEN, WENN SIE JEMALS ERNTEN MASSTEN, WAS IHRE HAND AUF DIESEN FELDERN DORT HAT AUSGESAT!

HERTA RUTHARD



Dieses Gedicht ist den Opfern in Eisenhowers Todeslagern in Deutschland und Frankreich gewidmet. Ebenso meinem Onkel, den man in Frankreich hat

EISENHOWER'S Death Camps

Dragon Seed

A field in Germany, one of many, Selected in nineteen hundred forty-five, Where those who had done their duty for Germany Were punished and tortured with unprecedented brutality.

Never before did the soil receive such seed, Never before did the wind carry such laments across the field, The rain washed blood and tears into the lap of Mother Earth From many thousands. In barbed wire for their final resting place.

Never before did so many pleas rise from any field Up to our Father in Heaven, Yet unfathomable as He is in His decisions, The Father of us all paid no heed.

But He saw to it as He always does That all injustices rise to the light of day, That posterity learned of their sacrifices And that all who went can make a wreath for those heroes.

A field in Germany, one of many, The storms of the years have passed since then, May they find mercy if ever they must reap What their hands sowed on these fields.



This poem is dedicated to the victims of Eisenhower's death camps in Germany and France. Also to my uncle who was starved to death in France.

Herta Ruthard



MAIN ALLIED CAMPS IN FRANCE AND BELGIUM

1 Barlin	13 Montrial-Bellay	26 Gurs	37 Vitry-I
2 Dieppe	14 Amhoise	26 Les Sables-Portet	38 Stu-M
3 Atticky	15 Bourges	27 Castres	39 Mirtzi
4 Cherbourg	16 Saulac	28 Le Vernet d'Ariège	40 Brum
5 Delta Basa	17 St. Médard-un Jalles	29 Rivesaltes	41 Sarrel
6 Altençon	18 Germiynan	30 Marseille	42 Sarral
7 Rennes	19 Andernos	31 Aubagne	43 Overij
8 Evron	20 Daugrague	32 Mulhouse & St. Louis	44 Metz
9 Champagné	21 Pissos	33 Colmar	45 Stena
10 Orléans	22 Labouhoyre	34 Longres	46 Erbise
11 Tharée-les-Pins	23 Baginse	35 Brieme-le-Château	47 Muss
12 Malsanae	24 Bayanne-Beyris	36 Mailly-le-Camp	48 Osten

MAIN ALLIED CAMPS IN GERMANY

1 Buderick	13 Hechtsheim	25 Landskut
2 Rheinherg	14 Birbesheim	26 Planegy
3 Wickrathburg	15 Bird Kreiznach	27 Babenhausen
4 Küla	16 Mannheim	28 Bad Aihling
5 Runagen	17 Weaburg	29 Gotha
6 Sinzig	16 Heilbrenn	30 Münster
7 Bretzenheim	19 Neu Ulm	31 Brennen
8 Andernach	20 Burgau	32 Annich
9 Budesheim	21 Ingoistadt	
10 Sierskalm	22 Regenstury	
11 Bingen & Dietersheim	23 Augsburg	
12 Ingelheim	24 Dachou	

Saturday Night/MetteMB30 1989-38